

Ricci, C. (2005). [Review of the book *What to do? Case studies for educators*].
McGill Journal of Education.



MJE

McGILL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION
REVUE DES SCIENCES DE L'ÉDUCATION DE MCGILL
VOLUME 39 NUMBER 3 FALL 2004
VOLUME 39 NUMÉRO 3 AUTOMNE 2004

McGILL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION
REVUE DES SCIENCES
DE L'ÉDUCATION DE MCGILL

EDITOR / RÉDACTRICE-EN-CHEF: *Ann Beer*
ASSOCIATE EDITOR / RÉDACTEUR ADJOINT: *Jacques Rebuffot*
MANAGING EDITOR / DIRECTRICE DE RÉDACTION: *Ann Keenan*
REVIEW EDITOR / DIRECTRICE DE LA CRITIQUE DES LIVRES: *Mary H. Maguire*

MEMBERS / MEMBRES:
Michael Hoehsmann, Bronwen Low, Elizabeth J. Meyer, Joan Russell, Teresa Strong-Wilson
MEMBER EX-OFFICIO / MEMBRE EX-OFFICIO: *Roger Slee*

EDITORIAL ASSISTANTS / ADJOINTES À LA RÉDACTION:
Hourig Attarian, Jean-Sébastien Vallée

SOFTWARE CONSULTANT / CONSEILLER EN LOGICIEL: *Jim Harris*
PUBLICATION DESIGN / MAQUETTE: *McGill ICC*
COVER DESIGN / CONCEPTION DE LA COUVERTURE: *Deborah Metchette*

The views expressed by contributors to the MJE do not necessarily reflect those of the Editor, the Editorial and Review Boards, or McGill University. Authors are responsible for following normal standards of scholarship and for ensuring that whenever the research involves human subjects, the appropriate consents are obtained from such subjects and all approvals are obtained from the appropriate ethics review board.

Les opinions exprimées par les collaborateurs de la *Revue des sciences de l'éducation de McGill* ne reflètent pas forcément celles de la rédactrice en chef, des conseils de rédaction et de révision ou de l'Université McGill. Les auteurs sont tenus d'observer les règles normales de la recherche universitaire et, s'ils mènent des travaux sur des sujets humains, d'obtenir le consentement en bonne et due forme de chaque sujet ainsi que l'approbation du comité éthique compétent.

TABLE OF CONTENTS / SOMMAIRE

FALL 2004 VOL. 39 NO. 3 / AUTOMNE 2004 VOL. 39 N° 3

- 235 Editorial / Éditorial
• ANN BEER
- 241 Échelle descriptive d'appréciation du rendement de l'élève :
Acquis et problématiques
Descriptive Rating Scale of Student Performance:
State of the art
• RENÉE FORGETTE-GIROUX & MARIELLE SIMON
- 261 A Multilevel Analysis of the Effect of School Experiences
on Injury and Leisure Activities among Canadian Children
Une analyse à niveaux multiples des effets des expériences
scolaires des enfants canadiens sur les blessures et les
activités de loisir
• XIN MA
- 283 Fruits of Democratic Transformation of Education in a
South African University in 1998: Perspectives of
students in the School of Education, University of Cape Town
Les fruits de la transformation démocratique de l'éducation
dans une université sud-africaine en 1998 : Perspectives des
étudiants de la School of Education, University of Cape Town
• PHILIP J. TAYLOR
- 305 Value Pluralism & Negative Freedom in Canadian Education:
The Trinity and Surrey cases
Pluralisme et liberté individuelle dans l'éducation au Canada
Les causes de Trinity et de Surrey
• J. KENT DONLEVY

REPORTS FROM THE FIELD / RAPPORTS DU MILIEU

- 327 Using the Theme of Bullying to Teach about Human Rights
in the Social Studies Curriculum
Utilisation du thème de l'intimidation pour enseigner les
droits de la personne dans le programme d'études sociales
• JOSEPH M. KIRMAN
- 342 Wksitnuow Wejkwapniaqewa – Mi'kmaq:
A voice from the People of the Dawn
Wksitnuow Wejkwapniaqewa – Mi'kmaq :
Une voix du peuple de l'aurore
• DONNA-LEE SMITH & JOSEPHINE PECK
- 354 BOOK REVIEWS / CRITIQUES DE LIVRES
- 366 INDEX – VOLUME 39 2004

REVIEW BOARD

COMITÉ CONSULTATIF

- ANTHONY ADAMS *Cambridge University*
DAN G. BACHOR *University of Victoria*
MARY BEATTIE OISE: *University of Toronto*
HENDRÉ J. BRAND *University of Stellenbosch*
MARILYN BLAESER *Aga Khan Foundation, Geneva*
ANDRÉ BRASSARD *Université de Montréal*
LINDA CAMERON OISE: *University of Toronto*
ELEANOR DUCKWORTH *Harvard University*
COLLETTE DUFRESNE-TASSÉ *Université de Montréal*
BLYE FRANK *Mount Saint Vincent University*
FRANÇOYS GAGNÉ *Université du Québec à Montréal*
AUNE GIROUX *University of Ottawa*
ÉCONARD GOGUEN *Université du Moncton*
GERALD GRACE *University of London, Institute of Education*
BARBARA GRAVES *University of Ottawa*
FANCY L. HICKS *University of Regina*
PAUL HIRST *Cambridge University*
ROBERT M. HODAPP *UCLA*
MOHAMMED HRIMECH *Université de Montréal*
ANNE HUNT *University of New Brunswick*
ROSEMINA INDRISANO *Boston University*
HENNING JOHANSSON *Jönköping University, Sweden*
ARNOLD KATZER *University of Victoria*
EDWARD W. KEYSERLINGK *McGill University*
DAVID D. KUMAR *Florida Atlantic University*
Y.L. JACK LAM *Brandon University*
NATHALIE LEMELIN *Independent researcher, Mont*
WINFRED LEHMANN *University of Texas at Austin*
GUOFANG LI *SUNY, Buffalo*
COLLA J. MACDONALD *University of Ottawa*
CAROLE MacINNIS *Acadia University*
ROBERT MULCAHY *University of Alberta*
ALLAN NEILSEN *Mount St. Vincent University*
HÉLÈNE POISSANT *Université du Québec à Montréal*
JOHN P. PORTELLI OISE: *University of Toronto*
DOUGLAS RAY *University of Western Ontario*
DOUGLAS A. ROBERTS *University of Calgary*
D.H. SAKLOFSKE *University of Saskatchewan*
RONALD SMITH *Concordia University*
ARLENE STAIRS *Queen's University*
KEITH SULLIVAN *Victoria University of Wellington
New Zealand*
RONALD SULTANA *University of Malta*
CLAUDE TROTTIER *Université Laval*
KAREN WATSON-GLEGO *University of California*
SANDRA WEBER *Concordia University*

Scallon œuvre en milieu scolaire et universitaire depuis plusieurs années en tant que professeur en évaluation des apprentissages. En guise de conclusion, celui-ci ne pouvait pas passer sous silence l'importance de la formation des futurs enseignants à l'évaluation par compétences. Il rappelle l'une des 12 compétences professionnelles attendues des futurs enseignants qui consiste à « évaluer la progression des apprentissages et le degré d'acquisition des compétences des élèves pour les contenus à faire apprendre ». Pour Scallon, le défi est grand. Plusieurs ressources doivent être mobilisées dans une approche par compétences. Comment pouvons-nous concevoir des situations pouvant démontrer la compétence ou l'inférer ? Comment analyser le rapport entre diverses situations d'évaluation ? Comment déterminer ou baliser un parcours ? Comment porter un jugement de rétrospective ? Quel procédé de collecte d'informations choisir pour déceler une ou plusieurs difficultés d'apprentissage ? Quels outils d'évaluation peuvent apprécier divers types de performance ? Comment apprécier la validité et déterminer la fidélité d'une démarche d'évaluation et entrevoir des pistes pour améliorer les procédés utilisés ? Comment entrevoir l'évaluation du savoir-être ? L'intégration de l'évaluation à l'apprentissage s'impose. Le *feed-back* adressé à l'élève fait partie du renouveau pédagogique. Scallon nous laisse cette pensée « Évaluer pour faire apprendre, voilà l'objectif à poursuivre. » Celle-ci interpelle chacun de nous en ce qui concerne la philosophie de l'éducation que nous voulons privilégier.

DENISE LUSSIER, Université McGill

WILLIAM HARE & JOHN P. PORTELLI *What To Do? Case studies for educators*, 3rd revised edition. Halifax, NS: Edphil Books (2003). 154 pp. \$19.95 (ISBN 0-9697253-2-9).

As an educator I, and I know I am not alone, have often asked myself "What to do?" In *What To Do? Case Studies for Educators* (3rd revised edition) William Hare and John P. Portelli have provided us with case studies that continue to help us reflect, contemplate, and engage in dialogue in a safe environment about potential conflicts we might face in an educational context. In this third edition they have added original cases that were not included in the second edition and some cases that reflect more recent concerns.

The book was originally published in 1993, with a second edition in 1998 under the title *What To Do? Case Studies for Teachers*. The new title, which replaces teachers with educators, better reflects the wide scope of those who will find this book of interest. The list includes those within a philosophy of education course for teachers or others, administrators, student teachers, or any course that examines contemporary issues that arise in practice.

This edition includes 48 case studies and is divided into three sections. The first section, "Philosophical Issues, Case Studies, and Teacher Education"

and practice; reflective teaching; teacher autonomy; controversial issues in teaching; neutrality and bias in teaching; teachers expressing their personal views; teacher as role model; critical teaching; intellectual virtues; student interests; pluralism and democracy; common schooling; value disagreements between teachers and students/parents/administrators; and administrative issues.

As well, the third section offers suggested readings that could be used to supplement discussion and understanding of the cases. For example, cases 1, 15, 16, 33, and 34 deal with assessment, evaluation, and standards. The authors have included a bibliography of 16 supplementary readings that can be helpful in connection with these cases. It is important to note that the authors emphasize that the readings are suggestions and are not meant to exclusively identify the topics dealt with in the cases.

Overall, this book is a valuable resource for prospective and practicing educators and for anyone interested in education. The book helps generate valuable dialogue about relevant contemporary issues. As well, the book helps promote the value of using "discussion case studies"; undoubtedly, this edition will continue to inspire others to use the case studies in this book as well as encourage students and educators to develop appropriate case studies for their courses modeled on these cases.

CARLO RICCI, Nipissing University

JANE GASKELL & KJELL RUBENSON, (Eds.). *Educational Outcomes for the Canadian Workplace: New frameworks for policy and research*. Toronto: University of Toronto press (2004). 260 pp. \$50. (ISBN: 0-802-08845-7).

Today there is consensus that the level of education and training in a society determines its success. In fact, the level and quality of education is increasingly becoming the way to divide societies into two clubs: those that are well off and those that are poor. It is striking that between countries, the bimodal distribution of income is due not to the concentration of the factors of production and capital but rather to the accumulation, transference and use of knowledge. During the past fifty years, the North has continued to create and use new knowledge that statistical studies suggest account for at least half of economic growth, while most of the South has been unable to generate, transfer or use its knowledge to its advantage. Within countries, at the individual level, similar patterns emerge. Those who have access to an education reap greater economic rewards than those without access. At an institutional level, the difference seems to come down to the way societies invest, organize and implement their "educational"¹ system.

Canada is fortunate to be among the rich nations, both in terms of absolute wealth and human capital. There are many reasons for Canada's wealth.

argues against “traditional teaching” and for the philosophical perspective. “Traditional teaching” is defined as “teaching with complacency, uncriticalness, and the urge to acquire the right answer (which the teacher is *invariably* assumed to possess) . . .” (1). The philosophical perspective the authors argue for “ought to challenge this [traditional] approach as well as the practices that go with it” (3). In addition, Hare and Portelli argue for the “discussion – case study approach” as a teaching method consistent with the philosophical perspective. They suggest that the “discussion case studies approach,”

. . . is heavily based on a combination of large-group and small-group discussions of case studies as well as readings related to issues raised in them. Explanatory and/or critical lectures are not excluded. They are encouraged when clarification or background to the issues at hand are required. (10)

Unlike cases that try to convey information, truths, principles, or offer lessons, the case studies in this book are intended to provoke and to provide occasion for the consideration of controversial issues (10-11). When I read the cases or use them to in a classroom my or the students’ position is not immediately evident. Through reflection, contemplation, critical and creative thinking my students and I engage in a lively dialogue that sometimes ends in consensus, sometimes ends in competing and contradictory solutions, but always ends in a clearer understanding of the issues. This depth attests to the case studies being philosophical rather than “technicist,” which is characterized by a “how to” or “quick fix” approach (2).

Hare and Portelli end the first section by outlining six opportunities offered by the “discussion case studies” approach. They can be summarized as follows: (1) no ready made answers, (2) open-ended issues where solutions are developed and defended, (3) discovery about self and attitudes towards issues, (4) gaining understanding about theories and their deficiencies, (5) developing certain skills, for example, developing counterexamples, (6) being forced to commit to a position.

The second section titled “Case Studies” is a series of 48 case studies. The issues raised in the case studies reflect real problems and concerns that educators might face. The authors of the case studies offer two questions at the end of each case study that are intended as examples of issues which might be pursued (23). Ultimately, the case studies allow the readers to ponder which issues are significant.

Although the cases are complex enough to allow the readers to ponder a variety of issues, the authors do suggest in section 3 (“Readings to Accompany the Cases”) some topics that arise out of each case. Topics identified by the authors include assessment; evaluation; standards; racism, controversial texts; name-calling; sexism; gender issues; sexual harassment; censorship; freedom of speech; selection of material; justification of curriculum; theory