



Virtual Special Issue - In memory of Professor Sibe Mardesic

## On homologically locally connected spaces

Akira Koyama <sup>a,\*</sup>, Vesko Valov <sup>b,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Ohkubo 3-4-1, Shinjuku, Tokyo, 169-8555, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science and Mathematics, Nipissing University, 100 College Drive, P.O. Box 5002, North Bay, ON, P1B 8L7, Canada



### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 1 April 2017

Received in revised form 23

November 2017

Accepted 21 December 2017

Available online 27 March 2019

#### MSC:

primary 54H25, 55M20

secondary 55M10, 55M15

#### Keywords:

Fixed points

Homological  $UV^n$  sets

Homological selections

### ABSTRACT

We provide some properties and characterizations of homologically  $UV^n$ -maps and  $lc_G^n$ -spaces. We show that there is a parallel between recently introduced by Cauty [3] algebraic ANR's and homologically  $lc_G^n$ -metric spaces, and this parallel is similar to the parallel between ordinary ANR's and  $LC^n$ -metric spaces. We also show that there is a similarity between the properties of  $LC^n$ -spaces and  $lc_G^n$ -spaces. Some open questions are raised.

© 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

All spaces are assumed to be paracompact and all single-valued maps are continuous. Everywhere below singular homology  $H_n(X; G)$ , reduced in dimension 0, with a coefficient group  $G$  is considered. By default, if not explicitly stated otherwise,  $G$  is a commutative ring with a unit  $e$ . The following homology counterpart of the well known notion of a  $UV^n$ -set was introduced in [12]: A closed set  $A \subset X$  is said to be *homologically*  $UV^n(G)$  if every neighborhood  $U$  of  $A$  in  $X$  contains another neighborhood  $V$  such that the inclusion  $V \hookrightarrow U$  induces trivial homomorphisms  $H_k(V; G) \rightarrow H_k(U; G)$  (notation  $A \xrightarrow{H_k} X$ ) for all  $k \leq n$ . Obviously, every  $UV^n$ -subset of  $X$  is  $UV^n(G)$  for any  $G$  (below we call the  $UV^n$ -sets *homotopically*  $UV^n$  in order to distinguish them from homologically  $UV^n(G)$ -sets). It can be shown that if  $A$  is homologically  $UV^n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: akoyama@waseda.jp (A. Koyama), veskov@nipissingu.ca (V. Valov).

<sup>1</sup> The author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 16K05160.

<sup>2</sup> The author was partially supported by NSERC Grant 261914-13.

where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the group of the integers, then it is  $UV^n(G)$  for any  $G$  (see, for example [11, Proposition 4.8]). Moreover, following the proof of Proposition 7.1.3 from [10], one can show that  $A$  is homologically  $UV^n(G)$  in a given metric  $ANR$ -space  $X$  if and only if it is homologically  $UV^n(G)$  in any metric  $ANR$ -space that contains  $A$  as a closed set. We also say that a surjective map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *homologically  $UV^n(G)$*  if all fibers  $f^{-1}(y)$  of  $f$  are homologically  $UV^n(G)$ -subsets of  $X$ . In particular,  $X$  is called *homologically locally connected in dimension  $n$  with respect to  $G$*  if the identity map on  $X$  is an  $UV^n(G)$ -map, notation  $X$  is  $lc_G^n$ . In this paper we provide some properties and characterizations of homologically  $UV^n$ -maps and  $lc_G^n$ -spaces. We show that there is a parallel between recently introduced by Cauty [3] algebraic  $ANR$ 's and homologically  $lc_G^n$ -metric spaces, and this parallel is similar to the parallel between ordinary  $ANR$ 's and  $LC^n$ -metric spaces. We also show that there is an analogy between the properties of  $LC^n$ -spaces and  $lc_G^n$ -spaces.

More precisely, Section 2 contains some definitions and properties of algebraic  $ANR$ 's about the existence and extensions of homotopies between close chain morphisms. Section 3 is devoted to closed homotopically  $UV^n$ -surjections. We show that the well known properties of closed  $UV^n$ -maps (concerning extensions of partial realizations and approximate lifting of maps) have chain morphisms' analogues for homologically  $UV^n$ -maps. We also provide some Dugundji type extensions and approximate extensions of chain morphisms. Obviously, all results established in Section 3 are valid and for  $lc_G^n$ -spaces. In Section 4 we characterize  $lc_G^n$ -spaces in terms of existence of homotopies between any close chain morphisms (Proposition 4.1). The following two questions are discussed in that section:

**Question 1.1.** Is any metric  $n$ -dimensional  $lc_G^n$ -space an absolute neighborhood algebraic retract?

**Question 1.2.** Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a closed  $UV^n(G)$ -surjection between metric spaces. Is it true that  $X$  is an  $lc_G^n$ -space?

In case of  $LC^n$ -spaces and  $UV^n$ -maps the above two questions have positive answers. We still do not know the answer to these questions, but we introduce approximate versions of algebraic  $ANR$ -spaces and  $lc_G^n$ -spaces and show that any space satisfying the hypotheses of Question 1.1 (resp., Question 1.2) is an approximate  $ANR$  (resp., approximate  $lc_G^n$ ).

The main technical tool are chain morphisms between chain complexes. By a chain complex  $C = \{C_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  we mean a sequence of  $G$ -modules  $C_k$  and boundary homomorphisms  $\partial_k : C_k \rightarrow C_{k-1}$  such that all compositions  $\partial_k \circ \partial_{k+1}$  are trivial. A chain morphism  $\varphi : C \rightarrow C'$  between two chain complexes is a sequence of homomorphisms  $\varphi_k : C_k \rightarrow C'_k$  such that  $\varphi_k \circ \partial_{k+1} = \partial'_{k+1} \circ \varphi_{k+1}$ , and  $\varphi_0$  commutes with the augmentations in  $C$  and  $C'$ . We consider two types of chain complexes, oriented chain complexes  $C(K) = \{C_k(K; G)\}_{k \geq 0}$ , where  $K$  is a simplicial complex, and singular chain complexes  $S(X; G) = \{S_k(X; G)\}_{k \geq 0}$ , where  $X$  is a given topological space and  $S_k(X; G)$  is the group of all singular  $k$ -chains with coefficients from  $G$ . If  $\sigma : \Delta^k \rightarrow X$  is a singular  $k$ -simplex ( $\Delta^k$  is the standard  $k$ -simplex), we denote by  $|\sigma|$  the *carrier*  $\sigma(\Delta^k)$  of  $\sigma$ . Similarly, we put  $|c| = \bigcup_i |\sigma_i|$  for any chain  $c \in S_k(X; G)$ , where  $c = \sum_i g_i \sigma_i$  is the irreducible representation of  $c$ . We agree that  $|c| = \emptyset$  if  $c = 0$ . For any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  let  $S(X, \mathcal{U}; G)$  stand for the subgroup of  $S(X; G)$  generated by all singular simplexes  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma| \subset U$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Note that every map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  induces a chain homomorphism  $f_\# : S(X; G) \rightarrow S(Y; G)$  such that  $f_\#(\sigma) = f \circ \sigma$  for every singular simplex  $\sigma \in S(X; G)$ .

By a sub-complex of  $S(X; G)$  we mean any sub-family  $S \subset S(X; G)$  such that  $\partial c \in S$  for any chain  $c \in S$ . For example,  $S(X, \mathcal{U}; G)$  and  $S(A; G)$  are sub-complexes of  $S(X; G)$  for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  and any subset  $A \subset X$ . We also consider the  $n$ -dimensional sub-complex  $S^{(n)}(X; G) = \{S_k(X; G)\}_{k \leq n}$  of  $S(X; G)$ . A face  $\tau$  of a singular simplex  $\sigma : \Delta^k \rightarrow X$  from  $S(X; G)$  is the restriction of the map  $\sigma$  onto a face of the standard simplex  $\Delta^k$ . In particular, a vertex of  $\sigma$  is the singular 0-simplex  $f_v : v \rightarrow X$  with  $v$  being a vertex of  $\Delta^k$ . If  $Y$  is a space and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $Y$ , we say two chain morphisms  $\varphi, \psi : S(X; G) \rightarrow S(Y; G)$

(resp.,  $\varphi, \psi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(Y; G)$ ) are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close provided for each simplex  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$  (resp.,  $\sigma \in K$ ) there exists  $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $|\varphi(\tau)| \cup |\psi(\tau)| \subset U_\sigma$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ . If  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to the trivial morphism, then  $\varphi$  is said to be  $\mathcal{U}$ -small. We also say that  $\varphi$  is *correct* provided  $\varphi(\sigma)$  (resp.,  $\varphi(v)$ ) is a singular 0-simplex in  $S(Y; G)$  for each 0-simplex  $\sigma \in S(X; G)$  (resp., for each vertex  $v$  of  $K$ ).

## 2. Algebraic ANR's

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex,  $X$  be a space and  $\mathcal{U}$  an open cover of  $X$ . According to [3], a chain morphism  $\varphi : C(L; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is a *partial algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $\mathcal{U}$*  provided  $L$  is a sub-complex of  $K$  containing the vertex set  $K^{(0)}$  of  $K$  and for every simplex  $\sigma \in K$  there exists  $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $|\varphi(\tau)| \subset U_\sigma$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  with  $\tau \in L$ . If  $L = K$ , then  $\varphi$  is called a *full algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $\mathcal{U}$* . Obviously,  $\varphi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is a full algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -small.

Cauty [3] introduced an important class of metric spaces more general than metric ANR's.

**Definition 2.1.** [3] A metric space  $X$  is said to be an *absolute neighborhood algebraic  $G$ -retract* (briefly, *algebraic ANR $_G$* ) if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there is another open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$  such that, for any simplicial complex  $K$ , any correct partial algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  extends to a full algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Any acyclic algebraic ANR $_G$  is called an *algebraic absolute  $G$ -retract* (br., *algebraic AR $_G$* ).

Here are some properties of algebraic ANR $_G$ 's.

**Definition 2.2.** [3] A closed subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is said to be a *neighborhood algebraic  $G$ -retract* of  $X$  if there are a neighborhood  $U$  of  $A$  in  $X$ , an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $U$  and a chain morphism  $\mu : S(U, \mathcal{U}; G) \rightarrow S(A; G)$  such that:

- (i)  $\mu(c) = c$  for all  $c \in S(A; G) \cap S(U, \mathcal{U}; G)$ ;
- (ii) For every  $x \in A$  and its neighborhood  $V_x$  in  $A$  there exists a neighborhood  $W_x \subset U$  with  $\mu(S(W_x; G) \cap S(U, \mathcal{U}; G)) \subset S(V_x; G)$ .

If  $U = X$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \{X\}$ ,  $A$  is called an *algebraic  $G$ -retract of  $X$* .

**Theorem 2.3.** [3] *A metric space  $X$  is an algebraic ANR $_G$  if and only if  $X$  is a neighborhood algebraic  $G$ -retract of every metric space containing  $X$  as a closed set.*

Next result is an analogue of the ANR's properties concerning close maps and small homotopies. Recall that if  $\varphi, \psi : S(Y; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  are two chain morphisms, then  $D : S(Y; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is a chain homotopy between  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  provided there exists a sequence of homomorphisms  $D_k : S_k(Y; G) \rightarrow S_{k+1}(X; G)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , such that  $\partial D_0(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma) - \psi(\sigma)$  for any singular 0-simplex  $\sigma \in S_0(Y; G)$  and  $\partial D_k(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma) - \psi(\sigma) - D_{k-1}(\partial\sigma)$  provided  $\sigma \in S_k(Y; G)$  is a singular  $k$ -simplex with  $k \geq 1$ . We say that  $D$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -small, where  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $X$  if for any  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$  there exists  $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $|D(\tau)| \cup |\varphi(v)| \cup |\psi(v)| \subset U_\sigma$  for all singular simplexes  $\tau \in S(Y; G)$ , which are faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ , and all vertexes  $v$  of  $\sigma$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $X$  is a metric algebraic ANR $_G$ , then for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$  such that, for any two correct  $\mathcal{V}$ -close chain morphisms  $\varphi, \psi : S(Y; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$ , where  $Y$  is an arbitrary space, and any  $\mathcal{V}$ -small chain homotopy  $D : S(A; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi|S(A; G)$  and  $\psi|S(A; G)$  with  $A$  being a closed set in  $Y$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{U}$ -small chain homotopy  $\Phi : S(Y; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  such that  $\Phi(c) = D(c)$  for all  $c \in S(A; G)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $X$  is an algebraic  $ANR_G$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Embed  $X$  as closed subset of a normed space  $E$  and let  $\mu : S(W, \mathcal{W}; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  be an algebraic neighborhood  $G$ -retraction, where  $W$  is a neighborhood of  $X$  in  $E$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  an open cover of  $W$ . For every  $x \in X$  choose  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $W_x \in \mathcal{W}$  containing  $x$ . We can assume that  $U_x$  and  $W_x$  satisfy the inclusion  $\mu(S(W_x; G)) \subset S(U_x; G)$  for every  $x \in X$ . Let  $V_x$  be a convex open subset of  $W$  such that  $x \in V_x \cap X \subset U_x$  and  $V_x \subset W_x$ . Denote  $T = \bigcup_{x \in X} V_x$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1 = \{V_x : x \in X\}$  and take an open cover  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  of  $T$  consisting of convex sets such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is a star refinement of  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{\tilde{V} \cap X : \tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}\}$ .

Now, let  $\varphi, \phi : S(Y; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  be two correct  $\mathcal{V}$ -close chain morphisms and  $D : S(A; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  be a  $\mathcal{V}$ -small chain homotopy between  $\varphi|_{S(A; G)}$  and  $\phi|_{S(A; G)}$ , where  $Y$  is a space and  $A \subset Y$  is closed.

Everywhere below we say that a set  $B \subset T$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ -small if  $B$  is contained in some element of  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ .

**Claim 1.** *For every singular simplex  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$  there is a non-empty convex  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ -small set  $\Lambda_\sigma \subset T$  such that:*

- $\Lambda_\tau \subset \Lambda_\sigma$  and  $|\varphi(\tau)| \cup |\phi(\tau)| \subset \Lambda_\sigma$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ ;
- If  $\sigma \in S(A; G)$ , then  $\Lambda_\sigma$  contains also  $|D(\tau)|$ ,  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ .

Indeed, since  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -close, for every singular simplex  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$  there exists  $\tilde{V}_\sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  such that  $|\varphi(\tau)| \cup |\phi(\tau)| \subset \tilde{V}_\sigma$  for all singular simplexes  $\tau \in S(Y; G)$  which are faces of  $\sigma$ . In particular,  $\tilde{V}_\sigma$  contains the non-empty sets  $|\varphi(v)| \cup |\phi(v)|$ ,  $v$  is a vertex of  $\sigma$  (recall that  $|\varphi(v)|$  and  $|\phi(v)|$  are both non-empty because  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  are correct). Similarly, using that  $D$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -small, for any  $\sigma \in S(A; G)$  we can find  $\tilde{V}_\sigma^D \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  containing all  $|D(\tau)| \cup |\varphi(v)| \cup |\phi(v)|$ , where  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$  and  $v$  is a vertex of  $\sigma$ . On the other hand,  $|\varphi(v)| \cup |\phi(v)| \subset \tilde{V}_\sigma$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{V}_\sigma \cap \tilde{V}_\sigma^D \neq \emptyset$  for any singular simplex  $\sigma \in S(A; G)$ . Next, for any singular simplex  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$  let

$$\Gamma_\sigma = \bigcap \{ \tilde{V}_s \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}} : \sigma \text{ is a face of a singular simplex } s \in S(Y; G) \}$$

and

$$\Gamma_\sigma^D = \bigcap \{ \tilde{V}_s^D \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}} : s \in S(A; G) \text{ is a simplex and } \sigma \text{ is a face of } s \}.$$

Obviously,  $\Gamma_\sigma \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$ , and  $\Gamma_\sigma^D \neq \emptyset$  provided  $\sigma \in S(A; G)$ . We assume that  $\Gamma_\sigma^D = \emptyset$  if  $\sigma \notin S(A; G)$ . Consider the sets  $\Omega_\sigma = \Gamma_\sigma \cup \{\Gamma_\tau^D : \tau \text{ is a face of } \sigma\}$ ,  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$ . Observe that if a singular simplex  $\tau \in S(A; G)$  is a face of some  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$ , then  $\Gamma_\sigma \cap \Gamma_\tau^D \neq \emptyset$  because this set contains all  $|\varphi(v)| \cup |\phi(v)|$  with  $v$  being a vertex of  $\tau$ . Moreover, each of the sets  $\Gamma_\sigma$  and  $\Gamma_\tau^D$  are  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ -small sets. So,  $\Omega_\sigma \subset \text{St}(\Gamma_\sigma, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ ,  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$ , where  $\text{St}(\Gamma_\sigma, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$  denotes the star of the set  $\Gamma_\sigma$  with respect to  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ . Thus,  $\Omega_\sigma$  is a  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ -small subset of  $T$  (recall that  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is a star refinement of  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ ). This implies that the convex hull  $\Lambda_\sigma$  of  $\Omega_\sigma$  is a convex non-empty  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ -small subset of  $T$ . It follows from the definitions of  $\Gamma_\sigma$  and  $\Gamma_\sigma^D$  that  $\Omega_\sigma$  contains  $\Omega_\tau$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ , so  $\Lambda_\tau \subset \Lambda_\sigma$ . Because for any singular simplex  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$  (resp.,  $\sigma \in S(A; G)$ ) and a face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  the set  $\Gamma_\sigma$  contains  $|\varphi(\tau)| \cup |\phi(\tau)|$  (resp.,  $\Gamma_\sigma^D$  contains  $|D(\tau)|$ ), the sets  $\Lambda_\sigma$  have the same property. This completes the proof of Claim 1.

We are going to construct first a chain homotopy  $\tilde{D} : S(Y; G) \rightarrow S(T, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1; G)$  between  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  such that  $\tilde{D}(c) = D(c)$  for all  $c \in S(A; G)$ . To this end, observe that both  $\varphi(\sigma)$  and  $\phi(\sigma)$  are singular 0-simplexes in  $S(X; G)$  for any singular 0-simplex  $\sigma \in S(Y; G)$ . Then  $\varphi(\sigma) - \phi(\sigma)$  is a 0-cycle in  $S_0(\Lambda_\sigma; G)$ . So, there is a singular 1-chain  $c_\sigma^1 \in S_1(\Lambda_\sigma; G)$  with  $\partial c_\sigma^1 = \varphi(\sigma) - \phi(\sigma)$  (recall that  $H_0(\Lambda_\sigma; G) = 0$  because  $\Lambda_\sigma$  is a convex set). We define  $D'_0(\sigma) = c_\sigma^1$  if  $\sigma \notin S_0(A; G)$  and  $D'_0(\sigma) = D_0(\sigma)$  if  $\sigma \in S_0(A; G)$ . Thus,  $|D'_0(\sigma)| \subset \Lambda_\sigma$  for all singular 0-simplexes  $\sigma$  of  $S(Y; G)$ . So, we can extend  $D'_0$  linearly to a homomorphism  $\tilde{D}_0 : S_0(Y; G) \rightarrow S_1(T, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1; G)$ .

Assume that homomorphisms  $\tilde{D}_k : S_k(Y; G) \rightarrow S_{k+1}(T, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1; G)$  were defined for all  $k \leq m$  such that:

- (1)  $\partial\tilde{D}_k(c^k) = \varphi(c^k) - \phi(c^k) - \tilde{D}_{k-1}(\partial c^k)$  for all  $c^k \in S_k(Y; G)$ ;
- (2)  $\tilde{D}_k(c^k) = D_k(c^k)$  for all  $c^k \in S(A; G)$ ;
- (3)  $|\tilde{D}_i(\tau)| \subset \Lambda_\sigma$  for any singular  $k$ -simplex  $\sigma \in S_k(Y; G)$  and any singular  $i$ -simplex  $\tau$ , which is a face of  $\sigma$ .

To define  $\tilde{D}_{m+1}$ , let  $\sigma$  be a singular  $(m + 1)$ -simplex in  $S_{m+1}(Y; G)$ . Then  $|\varphi(\sigma)| \cup |\phi(\sigma)| \subset \Lambda_\sigma$  and, according to (3),  $\Lambda_\sigma$  contains also  $|\tilde{D}_m(\partial\sigma)|$ . Therefore, the chain  $\gamma_\sigma = \varphi(\sigma) - \phi(\sigma) - \tilde{D}_m(\partial\sigma)$  belongs to  $S_{m+1}(\Lambda_\sigma; G)$ . It is easily seen that  $\gamma_\sigma$  is a cycle, and since  $\Lambda_\sigma$  is convex, there is a chain  $c_\sigma^{m+2} \in S_{m+2}(\Lambda_\sigma; G)$  with  $\partial c_\sigma^{m+2} = \gamma_\sigma$ . We define  $D'_{m+1}(\sigma) = c_\sigma^{m+2}$  if  $\sigma \notin S_{m+1}(A; G)$  and  $D'_{m+1}(\sigma) = D(\sigma)$  if  $\sigma \in S_{m+1}(A; G)$ . According to the properties of  $\Lambda_\sigma$ , we always have  $|D'_{m+1}(\sigma)| \subset \Lambda_\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \in S_{m+1}(Y; G)$ . Therefore, we can extend  $D'_{m+1}$  to a homomorphism  $\tilde{D}_{m+1} : S_{m+1}(Y; G) \rightarrow S_{m+2}(T, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1; G)$ . Obviously,  $\tilde{D}_{m+1}$  satisfies conditions (1) – (3). This completes the construction of  $\tilde{D}$ .

Finally, note that  $S(T, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1; G) \subset S(W, \mathcal{W}; G)$  because  $T \subset W$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{W}$ . Hence, for any  $k \geq 0$  the homomorphism  $\Phi_k : S_k(Y; G) \rightarrow S_{k+1}(X; G)$ ,  $\Phi_k = \mu_{k+1} \circ \tilde{D}_k$ , is well defined. According to (1) we have  $\partial\Phi_k(c^k) = \varphi_k(c^k) - \phi_k(c^k) - \Phi_{k-1}(\partial c^k)$  for all  $c^k \in S_k(Y; G)$ . Therefore,  $\Phi$  is a chain homotopy between  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  and, since  $\mu(S(W_x; G)) \subset S(U_x; G)$  for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\Phi$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -small. Because  $D$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -small,  $c \in S(A; G)$  implies  $D(c) \in S(X; G) \cap S(T, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1; G)$ . So,  $\Phi(c) = D(c)$  for all  $c \in S(A; G)$  (recall that  $\mu$  is an algebraic retraction). Thus,  $\Phi$  extends  $D$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a metric algebraic ANR $_G$ . Then for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$  such that any two correct  $\mathcal{V}$ -close morphisms  $\varphi, \phi : S(Y; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$ , where  $Y$  is a metric space, are  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic.*

Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 remain true if the singular complex  $S(Y; G)$  and the space  $A \subset Y$  are replaced by a simplicial complex  $C(K; G)$  and a sub-complex  $L$  of  $K$ , respectively.

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $X$  is a metric algebraic ANR $_G$ , then for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$  such that, for any two correct  $\mathcal{V}$ -close chain morphisms  $\varphi, \phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$ , where  $K$  is a simplicial complex, and any  $\mathcal{V}$ -small chain homotopy  $D : C(L; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi|_{C(L; G)}$  and  $\phi|_{C(L; G)}$  with  $L$  being a sub-complex of  $K$ , there exists a chain  $\mathcal{U}$ -small homotopy  $\Phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  extending  $D$ .*

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $X$  be a metric algebraic ANR $_G$ . Then for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$  such that any two correct  $\mathcal{V}$ -close morphisms  $\varphi, \phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$ , where  $K$  is a simplicial complex, are  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic.*

### 3. Homologically $UV^n$ -maps

In this section we establish some properties of closed  $UV^n(G)$ -maps. We start this section with the following statement, which is an analogue of the corresponding result for homotopically  $UV^n$ -maps, see for example [5].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed homologically  $UV^n(G)$  surjection between paracompact spaces. Then for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  there is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $Y$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$  such that any correct partial algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ , where  $K$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex, extends to a full algebraic realization  $\phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  of  $C(K; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ .*

**Proof.** Denote  $\mathcal{U}$  by  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  and for every  $y \in Y$  fix  $U_{n+1}(y) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  containing  $y$ . We are going to construct by induction open covers  $\mathcal{U}_k$  of  $Y$  for each  $k = n, n - 1, \dots, 0$  following the proof of [5, Theorem 3.1]. Since

$f$  is a closed map and each fiber  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a homologically  $UV^n(G)$ -set in  $X$ , for every  $y \in Y$  there exists a neighborhood  $V_{n+1}(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{-1}(V_{n+1}(y)) \xrightarrow{H_n} f^{-1}(U_{n+1}(y))$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_n$  be an open star-refinement of the cover  $\{V_{n+1}(y) : y \in Y\}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}_{k+1}$  is already defined, we repeat the above construction to obtain for each  $y \in Y$  its neighborhood  $V_{k+1}(y)$  with  $f^{-1}(V_{k+1}(y)) \xrightarrow{H_k} f^{-1}(U_{k+1}(y))$ , and then take  $\mathcal{U}_k$  to be an open star-refinement of the cover  $\{V_{k+1}(y) : y \in Y\}$ . We proceed recursively until construct  $\mathcal{U}_0$ .

Let show that  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}_0$  is the required cover. Suppose  $K$  is an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex and  $\varphi : C(L; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  a correct partial algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_0)$ , where  $L$  is a sub-complex of  $K$  containing all vertices of  $K$ . For every  $k \geq 1$  we are going to construct a homomorphism  $\phi_k : C_k(K; G) \rightarrow S_k(X; G)$  extending  $\varphi_k : C_k(L; G) \rightarrow S_k(X; G)$  such that  $\partial^X \circ \phi_k = \phi_{k-1} \circ \partial$  and satisfying the following condition (4<sub>k</sub>) (here,  $\partial$  and  $\partial^X$  are the boundary operators in  $C(K; G)$  and  $S(X; G)$ , respectively).

(4<sub>k</sub>) For every  $k$ -simplex  $\sigma$  in  $K$  there exists  $U_\sigma^k \in \mathcal{U}_k$  such that  $|\phi_i(\tau)| \subset f^{-1}(U_\sigma^k)$  for any  $i \leq k$  and any  $i$ -dimensional face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ .

To construct  $\phi_1$ , let  $\sigma = (v_0, v_1)$  be a 1-simplex from  $K$  and take  $U_\sigma^0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$  such that  $f^{-1}(U_\sigma^0)$  contains  $|\varphi(v_i)|$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . Moreover, if  $\sigma \in L$  we can suppose that  $f^{-1}(U_\sigma^0)$  also contains  $|\varphi(\sigma)|$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_0$  is a star refinement of  $\{V_1(y) : y \in Y\}$ ,  $U_\sigma^0 \subset f^{-1}(V_1(y_\sigma))$  for some  $y_\sigma \in Y$ . So,  $\varphi(\partial\sigma) = \varphi(v_1) - \varphi(v_0)$  is a singular 0-cycle in  $f^{-1}(V_1(y_\sigma))$  (recall that each  $\varphi(v_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is a singular 0-simplex and  $\epsilon(\varphi(v_1) - \varphi(v_0)) = 0$ , where  $\epsilon : S_0(X; G) \rightarrow G$  is the augmentation of  $S(X; G)$ ). Since  $f^{-1}(V_1(y_\sigma)) \xrightarrow{H_1} f^{-1}(U_1(y_\sigma))$ , there exists a 1-chain  $c_\sigma^1 \in f^{-1}(U_1(y_\sigma))$  such that  $\partial^X(c_\sigma^1) = \varphi(\partial\sigma)$ . We define  $\phi'_1(\sigma) = c_\sigma^1$  if  $\sigma \notin L$  and  $\phi'_1(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma)$  if  $\sigma \in L$ , and extend  $\phi'_1$  linearly to a homomorphism  $\phi_1 : C_1(K; G) \rightarrow S_1(X; G)$ . Then condition (4<sub>1</sub>) is satisfied with  $U_\sigma^1 = U_1(y_\sigma)$  because  $|\phi(v_0)| \cup |\phi(v_1)| \cup |\phi_1(\sigma)| \subset f^{-1}(U_1(y_\sigma))$ .

Suppose that the homomorphisms  $\phi_i$  have been already constructed for some  $k > 1$  and all  $i \leq k$ , and let  $\sigma$  be a  $(k+1)$ -simplex of  $K$ . Choose  $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$  and  $U_0^k \in \mathcal{U}_k$  such that  $f^{-1}(U_0)$  contains all  $|\varphi(v)|$  with  $v \in \sigma^{(0)}$  and  $U_0 \subset U_0^k$ . In case  $\sigma \in L$ , we can suppose that  $|\varphi(\sigma)|$  is also contained in  $f^{-1}(U_0)$ . Then, by (4<sub>k</sub>), for every  $k$ -simplex  $\tau$ , which is a face of  $\sigma$ , there exists  $U_\tau^k \in \mathcal{U}_k$  such that  $|\phi_i(s)| \subset f^{-1}(U_\tau^k)$  for all  $i$ -dimensional faces  $s$  of  $\tau$ ,  $i \leq k$ . In particular,  $f^{-1}(U_\tau^k)$  contains  $|\varphi(v)|$  for all vertexes  $v$  of  $\tau$ . Because  $|\varphi(v)| \neq \emptyset$  for all  $v \in K^{(0)}$  (recall that  $\varphi$  is correct), we have  $|\varphi(v)| \subset f^{-1}(U_0^k) \cap f^{-1}(U_\tau^k) \neq \emptyset$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  and all  $v \in \tau^{(0)}$ . Consequently,  $f^{-1}(\text{St}(U_0^k, \mathcal{U}_k)) \neq \emptyset$  and  $|\phi_k(\partial(\sigma))| \subset f^{-1}(\text{St}(U_0^k, \mathcal{U}_k))$ , where  $\text{St}(U_0^k, \mathcal{U}_k)$  is the star of  $U_0^k$  with respect to  $\mathcal{U}_k$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_k$  is a star-refinement of  $\{V_{k+1}(y) : y \in Y\}$ ,  $|\phi_k(\partial(\sigma))| \subset f^{-1}(V_{k+1}(y_\sigma))$  for some  $y_\sigma \in Y$ . Hence,  $\phi_k(\partial(\sigma))$  is a singular  $k$ -cycle in  $f^{-1}(V_{k+1}(y_\sigma))$ . Finally, since  $f^{-1}(V_{k+1}(y_\sigma)) \xrightarrow{H_k} f^{-1}(U_{k+1}(y_\sigma))$ , there exists a  $(k+1)$ -chain  $c_\sigma^{k+1} \in f^{-1}(U_{k+1}(y_\sigma))$  such that  $\partial^X(c_\sigma^{k+1}) = \phi_k(\partial(\sigma))$ . We define  $\phi'_{k+1}(\sigma) = c_\sigma^{k+1}$  if  $\sigma \notin L$  and  $\phi'_{k+1}(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma)$  if  $\sigma \in L$ , and then extend  $\phi'_{k+1}$  to a homomorphism  $\phi_{k+1} : C_{k+1}(K; G) \rightarrow S_{k+1}(X; G)$  by linearity. Condition (4<sub>k+1</sub>) is satisfied with  $U_\sigma^{k+1} = U_{k+1}(y_\sigma)$ .

In this way we complete the inductive step. The required full algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$  is the chain morphism  $\phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  with  $\phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^{n+1}$ .  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 implies the following “approximate lifting” of chain morphisms, see [4, Theorem 16.7] for the homotopical version.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be as Proposition 3.1. Then every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  has an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  covering  $Y$  such that: If  $K$  is an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex,  $L$  its sub-complex and  $\varphi_L : C(L; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$ ,  $\phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(Y; G)$  are two correct chain morphisms such that  $\phi|C(L; G) = f_\# \circ \varphi_L$  and  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -small, then there is a chain morphism  $\varphi_K : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  extending  $\varphi_L$  with  $\phi$  and  $f_\# \circ \varphi_K$  being  $\mathcal{U}$ -close.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $Y$  and choose  $\mathcal{U}_1$  to be a star-refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then, there exists a corresponding refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  satisfying Proposition 3.1. Let  $\phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(Y; G)$  and  $\varphi_L : C(L; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  be chain morphisms satisfying the hypotheses of the corollary. We can suppose that  $L$  contains all vertexes of  $K$ . Indeed, otherwise for any  $v \in K^{(0)} \setminus L$  let  $\phi(v) = g \cdot \sigma^0$  with  $g \in G$  and  $\sigma^0$  being a singular 0-simplex in  $Y$ . Then  $|\sigma^0|$  is a point in  $Y$ , and define  $\varphi_L(v) = g \cdot \tau^0$ , where  $\tau^0$  is a singular 0-simplex in  $X$  with  $f(|\tau^0|) = |\sigma^0|$ .

Because  $\phi|_{C(L; G)} = f_{\sharp} \circ \varphi_L$  and  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -small,  $\varphi_L$  is a correct partial algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ . So,  $\varphi_L$  extends to a full algebraic realization  $\varphi_K : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  of  $C(K; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1)$ . Hence, for every  $\sigma \in K$  there exists  $U'_\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $|\varphi_K(\tau)| \subset f^{-1}(U'_\sigma)$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ . On the other hand, since  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -small, there exists  $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $|\phi(v)| \neq \emptyset$  and  $|\phi(v)| \cup |\phi(\tau)| \subset V_\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$  and  $v \in \sigma^{(0)}$ . Hence, for all  $v \in \sigma^{(0)}$  we have  $|\phi(v)| \subset V_\sigma \cap U'_\sigma$ . So,  $\text{St}(V_\sigma; \mathcal{U}_1) \neq \emptyset$  and it contains  $|\phi(\tau)| \cup f(|\varphi_K(\tau)|)$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ . Finally, since  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is a star refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ , there is  $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$  containing all  $|\phi(\tau)| \cup f(|\varphi_K(\tau)|)$ ,  $\tau$  being a face of  $\sigma$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $f_{\sharp} \circ \varphi_K$ .  $\square$

Let  $K$  be a singular sub-complex of  $S(P; G)$ ,  $X$  be a space and  $\mathcal{U}$  an open cover of  $X$ . If  $L$  is a sub-complex of  $K$  containing all 0-singular simplexes of  $K$ , we say that a chain morphism  $\varphi : L \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is a *partial singular realization* of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  if for every singular simplex  $\sigma \in K$  there exists  $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $|\varphi(\tau)| \subset U_\sigma$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  with  $\tau \in L$ . If  $L = K$ , then  $\varphi$  is called a *full singular realization of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{U}$* . If in the above definition  $\varphi(\sigma)$  is a singular 0-simplex in  $S(X; G)$  for every singular 0-simplex  $\sigma$  of  $K$ , then  $\varphi$  is said to be a *correct partial singular realization of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{U}$* .

The proofs of Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 remain true if  $\varphi$  is a correct partial singular realization of  $K = S^{(n+1)}(P; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ . In this case  $\varphi$  extends to a full singular realization of  $K$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ . So, we have the following “singular analogues” of Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be as in Proposition 3.1. Then for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  there is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $Y$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$  such that any correct partial singular realization of a singular complex  $S^{(n+1)}(P; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$  extends to a full singular realization of  $S^{(n+1)}(P; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ .*

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be as Proposition 3.1. Then every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  has an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  covering  $Y$  such that: If  $S^{(n+1)}(P; G)$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional singular complex,  $L$  its sub-complex and  $\varphi : L \rightarrow S(X; G)$ ,  $\phi : S^{(n+1)}(P; G) \rightarrow S(Y; G)$  are two correct chain morphisms such that  $\phi|_L = f_{\sharp} \circ \varphi$  and  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -small, then there is a chain morphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : S^{(n+1)}(P; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  extending  $\varphi$  with  $\phi$  and  $f_{\sharp} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$  being  $\mathcal{U}$ -close.*

Another application of Proposition 3.3 is the following chain analogue of Dugundji’s extension theorem for  $LC^n$ -spaces [6]. For that reason we introduce the following notion: a chain morphism  $\varphi : S(Z; G) \rightarrow S(Y; G)$  is said to be *continuous* if for every  $z \in Z$  and any neighborhood  $U$  of  $|\varphi(z)|$  in  $Y$  there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z$  in  $Z$  with  $|\varphi(z')| \subset U$  for all  $z' \in V$  (here,  $z$  and  $z'$  are treated as singular 0-simplexes in  $S(Z; G)$ ). For example, if  $f : Z \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map, then the chain morphism  $f_{\sharp} : S(Z; G) \rightarrow S(Y; G)$  is continuous.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be as in Proposition 3.1. Then each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  admits an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  with the property: If  $A$  is a closed subset of a metric space  $M$  and  $\varphi : S(A; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is a continuous correct  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -small chain morphism, then there exist a neighborhood  $W$  of  $A$  in  $M$ , an open cover  $\omega$  of  $W$  and a  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ -small chain morphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : S^{(n+1)}(W, \omega; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi}(c) = \varphi(c)$  for all  $c \in S^{(n+1)}(W, \omega; G) \cap S(A; G)$ .*

**Proof.** Every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  has a refinement  $\mathcal{V}'$  satisfying Proposition 3.3, and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an open star refinement of  $\mathcal{V}'$ . Suppose  $\varphi : S(A; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is a continuous correct  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -small morphism, where  $A$  is a closed subset of a metric space  $(M, \rho)$ . According to [6], there is a locally finite canonical open cover  $\alpha$  of

$M \setminus A$ . This means that for every  $a \in A$  and its neighborhood  $O(a)$  in  $M$  there exists another neighborhood  $\Gamma(a)$  in  $M$  such that  $\text{St}(\Gamma(a), \alpha) \subset O(a)$ . For every  $\Lambda \in \alpha$  we choose a point  $a_\Lambda \in A$  with

$$(5) \quad \rho(a_\Lambda, \Lambda) < 2 \sup_{z \in \Lambda} \rho(z, A).$$

Since  $\varphi$  is correct and continuous, for every  $a \in A$  we find  $V_a \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\varepsilon_a > 0$  with  $|\varphi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(V_a)$  for all  $z \in A \cap B_\rho(a, \varepsilon_a)$ , where  $B_\rho(a, \varepsilon_a)$  is the open ball in  $M$  with radius  $\varepsilon_a$  and center  $a$ . Using the notations above, let  $\Gamma(a)$  be the corresponding to  $O(a) = B_\rho(a, \varepsilon_a/3)$  neighborhood of  $a$ , and let  $W = \bigcup_{a \in A} \Gamma(a)$  and  $\omega = \{\Gamma(a) : a \in A\}$ .

**Claim 2.** *If  $\Lambda \cap \Gamma(a) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $\Lambda \in \alpha$  and  $a \in A$ , then  $a_\Lambda \in B_\rho(a, \varepsilon_a)$ .*

Indeed, for any such  $\Lambda$  we have  $\Lambda \subset B_\rho(a, \varepsilon_a/3)$ . Consequently,  $2 \sup_{z \in \Lambda} \rho(z, A) < 2\varepsilon_a/3$  and, according to (5), there is  $z_\Lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\rho(a_\Lambda, z_\Lambda) < 2\varepsilon_a/3$ . So,  $\rho(a_\Lambda, a) \leq \rho(a_\Lambda, z_\Lambda) + \rho(z_\Lambda, a) < \varepsilon_a$ .

Obviously,  $L = S_0(W; G) \cup S^{(n+1)}(A, \omega; G)$  is a sub-complex of the complex  $S^{(n+1)}(W, \omega; G)$  containing all singular 0-simplexes in  $W$ . For any singular 0-simplex  $z \in S_0(W; G)$  we define  $\phi'_0(z) = \varphi(z)$  if  $z \in A$  and  $\phi'(z) = \varphi(a_{\Lambda(z)})$  if  $z \in W \setminus A$ , where  $\Lambda(z)$  is an arbitrary element of  $\alpha$  containing  $z$ . Next, extend  $\phi'_0$  to a homomorphism  $\phi_0 : S_0(W; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  by linearity. Obviously,  $\phi_0$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $\phi : L \rightarrow S(X; G)$  by defining  $\phi(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in S^{(n+1)}(A, \omega; G) \setminus S_0(W; G)$ .

**Claim 3.**  *$\phi$  is a correct partial singular realization of  $S^{(n+1)}(W, \omega; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}')$ .*

The correctness of  $\phi$  follows from the correctness of  $\varphi$ . To show that  $\phi$  is a partial singular realization of  $S^{(n+1)}(W, \omega; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}')$ , let  $\sigma \in S^{(n+1)}(W, \omega; G)$  be a singular simplex and  $z$  its vertex. Then  $|\sigma| \subset \Gamma(a) \in \omega$  for some  $a \in A$ , so  $z$  is a point from  $\Gamma(a)$  (we identify  $z$  with  $|z|$ ). Since  $\Gamma(a) \subset B_\rho(a, \varepsilon_a/3)$ , according to Claim 2 and the choice of  $\varepsilon_a$ , we have  $|\phi(z)| = |\varphi(a_{\Lambda(z)})| \subset f^{-1}(V_a)$  if  $z \in W \setminus A$ . The inclusion  $|\phi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(V_a)$  holds also if  $z \in A$ . Therefore,  $|\phi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(V_a)$  for all vertices  $z$  of  $\sigma$ . Take  $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{V}'$  with  $\text{St}(V_a, \mathcal{V}) \subset V_\sigma$  (recall that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a star refinement of  $\mathcal{V}'$ ) and let  $\tau \in L$  be a face of  $\sigma$ . If  $\tau$  is 0-simplex, then  $|\phi(\tau)|$  (being a subset of  $f^{-1}(V_a)$ ) is contained in  $f^{-1}(V_\sigma)$ . If  $\tau$  is a singular simplex of dimension  $\geq 1$ , then  $\tau \in S^{(n+1)}(A, \omega; G)$ . Because  $\varphi$  is  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -small, there is  $V_\tau \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $|\varphi(z)| \cup |\varphi(\tau)| \subset f^{-1}(V_\tau)$  for all vertices  $z$  of  $\tau$ . So,  $|\varphi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(V_a) \cap f^{-1}(V_\tau)$  for any vertex  $z$  of  $\tau$ . Consequently,  $\text{St}(V_a, \mathcal{V}) \neq \emptyset$  and contains  $|\phi(\tau)|$ . Hence,  $|\phi(\tau)| \subset f^{-1}(V_\sigma)$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ . Thus,  $\phi$  is a correct partial singular realization of  $S^{(n+1)}(W, \omega; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}')$ .

Finally, by Proposition 3.3,  $\phi$  extends to a full singular realization  $\tilde{\phi}$  of  $S^{(n+1)}(W, \omega; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}$  is  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ -small and  $\tilde{\phi}(c) = \varphi(c)$  for any  $c \in S^{(n+1)}(W, \omega; G) \cap S(A; G)$ .  $\square$

If in Proposition 3.5  $\dim M \leq n + 1$ , then we have the following “approximate extension” version of Proposition 3.5

**Proposition 3.6.** *Suppose  $f$  is as in Proposition 3.1. Then for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  there exists an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $Y$  with the following property: If  $\varphi : S(A; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is a continuous correct  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -small chain morphism, where  $A$  is a closed subset of a metric space  $M$  with  $\dim M \leq n + 1$ , then there exist an open set  $W \subset M$  containing  $A$ , an open cover  $\alpha$  of  $W$  and a correct chain morphism  $\phi : S(W, \alpha; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  such that  $\phi|S(A, \alpha; G)$  and  $\varphi|S(A, \alpha; G)$  are  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ -close.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{U}_1$  be a star refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Take another open cover  $\mathcal{V}_1$  of  $Y$  satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1 with respect to  $\mathcal{U}_1$  (i.e., any correct partial algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_1)$  can be extended to a full algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1)$ , where  $K$  is a simplicial complex with  $\dim K \leq n + 1$ ). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a locally finite open star-refinement of  $\mathcal{V}_1$ . Since

$\varphi$  is continuous and correct, for every  $a \in A$  there are  $V_a \in \mathcal{V}$  and a neighborhood  $O_a$  of  $a$  in  $A$  with  $|\varphi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(V_a)$  for any  $z \in O_a$ . Take a locally finite open cover  $\Gamma = \{\Lambda_t : t \in T\}$  of  $A$  refining the cover  $\{O_a : a \in A\}$  such that the nerve of  $\Gamma$  is of dimension  $\leq n + 1$ . Because  $M$  is a metric space, we can extend each  $\Lambda_t \in \Gamma$  to an open set  $\tilde{\Lambda}_t \subset M$  such that for any finitely many  $\Lambda_{t_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{t_k}$  we have  $\bigcap \tilde{\Lambda}_{t_i} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\bigcap \Lambda_{t_i} \neq \emptyset$ . The last relation implies that the nerve  $K$  of  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{\tilde{\Lambda}_t : t \in T\}$  is also of dimension  $\leq n + 1$ . Let  $W = \bigcup \{\tilde{\Lambda}_t : t \in T\}$ . For every  $\tilde{\Lambda}_t \in \tilde{\Gamma}$  choose a point  $a(t) \in \Lambda_t$  and define  $\psi'_0(\tilde{\Lambda}_t) = \varphi(a(t))$ , where  $\tilde{\Lambda}_t$  is considered as a vertex of  $K$  and  $a(t)$  as a singular 0-simplex from  $S(A; G)$ . Then extend  $\psi'_0$  to a homomorphism  $\psi_0 : C_0(K; G) \rightarrow S_0(X; G)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is a star-refinement of  $\mathcal{V}_1$ ,  $\psi_0$  is a correct partial algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $\mathcal{V}_1$ . Indeed suppose  $\sigma = (\tilde{\Lambda}_{t_0}, \dots, \tilde{\Lambda}_{t_m})$  is a simplex from  $K$ . Then for every  $i = 0, \dots, m$  there is  $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $|\varphi(a)| \in f^{-1}(V_i)$  for all  $a \in \Lambda_{t_i}$ . So,  $f^{-1}(V_0) \cap f^{-1}(V_i) \neq \emptyset$  and  $|\varphi(a(t_i))| \subset f^{-1}(V_i)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m$ . Hence,  $\text{St}(f^{-1}(V_0), f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}))$  contains  $\bigcup |\varphi(a(t_i))|$ . Consequently,  $\bigcup |\varphi(a(t_i))| \subset f^{-1}(V')$  for some  $V' \in \mathcal{V}_1$  (recall that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a star-refinement of  $\mathcal{V}_1$ ). Thus,  $\psi_0$  is a correct partial algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $\mathcal{V}_1$ .

So,  $\psi_0$  extends to a full algebraic realization  $\psi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  of  $C(K; G)$  in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1)$ . Let  $\kappa : W \rightarrow |K|$  be a canonical map, where the polytope  $|K|$  is equipped with the Whitehead topology. According to [7, Proposition 8.6.6], there are an open cover  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $|K|$  such that each  $|s|$ ,  $s \in K$ , is contained in some  $P_s \in \mathcal{S}$ , and a chain equivalence  $\gamma : S(|K|, \mathcal{S}; G) \rightarrow C^\Omega(K; G)$ . Here  $C^\Omega(K; G)$  is the chain complex whose simplexes are finite arrays  $[\tilde{\Lambda}_0, \tilde{\Lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Lambda}_k]$ , where all  $\tilde{\Lambda}_i$ , not necessarily distinct, are vertices of  $K$  spanning a simplex from  $K$ . There exists also a natural chain morphism  $\theta : C^\Omega(K; G) \rightarrow C(K; G)$  such that  $\theta([\tilde{\Lambda}_0, \tilde{\Lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Lambda}_k])$  is the simplex  $(\tilde{\Lambda}_0, \tilde{\Lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Lambda}_k) \in K$  if all  $\tilde{\Lambda}_i$  are distinct, and 0 otherwise. Let  $\alpha$  be the intersection of the covers  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  and  $\kappa^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ , and let  $\phi_1 : S(W, \alpha; G) \rightarrow C(K; G)$  and  $\phi : S(W, \alpha; G) \rightarrow C(K; G)$  be the chain morphisms  $\phi_1 = \theta \circ \gamma \circ \kappa_\#$  and  $\phi = \psi \circ \phi_1$ , respectively.

Let show that  $\varphi|S(A, \alpha; G)$  and  $\phi|S(A, \alpha; G)$  are  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ -close. Indeed, since  $\varphi$  is  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -small, for any singular simplex  $\sigma \in S(A, \alpha; G)$  there is  $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{V}$  with  $|\varphi(\tau)| \subset f^{-1}(V_\sigma)$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma_1 = \kappa_\#(\sigma)$  is a singular simplex from  $S(|K|, \mathcal{S}; G)$  such that, according to the definition of  $\gamma$  (see [7, p. 339]),  $\gamma(\sigma_1)$  is a “simplex”  $s = [\tilde{\Lambda}_0, \tilde{\Lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Lambda}_k]$  from  $C^\Omega(K; G)$  satisfying the following condition: if  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ , then  $\kappa_\#(\tau)$  is a face of  $\sigma_1$  and the vertices of  $\gamma(\kappa_\#(\tau))$  are also vertices of  $\gamma(\kappa_\#(\sigma))$ . In particular, for any vertex  $v$  of  $\sigma$  we have  $\gamma(\kappa_\#(v)) = \gamma(\kappa_\#(|v|))$  is one of the vertexes  $\tilde{\Lambda}_i$  such that  $|v|$  is a point from  $\Lambda_i$ . So, for every face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  either  $\phi_1(\tau) = 0$  or  $\phi_1(\tau)$  is a simplex from  $K$  whose vertices are contained in the set  $\{\tilde{\Lambda}_i; i = 0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , but definitely the union of all  $\phi(\tau)$ ,  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ , is non-empty. Hence, there exists a simplex  $\delta \in K$  containing  $\phi_1(\tau)$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  such that the vertices of  $\delta$  are in the set  $\{\tilde{\Lambda}_i; i = 0, 1, \dots, k\}$ . Since  $\psi$  is  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1)$ -small, we can find  $U_\delta \in \mathcal{U}_1$  containing all  $|\phi(\tau)| \subset f^{-1}(U_\delta)$ ,  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ . We fix a vertex  $v^*$  of  $\sigma$ . Then  $\phi_1(v^*) = \tilde{\Lambda}_j$  for some  $j$  with  $|v^*| \in \Lambda_j$ , and let  $V_a \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $|\varphi(z)| \in f^{-1}(V_a)$  for all  $z \in \Lambda_j$ . So, according to the definition of  $\psi$ ,  $\phi(v^*) = \psi(\tilde{\Lambda}_j) = \varphi(z^*)$  for some  $z^* \in \Lambda_j$ . Consequently,  $|\phi(v^*)| = |\varphi(z^*)| \in f^{-1}(V_a)$ . Hence,  $|\phi(v^*)| \in f^{-1}(V_a) \cap f^{-1}(U_\sigma)$  and  $|\varphi(v^*)| \in f^{-1}(V_a) \cap f^{-1}(V_\sigma)$ . Therefore, since  $\mathcal{V}$  is refining  $\mathcal{U}_1$ , for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  we have

$$|\varphi(\tau)| \cup |\phi(\tau)| \subset f^{-1}(V_\sigma) \cup f^{-1}(U_\sigma) \subset \text{St}(f^{-1}(V_a), f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1)).$$

Because  $\text{St}(f^{-1}(V_a), f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1))$  is contained in  $f^{-1}(U)$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , we finally obtain that  $\varphi|S(A, \alpha; G)$  and  $\phi|S(A, \alpha; G)$  are  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ -close.  $\square$

Next proposition is an analogue of Proposition 2.4.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be as in Proposition 3.1,  $Z$  an arbitrary space and  $A \subset Z$  a closed subset. Then for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  there exists an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that for any two correct  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -close chain morphisms  $\varphi, \phi : S^{(n)}(Z; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  and any  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -small chain homotopy  $\Phi : S(A; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi|S^{(n)}(A; G)$  and  $\phi|S^{(n)}(A; G)$  there exists a  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ -small homotopy  $D : S^{(n)}(Z; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  extending  $\Phi$ .*

**Proof.** We follow the proof of [2, Lemma 5.4]. As in the proof of Proposition 3.1, for every  $k = n, n-1, \dots, 0$  we construct open covers  $\mathcal{U}_k$  and  $\mathcal{V}_k$  of  $Y$  such that  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_k$  star-refines  $\mathcal{V}_{k+1}$  for  $k = n-1, \dots, 0$  and for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}_k$  there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$  with  $f^{-1}(V) \xrightarrow{H_k} f^{-1}(U)$  if  $k = n, \dots, 1$  and  $\text{St}(f^{-1}(V), f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_0)) \xrightarrow{H_0} f^{-1}(U)$  if  $k = 0$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0$  is the required cover. Indeed, suppose  $\varphi, \phi : S^{(n)}(Z; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  are two  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -close correct chain morphisms and  $\Phi : S(A; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is a  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -small chain homotopy between  $\varphi|S^{(n)}(A; G)$  and  $\phi|S^{(n)}(A; G)$ . We are going to construct homomorphisms  $D_k : S_k(Z; G) \rightarrow S_{k+1}(X; G)$  such that:

- (6)  $\partial D_k(c^k) = \varphi(c^k) - \phi(c^k) - D_{k-1}(\partial c^k)$  for all  $c^k \in S_k(Z; G)$ ;
- (7)  $D_k(c^k) = \Phi(c^k)$  for all  $c^k \in S(A; G)$ ;
- (8) For any singular  $k$ -simplex  $\sigma \in S_k(Z; G)$  there is  $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_k$  such that  $f^{-1}(U_\sigma)$  contains  $|D_i(\tau)| \cup |\phi(\tau)|$  for all  $i \leq k$  and all  $i$ -dimensional faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ .

Because  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  are  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -close, for any  $z \in Z$  there is  $V_z \in \mathcal{V}$  with  $\varphi(z), \phi(z)$  being singular 0-simplexes in  $f^{-1}(V_z)$  (we identify each  $z \in Z$  with the singular 0-simplex  $\sigma \in S_0(Z; G)$  such that  $|\sigma| = \{z\}$ ). So,  $\varphi(z) - \phi(z)$  is a singular 0-cycle in  $f^{-1}(V_z)$ . Since  $\text{St}(f^{-1}(V_z), f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})) \xrightarrow{H_0} f^{-1}(U_z)$  for some  $U_z \in \mathcal{U}_0$ , there is  $c_z^1 \in S_1(f^{-1}(U_z); G)$  with  $\partial c_z^1 = \varphi(z) - \phi(z)$ . For every  $z \in Z$  we define  $D'_0(z) = c_z^1$  if  $z \notin A$  and  $D'_0(z) = \Phi(z)$  if  $z \in A$ , and extend  $D'_0$  linearly to a homomorphism  $D_0 : S_0(Z; G) \rightarrow S_1(X; G)$ . Obviously,  $|D_0(z)| \cup |\phi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(U_z)$  if  $z \notin A$ . If  $z \in A$ , then there is  $V'_z \in \mathcal{V}$  with  $|\Phi(z)| \cup |\phi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(V'_z)$  (recall that  $\Phi$  is  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -small). So,  $|\phi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(V'_z) \cap f^{-1}(V_z)$ , which shows that  $\text{St}(f^{-1}(V_z), f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})) \neq \emptyset$  and contains  $|\Phi(z)| \cup |\phi(z)|$ . Thus,  $|\Phi(z)| \cup |\phi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(U_z)$  for all  $z \in Z$ . Therefore,  $D_0$  satisfies conditions (6) – (8).

Suppose we already constructed the homomorphisms  $D_i : S_i(Z; G) \rightarrow S_{i+1}(X; G)$ ,  $i \leq k$ , satisfying the above conditions, and let  $\sigma$  be a singular  $(k+1)$ -simplex from  $S_{k+1}(Z; G)$ . Since  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  are  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -close, there exists  $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $|\phi(z)| \cup |\varphi(\tau)| \cup |\phi(\tau)| \subset f^{-1}(V_\sigma)$  for all faces  $\tau$  and all vertexes  $z$  of  $\sigma$ . On the other hand, according to (8), for any  $k$ -singular face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  there is  $U_\tau^k \in \mathcal{U}_k$  with  $f^{-1}(U_\tau^k)$  containing  $|D_i(s)| \cup |\phi(z)|$  for all  $i \leq k$  and all  $i$ -dimensional faces  $s$  of  $\tau$  and  $z \in \tau^{(0)}$ . So,  $|\phi(z)| \subset f^{-1}(V_\sigma) \cap f^{-1}(U_\tau^k)$  for all  $k$ -faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  and all  $z \in \tau^{(0)}$ . Hence,  $\text{St}(f^{-1}(V_\sigma); f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_k)) \neq \emptyset$  and contains  $|\gamma_\sigma|$  and all  $|D_i(s)| \cup |\phi(s)|$ ,  $i \leq k$  and  $s$  is a  $i$ -dimensional face of  $\sigma$ , where  $\gamma_\sigma = \varphi(\sigma) - \phi(\sigma) - D_k(\partial\sigma)$ . Choose  $V_\sigma^{k+1} \in \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$  and  $U_\sigma^{k+1} \in \mathcal{U}_{k+1}$  such that  $\text{St}(f^{-1}(V_\sigma); f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_k)) \subset f^{-1}(V_\sigma^{k+1})$  and  $f^{-1}(V_\sigma^{k+1}) \xrightarrow{H_{k+1}} f^{-1}(U_\sigma^{k+1})$ . Finally, since  $\gamma_\sigma$  is a singular  $(k+1)$ -cycle in  $f^{-1}(V_\sigma^{k+1})$ , we can find a  $(k+2)$ -chain  $c_\sigma^{k+2} \in S_{k+2}(f^{-1}(U_\sigma^{k+1}); G)$  with  $\partial c_\sigma^{k+2} = \gamma_\sigma$ . Define  $D'_{k+1}(\sigma) = c_\sigma^{k+2}$  if  $\sigma \notin S_{k+1}(A; G)$  and  $D'_{k+1}(\sigma) = \Phi(\sigma)$  if  $\sigma \in S_{k+1}(A; G)$ , and extend  $D'_{k+1}$  linearly to a homomorphism  $D_{k+1} : S_{k+1}(Z; G) \rightarrow S_{k+1}(X; G)$  satisfying conditions (6) – (8).

In this way we construct the homomorphisms  $D_k$  for all  $k \leq n$  satisfying conditions (6) – (8). Then  $D = \{D_k\}_{k \leq n}$  is the required homotopy between  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  extending  $\Phi$ .  $\square$

We also have the following proposition, whose proof is similar to that one of Proposition 3.7.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be as in Proposition 3.1,  $K$  a simplicial complex with  $\dim K \leq n$  and  $L$  a sub-complex of  $K$ . Then for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  there exists an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that for any two correct  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -close chain morphisms  $\varphi, \phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  and any  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ -small chain homotopy  $\Phi : C(L; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi|C(L; G)$  and  $\phi|C(L; G)$  there exists a  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ -small homotopy  $D : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  extending  $\Phi$ .*

#### 4. Homologically locally connected spaces

First, let us note that all results from Section 3 remain true in case  $X$  is an  $lc_G^n$ -space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is the identity map. Some of these results characterize  $lc_G^n$ -spaces. For example, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *A paracompact space  $X$  is  $lc_G^n$  if and only if each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  has an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  such that for any two correct  $\mathcal{V}$ -close chain morphisms  $\varphi, \phi : S^{(n)}(Z; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$ , where  $Z$  is an arbitrary space, there exists an  $\mathcal{U}$ -small homotopy  $D : S^{(n)}(Z; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$ .*

**Proof.** The necessity follows from Proposition 3.7. So, we need to prove only the sufficiency. Suppose  $X$  satisfies that condition, and let  $U_x$  be a neighborhood of a point  $x \in X$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_x, X \setminus \overline{W_x}\}$ , where  $W_x$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  with  $\overline{W_x} \subset U_x$ . Then there is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  satisfying the hypotheses of the proposition. We can assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a star-refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ , and take  $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$  containing  $x$ . Obviously,  $\text{St}(V_x, \mathcal{V}) \subset U_x$ . Consider the correct chain morphisms  $\varphi, \phi : S^{(n)}(V_x; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  defined by  $\varphi(c) = c$  and  $\phi(\sigma^k) = \sigma_x^k$  for all  $c \in S^{(n)}(V_x; G)$  and all singular  $k$ -simplexes  $\sigma^k \in S^{(n)}(V_x; G)$ , where  $\sigma_x^k$  denotes the unique singular  $k$ -simplex with  $|\sigma_x^k| = \{x\}$ . Then there exists a  $\mathcal{U}$ -small homotopy  $D : S^{(n)}(V_x; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  between  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$ . Let  $c^k = \sum g_i \sigma_i^k \in S^{(n)}(V_x; G)$  be a  $k$ -cycle,  $k \leq n$ . Hence,  $D(c^k)$  is a chain from  $S_k(X; G)$  such that  $\partial D(c^k) = c^k - \phi(c^k)$ . Define  $c^{k+1} = D(c^k) + (\sum g_i) \sigma_x^{k+1}$ . So,  $\partial c^{k+1} = c^k - (\sum g_i) \sigma_x^k + (\sum g_i) \partial \sigma_x^{k+1}$ . When  $k + 1$  is an odd integer, we have  $\partial \sigma_x^{k+1} = \sigma_x^k$ . Therefore, in this case  $\partial c^{k+1} = c^k$ . For even integers  $k + 1$  we have  $\partial \sigma_x^{k+1} = 0$  and  $\partial \sigma_x^k = \sigma_x^{k-1}$ . Then, since  $c^k$  is a cycle,  $0 = \partial \phi(c^k) = (\sum g_i) \sigma_x^{k-1}$ . Consequently,  $\sum g_i = 0$  and  $\partial c^{k+1} = c^k$ . Therefore,  $\partial c^{k+1} = c^k$  for all integers  $k$ .

It remains to see that  $|c^{k+1}| \subset U_x$ . To this end, let  $\sigma_j^k$  be a fixed singular simplex from the representation of  $c^k$ . Since  $D$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -small,  $|D(\sigma_j^k)| \cup |\phi(v)|$  is contained in an element of  $\mathcal{U}$  for every vertex  $v$  of  $\sigma_j^k$ . But  $|\phi(v)| = |\sigma_x^0| = \{x\}$ , so  $|D(\sigma_j^k)| \subset U_x$  for all  $j$ . Because  $|(\sum g_i) \sigma_x^{k+1}| = \{x\}$ , we finally conclude that  $|c^{k+1}| \subset U_x$ .  $\square$

Here is another property of  $lc_G^n$ -spaces, similar to the corresponding property for  $LC^n$ -spaces (see [8, Theorem 6.1]).

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a paracompact  $lc_G^n$ -space. Then for each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there exists a simplicial complex  $K$  of dimension  $\leq n + 1$  together with a correct chain morphism  $\Phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  such that for every correct continuous  $\mathcal{V}$ -small chain morphism  $\varphi : S(Y; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$ , where  $Y$  is a paracompact space with  $\dim Y \leq n + 1$ , there exist an open cover  $\Upsilon$  of  $Y$  and a chain morphism  $\phi : S(Y, \Upsilon; G) \rightarrow C(K; G)$  such that  $\varphi|_{S(Y, \Upsilon; G)}$  and  $(\Phi \circ \phi)$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a given open cover of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{U}_1$  be a star open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then there is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}_1$  of  $X$  satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1 (with  $X = Y, \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1$  and  $f$  being the identity). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a locally finite star-refinement of  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $K$  be the  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional skeleton of the nerve of  $\mathcal{V}$  (we consider  $K$  as a simplicial complex, not as a polytope). For each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  pick a point  $x_v \in V$  and define  $\Phi'_0(V) = x_v$  ( $V$  is considered here as a vertex of  $K$ ), and extend  $\Phi'_0$  to a homomorphism  $\Phi_0 : C_0(K; G) \rightarrow S_0(X; G)$ . Because  $\mathcal{V}$  is a star-refinement of  $\mathcal{V}_1$ ,  $\Phi_0$  is a correct partial algebraic realization of  $C(K; G)$  in  $\mathcal{V}_1$ . So, by Proposition 3.1,  $\Phi_0$  extends to a full algebraic realization  $\Phi : C(K; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  in  $\mathcal{U}_1$ .

Suppose now that  $Y$  is a paracompact space of dimension  $\leq n + 1$  and  $\varphi : S(Y; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is a continuous correct  $\mathcal{V}$ -small morphism. Then  $\varphi(y)$  is a singular 0-simplex in  $S(X; G)$ , so  $|\varphi(y)|$  is a point and it is contained in some  $V_y \in \mathcal{V}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is continuous, there is a neighborhood  $\Lambda_y$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  such that  $|\varphi(z)| \subset V_y$  for all  $z \in \Lambda_y$ . In this way we obtain an open cover  $\Gamma = \{\Lambda_y : y \in Y\}$  of  $Y$ . Because  $\dim Y \leq n + 1$ , we can suppose that  $\Gamma$  is locally finite and its nerve  $\mathcal{N}_\Gamma$  is at most  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional. So, there exists a simplicial map  $\lambda : \mathcal{N}_\Gamma \rightarrow K$  defined by the assignment  $\Lambda_y \mapsto V_y, y \in Y$ , and let  $\kappa : Y \rightarrow |\mathcal{N}_\Gamma|$  be a canonical map ( $|\mathcal{N}_\Gamma|$  is equipped with the Whitehead topology). According to [7, Proposition 8.6.6], there are an open cover  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $|\mathcal{N}_\Gamma|$  such that each  $|s|, s \in \mathcal{N}_\Gamma$ , is contained in some  $P_s \in \mathcal{S}$ , and a chain equivalence  $\gamma : S(|\mathcal{N}_\Gamma|, \mathcal{S}; G) \rightarrow C^\Omega(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma; G)$ . Here  $C^\Omega(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma; G)$  is the chain complex whose simplexes are finite arrays  $[\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k]$ , where all  $\Lambda_i$ , not necessarily distinct, are vertices of  $\mathcal{N}_\Gamma$  spanning a simplex from  $\mathcal{N}_\Gamma$ . There exists also a natural chain morphism  $\theta : C^\Omega(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma; G) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma; G)$  such that  $\theta([\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k])$  is the

simplex  $(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k) \in C(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma; G)$  if all  $\Lambda_i$  are distinct, and 0 otherwise. Let  $\Upsilon$  be the intersection of the covers  $\Gamma$  and  $\kappa^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ , and let  $\phi : S(Y, \Upsilon; G) \rightarrow C(K; G)$  be the chain morphism  $\phi = \lambda_\# \circ \theta \circ \gamma \circ \kappa_\#$ .

It remains to show that  $\phi|S(Y, \Upsilon; G)$  and  $(\Phi \circ \phi)$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close. We follow the final part of the proof of Proposition 3.6. Let  $\sigma \in S(Y, \Upsilon; G)$  be a singular simplex. Since  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -small, there is  $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{V}$  containing  $|\phi(\tau)|$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma_1 = \kappa_\#(\sigma)$  is a singular simplex from  $S(|\mathcal{N}_\Gamma|, \mathcal{S}; G)$  such that, according to the definition of  $\gamma$  (see [7, p. 339]),  $\gamma(\sigma_1)$  is a “simplex”  $s = [\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k]$  from  $C^\Omega(\mathcal{N}; G)$  satisfying the following condition: if  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ , then  $\kappa_\#(\tau)$  is a face of  $\sigma_1$  and the vertices of  $\gamma(\kappa_\#(\tau))$  are also vertices of  $\gamma(\kappa_\#(\sigma))$ . In particular, for any vertex  $v$  of  $\sigma$  we have  $\gamma(\kappa_\#(v)) = \gamma(\kappa_\#(|v|))$  is one of the vertexes  $\Lambda_i$  such that  $|v|$  is a point from  $\Lambda_i$ . So, for every face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  either  $\phi(\tau) = 0$  or  $\phi(\tau)$  is a simplex from  $K$  whose vertices are contained in the set  $\{\lambda(\Lambda_i); i = 0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , but definitely the union of all  $\phi(\tau)$ ,  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ , is non-empty. Hence, there exists a simplex  $\delta \in K$  containing  $\phi(\tau)$  for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  such that the vertices of  $\delta$  are in the set  $\{\lambda(\Lambda_i); i = 0, 1, \dots, k\}$ . Since  $\Phi$  is  $\mathcal{U}_1$ -small, we can find  $U_\delta \in \mathcal{U}_1$  containing all  $|\Phi(\phi(\tau))| \subset U_\delta$ ,  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ . We fix a vertex  $v^*$  of  $\sigma$ . Then  $\phi(v^*) = \lambda(\Lambda_j)$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq k$  with  $|v^*| \in \Lambda_j$ , and  $\emptyset \neq |\Phi(\phi(v^*))| \subset U_\delta$ . But  $\Phi(\lambda(\Lambda_j))$  is a singular 0-simplex from  $S(X, G)$  whose carrier is a point  $x^* \in \lambda(\Lambda_j)$ . Consequently, according to the definition of the sets  $\Lambda_y$ , we have  $|\phi(v^*)| \in \lambda(\Lambda_j)$ . Therefore,  $x^* \in U_\delta \cap \lambda(\Lambda_j)$  and  $|\phi(v^*)| \in V_\sigma \cap \lambda(\Lambda_j)$  with  $\lambda(\Lambda_j), V_\sigma \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $U_\delta \in \mathcal{U}_1$ . Since  $V_\sigma$  is contained in some element of  $\mathcal{U}_1$ , we have that

$$|\phi(\tau)| \cup |\Phi(\phi(\tau))| \subset U_\delta \cup V_\sigma \cup \lambda(\Lambda_j) \subset \text{St}(\lambda(\Lambda_j), \mathcal{U}_1)$$

for all faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ . Finally, since  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is a star refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ , there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  containing  $\text{St}(\lambda(\Lambda_j), \mathcal{U}_1)$ .  $\square$

Because every  $n$ -dimensional metric  $LC^n$ -space is an  $ANR$ , it is interesting if  $n$ -dimensional metric  $lc_G^n$ -spaces are algebraic  $ANR_G$ . We still do not know whether this is true, but we can show that any such space has a weaker property.

**Definition 4.3.** We say that a metric space  $X$  is an *approximate absolute neighborhood  $G$ -retract* (briefly, *algebraic  $AANR_G$* ) if for every embedding of  $X$  as a closed subset of a metric space  $Y$  and every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there is a neighborhood  $W$  of  $X$  in  $Y$ , an open cover  $\alpha$  of  $W$  and a chain morphism  $\phi : S(W, \alpha; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  such that  $\phi|S(W, \alpha; G)$  and the identity morphism on  $S(X, \alpha; G)$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close. The morphism  $\phi$  is called an algebraic approximate  $\mathcal{U}$ -retraction.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Any  $n$ -dimensional metric  $lc_G^n$ -space is an  $AANR_G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a metric  $lc_G^n$ -space and  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . By [9]  $X$  can be embedded as a closed subset of an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional metrizable  $AR$ -space  $Z$ . According to Proposition 3.6, there exist an open set  $W_Z \subset Z$  containing  $X$ , an open cover  $\beta$  of  $W_Z$  and a chain morphism  $\phi : S(W_Z, \beta; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  such that  $\phi|S(W_Z, \beta; G)$  and the identity on  $S(X, \beta; G)$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close. Now, assume  $X$  is a closed subset of a metric space  $Y$  and  $r : Y \rightarrow Z$  is a map extending the identity on  $X$  (such  $r$  exists because  $Z$  is an  $AR$ ). Let  $W = r^{-1}(W_Z)$  and  $\alpha = r^{-1}(\beta)$ . Then  $\phi \circ r_\# : S(W, \alpha; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  is an algebraic approximate  $\mathcal{U}$ -retraction.  $\square$

It is well known that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed homotopically  $UV^n$ -surjection between metric spaces, then  $Y$  is  $LC^n$ , see [1], [4], [5]. The question whether the homological version of this result is also true is very natural. It is easily seen that  $Y$  is  $lc_G^0$  provided  $f$  is a closed homologically  $UV_G^0$ -surjection between paracompact spaces. We can show that  $Y$  has the an “approximate version” of the  $lc_G^n$ -property if  $f$  is a closed homologically  $UV_G^n$ -surjection.

**Definition 4.5.** A space  $X$  has the *approximate  $lc_G^n$ -property* if for every  $x \in X$  and its neighborhood  $U_x$  in  $X$  there exist two neighborhoods  $V_x \subset W_x$  such that for every cycle  $c^k \in S_k(V_x; G)$ ,  $k \leq n$ , there exists a cycle  $\tilde{c}^k \in S_k(W_x; G)$  whose vertices are the same as of  $c^k$  and homologous to zero in  $U_x$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed homologically  $UV^n(G)$ -surjection between paracompact spaces. Then  $Y$  is approximately  $lc_G^n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $y \in Y$  and  $U_y \subset Y$  be a neighborhood of  $y$ . Choose another two neighborhoods  $W_y$  and  $O_y$  of  $y$  such that  $\overline{O_y} \subset W_y$  and  $f^{-1}(W_y) \xrightarrow{H_k} f^{-1}(U_y)$  for all  $k \leq n$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{W_y, Y \setminus \overline{O_y}\}$  is an open cover of  $Y$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a star-refinement of  $\mathcal{U}_1$ . There exists another open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $Y$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$  and satisfying the hypotheses of Corollary 3.4. Let  $V_y$  be an element of  $\mathcal{V}$  containing  $y$ . Obviously,  $\text{St}(V_y, \mathcal{U}) \subset W_y$ . Consider  $L = S_0(V_y; G)$  as a sub-complex of  $S^{(n+1)}(V_y; G)$ . Identifying the points of  $V_y$  and  $f^{-1}(V_y)$  with the singular 0-simplexes in  $V_y$  and  $f^{-1}(V_y)$ , respectively, for every  $z \in V_y$  we define  $\varphi'(z) = x_z$  with  $x_z \in f^{-1}(y)$ , and extend  $\varphi'$  to a homomorphism  $\varphi : L \rightarrow S_0(X; G)$ . We can consider  $\varphi$  as a correct chain morphism from  $L$  into  $S(X; G)$ . Since the identity morphism  $\phi : S^{(n+1)}(V_y; G) \rightarrow S(Y; G)$  is correct and  $\mathcal{V}$ -small and  $f_{\#} \circ \varphi = \phi|L$ , according to Corollary 3.4,  $\varphi$  can be extended to a chain morphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : S^{(n+1)}(V_y; G) \rightarrow S(X; G)$  such that  $\phi$  and  $f_{\#} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close. Now, suppose  $c^k$  is a singular  $k$ -cycle in  $S^{(n+1)}(V_y; G)$  for some  $k \leq n$ . Then  $\eta^k = \tilde{\varphi}(c^k)$  is a cycle in  $S_k(X; G)$  such that  $f_{\#}(\eta^k)$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $c^k$ . Since  $f_{\#} \circ \varphi = \phi|L$ , the “vertexes” of  $\tilde{c}^k = f_{\#}(\eta^k)$  and  $c^k$  coincide, so  $|\tilde{c}^k| \subset \text{St}(V_y, \mathcal{U}) \subset W_y$ . Hence,  $|\eta^k| \subset f^{-1}(W_y)$  and there exists a  $(k+1)$ -chain  $\eta^{k+1} \in S(f^{-1}(U_y); G)$  with  $\partial\eta^{k+1} = \eta^k$ . This implies that  $\tilde{c}^k$  is homologous to zero in  $U_y$ . Therefore  $Y$  is approximately  $lc_G^n$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Bogatyĭ, The theorem of Vietoris in the homotopy category, and a certain problem of Borsuk, *Fundam. Math.* 84 (3) (1974) 229–238 (in Russian).
- [2] P. Bowers, Homological characterization of boundary set complements, *Compos. Math.* 62 (1) (1978) 63–94.
- [3] R. Cauty, Rétractes absolues de voisinage algébrique, *Serdica Math. J.* 31 (2005) 309–354.
- [4] R. Daverman, *Decomposition of Manifolds*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 124, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.
- [5] J. Dugundji, Modified Vietoris theorems for homotopy, *Fundam. Math.* 66 (1970) 223–235.
- [6] J. Dugundji, Absolute neighborhood retracts and local connectedness in arbitrary metric spaces, *Compos. Math.* 13 (1958) 229–246.
- [7] P. Hilton, S. Wylie, *Homology Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1965.
- [8] S. Hu, *Theory of Retracts*, Wayne State University Press, 1965.
- [9] Y. Kodama, On embeddings of spaces into ANR and shapes, *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* 27 (1975) 533–544.
- [10] K. Sakai, *Geometric Aspects of General Topology*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Tokyo, 2013.
- [11] V. Valov, Homological dimension and homogeneous ANR spaces, *Topol. Appl.* 221 (2017) 38–50.
- [12] V. Valov, Homological selections and fixed-point theorems, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 19 (2) (2017) 1561–1570.