



Linear Topological Classifications of Certain Function Spaces

Author(s): Vesko M. Valov

Source: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 327, No. 2, (Oct., 1991), pp. 583-600

Published by: American Mathematical Society

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2001815>

Accessed: 07/05/2008 18:17

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=ams>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We enable the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

LINEAR TOPOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATIONS OF CERTAIN FUNCTION SPACES

VESKO M. VALOV

ABSTRACT. Some linear classification results for the spaces $C_p(X)$ and $C_p^*(X)$ are proved.

0. INTRODUCTION

If X is a space then $C_p(X)$ denotes the set of all continuous real-valued functions on X with the topology of pointwise convergence. We write $C_p^*(X)$ for the subspace of $C_p(X)$ consisting of all bounded functions. R stands for the usual space of real numbers, I —for the unit segment $[0, 1]$ and Q is the Hilbert cube I^ω . If $n \geq 1$ then μ^n denotes the n -dimensional universal Menger compactum. Let X be a separable metric space. A separable metric space Y is called an X -manifold if Y admits an open cover by sets homeomorphic to open subsets of X .

Results in [A1, A2 and Ps] show that the linear topological classification of the spaces $C_p(X)$ is very complicated. Below the linear topological classification results for the spaces $C_p(X)$ which I know are listed:

(1) Let X and Y be non-zero-dimensional compact polyhedra. Then $C_p(X) \sim C_p(Y)$ if and only if $\dim X = \dim Y$ [Pv]. Here the symbol “ \sim ” stands for linear homeomorphism.

(2) If X is a locally compact subset of R^n such that $\text{cl}(\text{Int}(X)) \cap (R^n - X) \neq \emptyset$ then $C_p(X) \sim C_p(R^n)$ [Dr1].

(3) If X is a 1-dimensional compact ANR with finite ramification points or a continuum X is a one-to-one continuous image of $[0, \infty)$ then $C_p(X) \sim C_p(I)$ [KO].

For topological classification results of the spaces $C_p(X)$ see [BGM, BGMP, GH and M].

The aim of this paper is to prove the following results:

(4) $C_p(X) \sim C_p(Q)$ if and only if X is a compact metric space containing a copy of Q .

(5) Let X be a subset of R^n . Then $C_p(X) \sim C_p(I^n)$ iff X is compact and $\dim X = n$.

Received by the editors February 8, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 46E10, 54C35.

Key words and phrases. Linear homeomorphism, function space, manifold.

(6) $C_p(X) \sim C_p(\mu^n)$ if and only if X is an n -dimensional compact metric space containing a copy of μ^n .

(7) $C_p(X) \sim C_p(l_2)$ provided X is an l_2 -manifold (by l_2 is denoted the separable Hilbert space).

(8) Let X be one of the spaces Q , I^n or μ^n , and Y be a locally compact subset of an X -manifold. Then $C_p(Y) \sim C_p(X)^\omega$ if and only if Y contains a closed copy of the topological sum $\sum X_i$ of infinitely many copies of X .

Similar results are also proved for the spaces $C_p^*(X)$.

I am indebted to A. Dranishnikov and the referee for many valuable comments.

1. PRELIMINARIES

All spaces under discussion are Tychonoff and all mappings between topological spaces are continuous. By $L_p(X)$ is denoted the dual linear space of $C_p(X)$ with the weak (i.e. pointwise) topology. It is known that

$$L_p(X) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \delta_{x_i} : a_i \in \mathbb{R} - (0) \text{ and } x_i \in X \text{ for each } i \leq k \right\}.$$

Here δ_x is the Dirac measure at the point $x \in X$. We denote

$$P_\infty(X) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \delta_{x_i} : a_i \in (0, 1) \text{ for each } i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k a_i = 1 \right\}$$

and $\text{supp}(l) = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, where $l = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \delta_{x_i} \in L_p(X)$.

Let A be a closed subset of a space X . Consider the following conditions:

(i) There is a continuous linear extension operator $u: C_p(A) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ (recall that $u: C_p(A) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ is an extension operator if $u(f)|_A = f$ for every $f \in C_p(A)$);

(ii) There is a continuous linear extension operator $u: C_p(A) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ and a positive constant c such that $\|u(f)\| \leq c \cdot \|f\|$ for every $f \in C_p^*(A)$. Here $\|f\|$ is the supremum norm of f ;

(iii) There is a regular extension operator $u: C_p(A) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ i.e. a continuous linear extension operator u with $u(1_A) = 1_X$ and $u(f) \geq 0$ provided $f \geq 0$.

A is said to be l -embedded (resp., l^* -embedded) in X if the condition (i) (resp., the condition (ii)) holds. If (iii) is satisfied then A is called strongly l -embedded in X . Dugundji [D] proved that every closed subset of a metric space X is strongly l -embedded in X (he did not state this explicitly in this form). It is known (see [AČ, Dr1]) that A is l -embedded (resp., strongly l -embedded) in X if and only if there is a mapping $r: X \rightarrow L_p(A)$ (resp., $r: X \rightarrow P_\infty(A)$) such that $r(x) = \delta_x$ for every $x \in A$. Such a mapping will be called an L_p -valued (resp., a P_∞ -valued) retraction. Every L_p -valued retraction $r: X \rightarrow L_p(A)$ defines a continuous linear extension operator $u_r: C_p(A) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ by setting

$u_r(f)(x) = r(x)(f)$. If the operator u_r satisfies the condition (ii), r is said to be a bounded L_p -valued retraction.

Let $u: C_p(A) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ be a continuous linear extension operator. Then the mapping $v(f, g) = u(f) + g$ is a linear homeomorphism from $C_p(A) \times C_p(X; A)$ onto $C_p(X)$, where

$$C_p(X; A) = \{g \in C_p(X) : g|_A = 0\}.$$

Analogously, if A is l^* -embedded in X then $C_p^*(A) \times C_p^*(X; A)$ is linearly homeomorphic to $C_p^*(X)$.

Let \mathcal{K} be a family of bounded subsets of a space X (i.e. $f|_K$ is bounded for every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $f \in C_p(X)$) and E be a linear topological subset of $C_p(X)$. Then we set

$$\left(\prod E\right)_{\mathcal{K}} = \left\{ (f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in E^\omega : \lim_n \|f_n\|_K = 0 \text{ for every } K \in \mathcal{K} \right\}$$

and

$$\left(\prod E\right)_{\mathcal{K}}^* = \left\{ (f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in \left(\prod E\right)_{\mathcal{K}} : \sup_n \|f_n\| < \infty \right\}.$$

$\left(\prod E\right)_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\left(\prod E\right)_{\mathcal{K}}^*$ are considered as topological linear subspaces of $C_p(X)^\omega$. We write $\left(\prod E\right)_b$ and $\left(\prod E\right)_c$ (resp. $\left(\prod E\right)_c^*$ and $\left(\prod E\right)_b^*$) if \mathcal{K} is the family of all bounded (resp., of all compact) subsets of X . In the above notations $\|f\|_K$ stands for the set $\sup\{|f(x)| : x \in K\}$. Let us note that if X is pseudocompact and E is a linear subset of $C_p(X)$, the space

$$\left(\prod E\right)_0 = \left\{ (f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in E^\omega : \lim_n \|f_n\| = 0 \right\}$$

is considered in [GH].

We need also the following notion: a space X is said to be a k_R -space [N] if every function $f: X \rightarrow R$ is continuous provided that $f|_K$ is continuous for each compact subset K of X .

2. LINEAR TOPOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATIONS OF $C_p(X)$

2.1 Lemma. *Let A be a strongly l -embedded (resp., l -embedded or l^* -embedded) subset of a space X . Then $A \times Y$ is strongly l -embedded (resp., l -embedded or l^* -embedded) in $X \times Y$ for every space Y .*

Proof. Suppose A is strongly l -embedded in X . So, there exists a P_∞ -valued retraction $r_1: X \rightarrow P_\infty(A)$. Define a mapping $r: X \times Y \rightarrow P_\infty(A \times Y)$ by setting

$$r(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \delta_{(x_i, y)}, \quad \text{where } r_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \delta_{x_i}.$$

It is easily shown that r is a P_∞ -valued retraction. Thus, $A \times Y$ is strongly l -embedded in $X \times Y$. One can also prove that r is a (bounded) L_p -valued retraction provided r_1 is a (bounded) L_p -valued retraction. Hence, if A is l (resp., l^*)-embedded in X then $A \times Y$ is l (resp., l^*)-embedded in $X \times Y$.

2.2 Lemma. *Let A be an l^* -embedded subset of a space X . Then $(\prod C_p(X))_b$ is linearly homeomorphic to $(\prod C_p(A))_b \times (\prod C_p(X; A))_b$.*

Proof. Let $u: C_p(A) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ be a continuous linear extension operator such that $\|u(f)\| \leq c \cdot \|f\|$ for every $f \in C_p^*(A)$, where $c > 0$. Since $\|f\| = \infty$ provided $f \in C_p(A) - C_p^*(A)$, the inequality $\|u(f)\| \leq c \cdot \|f\|$ holds for every $f \in C_p(A)$. Then the mapping $r: X \rightarrow L_p(A)$, defined by $r(x)(f) = u(f)(x)$, is an L_p -valued retraction. Consider the linear homeomorphism v from $C_p(A) \times C_p(X; A)$ onto $C_p(X)$, $v(f, g) = u(f) + g$. Suppose $(f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in C_p(A)^\omega$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots) \in C_p(X; A)^\omega$. Put

$$H(K) = \text{cl}_A \left(\bigcup \{ \text{supp}(r(x)) : x \in K \} \right),$$

where K is a subset of X . Obviously, $\|u(f_n)\|_K \leq c \cdot \|f_n\|_{H(K)}$ for every $n \in N$. By a result of Arhangel'skii [A2], $H(K)$ is a bounded subset of A provided K is a bounded subset of X . Hence, $(f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in (\prod C_p(A))_b$ if and only if $(u(f_1), \dots, u(f_n), \dots)$ belongs to $(\prod C_p(X))_b$. Consequently, $(v(f_1, g_1), \dots, v(f_n, g_n), \dots)$ belongs to $(\prod C_p(X))_b$ if $(g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots) \in (\prod C_p(X; A))_b$ and $(f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in (\prod C_p(A))_b$. Suppose

$$(v(f_1, g_1), \dots, v(f_n, g_n), \dots) \in \left(\prod C_p(X) \right)_b.$$

Then $(f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in (\prod C_p(A))_b$ because $v(f_n, g_n)|_A = f_n$ for every n . Therefore $(u(f_1), \dots, u(f_n), \dots) \in (\prod C_p(X))_b$. So we have $(g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots) \in (\prod C_p(X; A))_b$. Thus, $(v(f_1, g_1), \dots, v(f_n, g_n), \dots)$ belongs to $(\prod C_p(X))_b$ iff $(g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots) \in (\prod C_p(X; A))_b$ and $(f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in (\prod C_p(A))_b$. Hence, the formula $v_0((f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots), (g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots)) = (v(f_1, g_1), \dots, v(f_n, g_n), \dots)$ defines a linear mapping from $(\prod C_p(A))_b \times (\prod C_p(X; A))_b$ onto $(\prod C_p(X))_b$ which is a homeomorphism.

2.3 Lemma. *Let A be an l^* -embedded subset of a space X . If every closed and bounded subset of A is compact then $(\prod C_p(X \times Y))_c \sim (\prod C_p(A \times Y))_c \times (\prod C_p(X \times Y; A \times Y))_c$ for any space Y .*

Proof. Let $u_1: C_p(A) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ be a continuous linear extension operator such that $\|u_1(f)\| \leq c \cdot \|f\|$ for every $f \in C_p^*(A)$, where $c > 0$, and $r_1: X \rightarrow L_p(A)$ be defined by $r_1(x)(f) = u_1(f)(x)$. Obviously, r_1 is an L_p -valued retraction. For a given space Y the equality $r(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \delta_{(x_i, y)}$, where $r_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \delta_{x_i}$, defines an L_p -valued retraction from $X \times Y$ into $L_p(A \times Y)$. Next, set $u(f)(x, y) = r(x, y)(f)$ for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and $f \in C_p(A \times Y)$. It is easily shown that $u: C_p(A \times Y) \rightarrow C_p(X \times Y)$ is a continuous linear extension operator.

Claim 1. $\|u(f)\| \leq c \cdot \|f\|$ for every $f \in C_p^*(A \times Y)$.

Fix a point $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and an $f \in C_p^*(A \times Y)$. It follows from the definition of u that

$$u(f)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f(x_i, y), \quad \text{where } r_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \delta_{x_i}.$$

So, $|u(f)(x, y)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |a_i| \cdot \|f\|$. Take a function $g \in C_p^*(A)$ with $\|g\| = 1$ and $g(x_i) = \text{sgn}(a_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $u_1(g)(x) = r_1(x)(g) = \sum_{i=1}^k |a_i|$. Since $\|u_1(g)\| \leq c \cdot \|g\|$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^k |a_i| \leq c$. Hence, $|u(f)(x, y)| \leq c \cdot \|f\|$. Claim 1 is proved.

Claim 2. For every compact subset K of $X \times Y$ the set

$$H(K) = \text{cl}_{A \times Y} \left(\bigcup \{ \text{supp}(r(x, y)) : (x, y) \in K \} \right),$$

is also compact.

Let $n_X: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $n_Y: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the natural projections. Then $n_X(K)$ and $n_Y(K)$ are compact subsets of X and Y respectively. By a result of Arhangel'skii [A2],

$$H_1(K) = \text{cl}_A \left(\bigcup \{ \text{supp}(r_1(x)) : x \in n_X(K) \} \right)$$

is a bounded subset of A . Thus, $H_1(K)$ is compact. So $H_1(K) \times n_Y(K)$ is a compact subset of $A \times Y$. Since $r(x, y) = (\text{supp}(r_1(x))) \times \{y\}$ for every point $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, we have $H(K) \subset H_1(K) \times n_Y(K)$. Hence, $H(K)$ is compact as a closed subset of $H_1(K) \times n_Y(K)$. Claim 2 is proved.

Now, the proof of Lemma 2.3 follows from the above two claims and the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

2.4 Corollary. Let X be a product of metric spaces and A be an l^* -embedded subset of X . Then $(\prod C_p(X))_c \sim (\prod C_p(A))_c \times (\prod C_p(X; A))_c$.

Proof. Since A is closed in X , every closed bounded subset of A is compact. Thus, the proof follows from Lemma 2.3, where Y is the one-point space.

2.5 Lemma. Suppose X is a space such that both $X \times I$ and $X \times T$ are k_R -spaces, where $T = \{0, 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $C_p(X \times I)$ is linearly homeomorphic to $(\prod C_p(X \times I))_c$.

Proof. Since, by Lemma 2.1, $X \times T$ is strongly l -embedded in $X \times I$ we have

$$(1) \quad C_p(X \times I) \sim C_p(X \times T) \times C_p(X \times I; X \times T).$$

Let $I_n = [1/n + 1, 1/n]$ and $E_n = C_p(X \times I_n; X \times \{1/n + 1, 1/n\})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the set

$$\left(\prod E_n \right)_c = \left\{ (f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in \prod E_n : \lim_n \|f_n\|_{K \times I_n} = 0 \right. \\ \left. \text{for every compact subset } K \text{ of } X \right\}$$

as a topological linear subset of $\prod \{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $X \times I$ is a k_R -space

we have $C_p(X \times I; X \times T) \sim (\prod E_n)_c$. Identifying each E_n with the space $E = C_p(X \times I; X \times \{0, 1\})$ we get

$$(2) \quad C_p(X \times I; X \times T) \sim \left(\prod E\right)_c.$$

Analogously, $C_p(X \times T) \sim C_p(X \times \{0\}) \times C_p(X \times T; X \times \{0\})$ and

$$C_p(X \times T; X \times \{0\}) \sim \left(\prod C_p(X)\right)_c.$$

Thus,

$$(3) \quad C_p(X \times T) \sim C_p(X \times \{0\}) \times \left(\prod C_p(X)\right)_c \sim \left(\prod C_p(X)\right)_c.$$

By Lemma 2.3, the following holds

$$(4) \quad \left(\prod C_p(X \times I)\right)_c \sim \left(\prod C_p(X \times \{0, 1\})\right)_c \times \left(\prod E\right)_c.$$

Obviously,

$$(5) \quad \left(\prod C_p(X \times \{0, 1\})\right)_c \sim \left(\prod C_p(X)\right)_c \times \left(\prod C_p(X)\right)_c \sim \left(\prod C_p(X)\right)_c.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_p(X \times I) &\sim C_p(X \times T) \times C_p(X \times I; X \times T) \text{ by (1)} \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_p(X)\right)_c \times \left(\prod E\right)_c \text{ by (2) and (3)} \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_p(X \times I)\right)_c \text{ by (4) and (5).} \end{aligned}$$

2.6 Corollary. *Let X be as in Lemma 2.5. Then $C_p(X \times I)$ is homeomorphic to $C_p(X \times I)^\omega$.*

Proof. S. Gul'ko and T. Hmyleva [GH] proved that $(\prod C_p(X))_0$ is homeomorphic to $C_p(X)^\omega \times (\prod C_p(X))_0$ for every pseudocompact space X . Using the same arguments one can see that $(\prod C_p(X))_c$ is homeomorphic to $C_p(X)^\omega \times (\prod C_p(X))_c$ for each X . Now, the proof of Corollary 2.6 follows from Lemma 2.5.

2.7 Lemma. *Suppose a space X contains an l -embedded copy F_1 of a space Y and Y contains an l^* -embedded copy F_2 of X . Then $C_p(X) \sim C_p(Y)$ provided one of the following conditions is fulfilled:*

- (i) $C_p(Y) \sim (\prod C_p(Y))_b$;
- (ii) $C_p(Y) \sim (\prod C_p(Y))_c \sim (\prod C_p(F_2))_c \times (\prod C_p(Y; F_2))_c$.

Proof. We have $C_p(X) \sim C_p(F_1) \times E_1$ and $C_p(Y) \sim C_p(F_2) \times E_2$, where $E_1 = C_p(X; F_1)$ and $E_2 = C_p(Y; F_2)$. Thus, $C_p(X) \sim C_p(Y) \times E_1$. Suppose $C_p(Y) \sim (\prod C_p(Y))_b$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$\left(\prod C_p(Y)\right)_b \sim \left(\prod C_p(F_2)\right)_b \times \left(\prod E_2\right)_b,$$

so

$$\left(\prod C_p(Y)\right)_b \sim \left(\prod C_p(X)\right)_b \times \left(\prod E_2\right)_b.$$

Therefore,

$$C_P(Y) \sim \left(\prod C_P(Y)\right)_b \sim C_P(Y) \times \left(\prod C_P(Y)\right)_b \\ \sim C_P(Y) \times \left(\prod C_P(X)\right)_b \times \left(\prod E_2\right)_b.$$

Hence, $C_P(X) \sim E_1 \times C_P(Y) \sim E_1 \times C_P(Y) \times (\prod C_P(X))_b \times (\prod E_2)_b \sim C_P(X) \times (\prod C_P(X))_b \times (\prod E_2)_b \sim (\prod C_P(X))_b \times (\prod E_2)_b \sim C_P(Y)$.

If condition (ii) is fulfilled we use the same arguments.

2.8 Theorem. (i) *Let X be a subspace of R^n . Then $C_P(X) \sim C_P(I^n)$ if and only if X is compact and $\dim X = n$;*

(ii) $C_P(X) \sim C_P(Q)$ *if and only if X is a compact metric space containing a copy of Q .*

Proof. We prove only the first part of Theorem 2.8. The proof of (ii) is analogous to that of (i).

Suppose $C_P(X) \sim C_P(I^n)$. Then by [A2 and A3] X is a compact metric space. Next, it follows from a result of Pavlovskii [Pv] that there is a nonempty open subset of I^n which can be embedded in X . Thus, $\dim X = n$.

Now, let X be a compact n -dimensional subset of R^n . Then X contains a copy of I^n . On the other hand X can be considered as a subset of I^n . Hence, by Corollary 2.4, $(\prod C_P(I^n))_c \sim (\prod C_P(X))_c \times (\prod C_P(I^n; X))_c$. Since $C_P(I^n) \sim (\prod C_P(I^n))_c$ (see Lemma 2.5), we derive from Lemma 2.7(ii) that $C_P(X) \sim C_P(I^n)$.

2.9 Theorem. *Let μ^n be the n -dimensional universal Menger compactum. Then $C_P(X) \sim C_P(\mu^n)$ if and only if X is an n -dimensional compact metric space containing a copy of μ^n .*

Proof. Let $C_P(X) \sim C_P(\mu^n)$. Then, by results of Arhangel'skii [A2, A3] and Pestov [Ps], X is an n -dimensional compact metric space. It follows from [Pv] that there exists an open subset of μ^n which can be embedded in X . But each open subset of μ^n contains a copy of μ^n [Bt]. Thus, X contains a copy of μ^n .

Suppose X is an n -dimensional compact metric space containing a copy of μ^n . Since X can be embedded in μ^n , by Lemma 2.7(ii) and Corollary 2.4 it is enough to show that $C_P(\mu^n) \sim (\prod C_P(\mu^n))_c$. For proving this fact we need the following result of Dranishnikov [Dr2]: There is a mapping f_n from μ^n onto Q such that $f_n^{-1}(P)$ is homeomorphic to μ^n for every LC^{n-1} & C^{n-1} -compact subspace P of Q . Now, consider Q as a product $Q_1 \times I$, where Q_1 is a copy of Q . Let $T = \{0, 1/k; k \in N\}$ and $T^* = f_n^{-1}(Q_1 \times T)$. Then

$$(6) \quad C_P(\mu^n) \sim C_P(T^*) \times C_P(\mu^n; T^*)$$

and

$$C_P(T^*) \sim C_P(f_n^{-1}(Q_1 \times \{0\})) \times C_P(T^*; f_n^{-1}(Q_1 \times \{0\})).$$

Since each of the sets $f_n^{-1}(Q_1 \times \{1/k\})$, $k \in N$, and $f_n^{-1}(Q_1 \times \{0\})$ is homeomorphic to μ^n , we have

$$C_P(T^*; f_n^{-1}(Q_1 \times \{0\})) \sim \left(\prod C_P(\mu^n) \right)_c$$

and

$$C_P(f_n^{-1}(Q_1 \times \{0\})) \sim C_P(\mu^n).$$

Thus,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} C_P(T^*) &\sim C_P(\mu^n) \times \left(\prod C_P(\mu^n) \right)_c \sim \left(\prod C_P(\mu^n) \right)_c \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P(\mu^n) \right)_c \times \left(\prod C_P(\mu^n) \right)_c \sim \left(\prod C_P(\mu^n) \right)_c \times C_P(T^*). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} C_P(\mu^n) &\sim C_P(T^*) \times C_P(\mu^n; T^*) \quad \text{by (6)} \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P(\mu^n) \right)_c \times C_P(T^*) \times C_P(\mu^n; T^*) \quad \text{by (7)} \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P(\mu^n) \right)_c \times C_P(\mu^n) \sim \left(\prod C_P(\mu^n) \right)_c. \end{aligned}$$

2.10 Theorem. *Let X be a metric space and τ be an infinite cardinal. Suppose Y is an l^* -embedded subspace of the product X^τ and Y contains an l^* -embedded copy of X^τ . Then $C_P(Y) \sim C_P(X^\tau)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 and Lemma 2.7(ii), it is enough to show that $C_P(X^\tau) \sim \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c$. Since τ is infinite we have $X^\tau = (X^\omega)^\tau$. So we can suppose that X is not discrete. Thus, there exists a nontrivial converging sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$ in X with $\lim x_n = x_0$. Let $T = \{x_0, x_n; n \in N\}$. By Lemma 2.1, $X^\tau \times T$ is l -embedded in $X^\tau \times X$. Therefore,

$$C_P(X^\tau) \sim C_P(X^\tau \times T) \times C_P(X^\tau \times X; X^\tau \times T).$$

But $C_P(X^\tau \times T) \sim C_P(X^\tau \times \{x_0\}) \times C_P(X^\tau \times T; X^\tau \times \{x_0\})$ because $X^\tau \times \{x_0\}$ is also l -embedded in $X^\tau \times T$. Since $X^\tau \times T$ is a k_R -space [N] we have $C_P(X^\tau \times T; X^\tau \times \{x_0\}) \sim \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} C_P(X^\tau \times T) &\sim C_P(X^\tau \times \{x_0\}) \times \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c \sim \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c \times \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c \sim C_P(X^\tau \times T) \times \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_P(X^\tau) &\sim C_P(X^\tau \times T) \times C_P(X^\tau \times X; X^\tau \times T) \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c \times C_P(X^\tau \times T) \times C_P(X^\tau \times X; X^\tau \times T) \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c \times C_P(X^\tau) \sim \left(\prod C_P(X^\tau) \right)_c. \end{aligned}$$

2.11 Corollary. *Let X be a separable metric space and $\tau > \omega$. Then $C_p(X^\tau) \sim C_p(Y)$ for every closed G_δ -subset Y of X^τ .*

Proof. Suppose Y is a closed G_δ -subset of X^τ . It is well known (see for example [PP]) that modulo a permutation of the coordinates, $Y = Z \times X^{\tau-\omega}$, where Z is a closed subset of X^ω . Thus, by Lemma 2.1, Y is l^* -embedded in X^τ . On the other hand $\{z\} \times X^{\tau-\omega}$ is an l^* -embedded copy of X^τ in Y for each $z \in Z$. Now, Theorem 2.10 completes the proof.

2.12 Corollary. *Let U be a functionally open subset of R^τ , $\tau \geq \omega$. Then $C_p(U) \sim C_p(R^\tau)$.*

Proof. Modulo a permutation of the coordinates, $U = V \times R^{\tau-\omega}$, where V is open in R^ω . Obviously, U contains an l^* -embedded copy of R^τ . Since there is an embedding of V in R^ω as a closed subset, by Lemma 2.1, U can be l^* -embedded in R^τ . Thus, by Theorem 2.10, $C_p(U) \sim C_p(R^\tau)$.

Let f be a mapping from a space X onto a space Y . Recall that a continuous linear operator $u: C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$ is said to be an averaging operator for f if $u(h \circ f) = h$ for every $h \in C_p(Y)$. If f admits a regular averaging operator $u: C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$ we can define a mapping $r: Y \rightarrow P_\infty(X)$ by the formula $r(y)(g) = u(g)(y)$. The mapping r has the following property [Dr1]: $\text{supp}(r(y))$ is contained in $f^{-1}(y)$ for each $y \in Y$. Conversely, if there is a mapping $r: Y \rightarrow P_\infty(X)$ such that $\text{supp}(r(y)) \subset f^{-1}(y)$ for every $y \in Y$, then the formula $u(g)(y) = r(y)(g)$ defines a regular averaging operator u for f . It is easily seen that if u is a regular averaging operator for f the mapping $v(g) = (u(g), g - u(g) \circ f)$ is a linear homeomorphism from $C_p(X)$ onto $C_p(Y) \times E$, where $E = \{g - u(g) \circ f: g \in C_p(X)\}$. Dranishnikov proved [Dr1, Theorem 9] that $C_p(R^n) \sim C_p(U)$ for every open subset U of R^n . The same arguments are used in the proof of Proposition 2.13 below.

2.13 Proposition. *Let $\{U_i: i \in N\}$ be an infinite locally finite functionally open cover of a space X . Suppose there is a space Y with $C_p(\text{cl}_X(U_i)) \sim C_p(Y)$ for each $i \in N$. Then $C_p(X) \sim C_p(Y)^\omega$ provided X contains an l -embedded copy of a topological sum $\sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$ such that $C_p(F_i) \sim C_p(Y)$ for every $i \in N$.*

Proof. For every $i \in N$ take an $f_i \in C_p(X)$ such that $f_i^{-1}(0) = X - U_i$ and $f_i \geq 0$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty f_i = 1$. Let $f \in C_p(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i))$ such that $f|_{\text{cl}_X(U_i)} = f_i|_{\text{cl}_X(U_i)}$. Consider the natural mapping $p: \sum \text{cl}_X(U_i) \rightarrow X$ with all preimages finite. Let $r: X \rightarrow P_\infty(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i))$ be defined by $r(x) = \sum \{f(y) \cdot \delta_y: y \in p^{-1}(x)\}$. It is easily seen that r is continuous and $\text{supp}(r(x)) \subset p^{-1}(x)$ for every $x \in X$. Thus, there is a regular averaging operator $u: C_p(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ for p . Hence, $C_p(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i))$ is linearly homeomorphic to $C_p(X) \times E$, where E is a linear subspace of $C_p(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i))$. Since $\sum F_i$ is l -embedded in X we have $C_p(X) \sim C_p(\sum F_i) \times C_p(X; \sum F_i)$. Observe that

$$C_p\left(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)\right) \sim \prod_{i=1}^\infty C_p(\text{cl}_X(U_i)) \sim C_p(Y)^\omega \sim C_p\left(\sum F_i\right).$$

Now, using the technique of Pelczynski [P] and Bessaga [B] we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_p(X) &\sim C_p\left(\sum F_i\right) \times C_p\left(X; \sum F_i\right) \sim C_p(Y)^\omega \times C_p\left(X; \sum F_i\right) \\ &\sim (C_p(Y)^\omega \times \cdots \times C_p(Y)^\omega \times \cdots) \times C_p(Y)^\omega \times C_p\left(X; \sum F_i\right) \\ &\sim (C_p(Y)^\omega \times \cdots \times C_p(Y)^\omega \times \cdots) \times C_p(X) \\ &\sim (C_p(X) \times E \times \cdots \times C_p(X) \times E \times \cdots) \times C_p(X) \\ &\sim C_p(X)^\omega \times E^\omega \sim (C_p(X) \times E)^\omega \sim C_p\left(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)\right)^\omega \sim C_p(Y)^\omega. \end{aligned}$$

2.14 Theorem. *Let Y be a noncompact separable metric space and X be one of the spaces Q, I^n, μ^n, l_2 . Then $C_p(Y) \sim C_p(X)^\omega$ provided Y is an X -manifold.*

Proof. Let $\{U_i; i \in N\}$ be an infinite locally finite open cover of Y such that each $\text{cl}_Y(U_i)$ is regularly closed subset of X . It is clear that a topological sum $\sum F_i$ of infinitely many regularly closed subsets F_i of X is contained in Y as a closed subset. Since each of the sets $\text{cl}_Y(U_i)$ and $F_i, i \in N$, contains a closed copy of X , it follows from Theorem 2.8, Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.10 that $C_p(\text{cl}_Y(U_i)) \sim C_p(F_i) \sim C_p(X)$ for every $i \in N$. Hence, by Proposition 2.13, $C_p(Y) \sim C_p(X)^\omega$.

2.15 Theorem. *Let U be a functionally open subset of I^τ and τ be an uncountable cardinal. Then $C_p(U) \sim C_p(I^\tau)^\omega$.*

Proof. There exists a projection p from I^τ onto a countable face of I^τ such that $p^{-1}(p(U)) = U$ (see [PP]). Take a locally finite open cover $\{U_i; i \in N\}$ of $p(U)$ such that $\text{cl}_{I^\tau}(p^{-1}(U_i)) \subset U$ for every $i \in N$. Since each $\text{cl}_{I^\tau}(p^{-1}(U_i))$ is a closed G_δ -subset of I^τ , by Corollary 2.11, $C_p(\text{cl}_{I^\tau}(p^{-1}(U_i))) \sim C_p(I^\tau)$.

Now, let $\{x_i; i \in N\}$ be a closed discrete infinite subset of $p(U)$. So, the topological sum $\sum p^{-1}(x_i)$ is l -embedded in U (by Lemma 2.1) and obviously, each $p^{-1}(x_i)$ is homeomorphic to I^τ . Thus, by Proposition 2.13, $C_p(U) \sim C_p(I^\tau)^\omega$.

2.16 Theorem. *Let X be one of the spaces Q, I^n, μ^n , and Y be a locally compact subset of an X -manifold. Then $C_p(Y) \sim C_p(X)^\omega$ if and only if Y contains a closed copy of the topological sum $\sum X$ of infinitely many copies of X .*

Proof. The proof of the part “if” is based on a Dranishnikov’s idea from [Dr1, Theorem 9’], where it is shown that $C_p(P) \sim C_p(R^n)$ for every locally compact subset P of R^n with $\text{cl}_{R^n}(\text{Int}(P)) \cap (R^n - P) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose Y is a locally compact subspace of an X -manifold Z and contains a closed copy of the topological sum $\sum X$. Then $C_p(Y) \sim C_p(\sum X) \times C_p(Y; \sum X)$. Next, take a locally finite open cover $\{V_i; i \in N\}$ of Y such that each $\text{cl}_Y(V_i)$ is compact. For every $i \in N$ there exists an open subset U_i

of Z such that $V_i = U_i \cap Y = U_i \cap \text{cl}_Y(V_i)$. Since every set V_i is closed in U_i , $\sum V_i$ is closed in $\sum U_i$. Thus, $C_p(\sum U_i) \sim C_p(\sum V_i) \times C_p(\sum U_i; \sum V_i)$. Let $\{f_i; i \in N\}$ be a partition of unity subordinated to the cover $\{V_i; i \in N\}$. Define a continuous mapping $r: Y \rightarrow P_\infty(\sum V_i)$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.13 and by the same arguments we get that $C_p(\sum V_i)$ is linearly homeomorphic to $C_p(Y) \times E$, where E is a linear subspace of $C_p(\sum V_i)$. It follows from Theorem 2.14 that $C_p(U_i) \sim C_p(X)^\omega$ for every $i \in N$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_p(X)^\omega &\sim C_p\left(\sum U_i\right) \sim C_p\left(\sum V_i\right) \times C_p\left(\sum U_i; \sum V_i\right) \\ &\sim C_p(Y) \times E \times C_p\left(\sum U_i; \sum V_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the scheme of Pelczynski and Bessaga we get $C_p(Y) \sim C_p(X)^\omega$.

Suppose there is a linear homeomorphism θ from $C_p(\sum X) = C_p(X)^\omega$ onto $C_p(Y)$. Let K be the set $\{y \in Y; \text{ every neighborhood of } y \text{ in } Y \text{ contains a copy of } X\}$. We use the following property of X (for Q and I^n this is obvious, and for μ^n see [Bt]):

(*) Every open subset of X contains a copy of X .

Now we show that K is nonempty. Indeed, by [Pv], Y contains an open subset of $\sum X$. So, by (*), Y contains a copy F of X and $F \subset K$. Obviously K is closed in Y and it follows also from (*) that $Y - K$ does not contain a copy of X . Next, assume K is compact. Consider the set

$$L = \text{cl} \left(\bigcup \{ \text{supp}(\theta^*(\delta_y)); y \in K \} \right),$$

where $\theta^*: L_p(Y) \rightarrow L_p(\sum X)$ is the dual homeomorphism of θ . By a result of Arhangel'skii [A2], L is a compact subset of $\sum X$. Therefore, there is a $k \in N$ such that $L \subset \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$. Let $P = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$, $f \in C_p(\sum X; P)$ and $y \in K$. We have $\theta^*(\delta_y)(f) = \delta_y(\theta(f)) = \theta(f)(y)$. But $\theta^*(\delta_y)(f) = 0$ because $\text{supp}(\theta^*(\delta_y)) \subset P$. Thus, $\theta(f)$ belongs to $C_p(Y; K)$ for every $f \in C_p(\sum X; P)$. Let p be the linear projection from $C_p(\sum X) = C_p(P) \times C_p(\sum X; P)$ onto $C_p(\sum X; P)$. Then $\theta \circ p \circ \theta^{-1}: C_p(Y; K) \rightarrow \theta(C_p(\sum X; P))$ is a continuous linear retraction. This means that there is a closed linear subspace E of $C_p(Y; K)$ such that $C_p(Y; K)$ is linearly homeomorphic to $C_p(\sum X; P) \times E$. Clearly, $C_p(Y; K) \sim C_p(Y/K; (K))$, where (K) is the identification point of K in the quotient space Y/K . Analogously, $C_p(\sum X; P) \sim C_p((\sum X)/P; (P))$. Since $C_p(Y/K) \sim R \times C_p(Y/K; (K))$ and

$$C_p\left(\left(\sum X\right)/P; (P)\right) \times R \sim C_p\left(\left(\sum X\right)/P\right),$$

we get that $C_p(Y/K) \sim C_p((\sum X)/P) \times E$. Now, we need the following result of Dranishnikov [Dr1, Theorem 6]: Let X_1 and X_2 be compact metric spaces and $C_p(X_1)$ be linearly homeomorphic to a product $C_p(X_2) \times E_1$. Then $\dim X_2 \leq \dim X_1$. Actually, it is proved that X_2 is a union of countably many compact subsets which are embeddable in X_1 . It follows from Dranishnikov's arguments that the last statement remains valid if X_1 and X_2 are separable locally compact

metric spaces. Hence, there is a countable family $\{F_i; i \in N\}$ of compact subsets of $(\sum X)/P$ such that $(\sum X)/P = \bigcup\{F_i; i \in N\}$ and each F_i can be embedded in Y/K . Since $(\sum X)/P$ has the Baire property, there exists an $i_0 \in N$ with $\text{Int}(F_{i_0}) \neq \emptyset$. Then the set $\text{Int}(F_{i_0}) - \{(P)\}$ is both open in $\sum X$ and embeddable in Y/K . Thus, by $(*)$, Y/K contains a copy of X . So $Y - K$ contains also a copy of X . But we have already seen that this is not possible. Therefore K is not compact.

Take a countable infinite discrete family $\{W_i; i \in N\}$ in K consisting of open subsets of K . Let W_i^* be an open subspace of Y with $W_i^* \cap K = W_i$ for each $i \in N$. For every $i \in N$ there is a copy X_i of X such that $X_i \subset W_i^*$. It follows from $(*)$ that $X_i \subset K$ because $Y - K$ does not contain a copy of X . Hence, $X_i \subset W_i$ for every $i \in N$. So $\{X_i; i \in N\}$ is a discrete family in K . Thus, $\sum X_i$ is a closed subset of Y .

2.17 Corollary. *Let X be a locally compact (n -dimensional) separable metric space. Then $C_P(X) \sim C_P(Q)^\omega$ (resp., $C_P(X) \sim C_P(\mu^n)^\omega$) if and only if X contains a closed copy of the topological sum $\sum Q$ (resp., $\sum \mu^n$).*

Proof. Since X can be embedded in Q (resp., in μ^n), the proof follows from Theorem 2.16.

3. LINEAR TOPOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATIONS OF $C_P^*(X)$

The proofs of the Lemmas 3.1–3.4 below are similar to the proofs of the corresponding lemmas from §2.

3.1 Lemma. *Let A be an l^* -embedded subset of a space X . Then $(\prod C_P^*(X))_b^* \sim (\prod C_P^*(A))_b^* \times (\prod C_P^*(X; A))_b^*$.*

3.2 Lemma. *Let A be an l^* -embedded subset of a space X . If every closed bounded subset of A is compact then $(\prod C_P^*(X \times Y))_c^* \sim (\prod C_P^*(A \times Y))_c^* \times (\prod C_P^*(X \times Y; A \times Y))_c^*$ for any space Y .*

3.3 Corollary. *Let A be an l^* -embedded subset of a product X of metric spaces. Then*

$$\left(\prod C_P^*(X)\right)_c^* \sim \left(\prod C_P^*(A)\right)_c^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(X; A)\right)_c^*.$$

3.4 Lemma. *Suppose X is a space such that both $X \times T$ and $X \times I$ are k_R -spaces, where $T = \{0, 1/n; n \in N\}$. Then we have $C_P^*(X \times I) \sim (\prod C_P^*(X \times I))_c^*$.*

3.5 Corollary. *Let $X = \sum I^\tau$ be a topological sum of infinitely many copies of I^τ , $\tau \geq 1$. Then $C_P^*(X) \sim (\prod C_P^*(X))_c^*$.*

3.6 Lemma. *Suppose a space X contains an l^* -embedded copy F_1 of a space Y and Y contains an l^* -embedded copy F_2 of X . Then:*

- (i) $C_P^*(X) \sim (\prod C_P^*(X))_b^* \sim C_P^*(Y)$ if $C_P^*(Y) \sim (\prod C_P^*(Y))_b^*$;
- (ii) $C_P^*(X) \sim (\prod C_P^*(X))_c^* \sim C_P^*(Y)$ if $C_P^*(Y) \sim (\prod C_P^*(Y))_c^* \sim (\prod C_P^*(F_2))_c^* \times (\prod C_P^*(Y; F_2))_c^*$.

Proof. Let $C_P^*(Y) \sim (\prod C_P^*(Y))_b^*$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.7(i), one can show that $C_P^*(X) \sim C_P^*(Y)$. Next, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\left(\prod C_P^*(X)\right)_b^* \sim \left(\prod C_P^*(F_1)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(X; F_1)\right)_b^*$$

and

$$\left(\prod C_P^*(Y)\right)_b^* \sim \left(\prod C_P^*(F_2)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(Y; F_2)\right)_b^*.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod C_P^*(X)\right)_b^* &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(F_1)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(X; F_1)\right)_b^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(F_1)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(F_1)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(X; F_1)\right)_b^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(F_1)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(X)\right)_b^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(Y)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(X)\right)_b^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(F_2)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(Y; F_2)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(X)\right)_b^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(F_2)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(Y; F_2)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(F_2)\right)_b^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(F_2)\right)_b^* \times \left(\prod C_P^*(Y; F_2)\right)_b^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(Y)\right)_b^* \sim C_P^*(Y) \sim C_P^*(X). \end{aligned}$$

Using the same arguments we can prove that $(\prod C_P^*(X))_c^* \sim C_P^*(X) \sim C_P^*(Y)$ if $C_P^*(Y) \sim (\prod C_P^*(F_2))_c^* \times (\prod C_P^*(Y; F_2))_c^* \sim (\prod C_P^*(Y))_c^*$.

3.7 Corollary. *Let $\{X_i; i \in N\}$ be an infinite family of spaces such that each X_i is strongly l -embedded in a space Y and contains a strongly l -embedded copy Y_i of Y . Then $C_P^*(\sum Y_i) \sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum X_i))_b^* \sim C_P^*(\sum X_i)$ if $C_P^*(\sum Y_i) \sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum Y_i))_b^*$.*

Proof. Let for each i $u_i: C_P(X_i) \rightarrow C_P(Y)$ be a regular extension operator. Then the mapping $u: C_P(\sum X_i) \rightarrow C_P(\sum Y_i)$, defined by $u(f) = \sum u_i(f|X_i)$ is also a regular extension operator. Thus, $\sum X_i$ is l^* -embedded in $\sum Y_i$. Analogously, $\sum Y_i$ is l^* -embedded in $\sum X_i$. Now the proof follows from Lemma 3.6(i).

3.8 Theorem. *Let X be a metric space and τ be an infinite cardinal. Suppose Y is an l^* -embedded subspace of the product X^τ and Y contains an l^* -embedded copy of X^τ . Then $C_P^*(Y) \sim C_P^*(X^\tau) \sim (\prod C_P^*(X^\tau))_c^*$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.6(ii), it is enough to show that $C_P^*(X^\tau) \sim (\prod C_P^*(X^\tau))_c^*$. The last can be proved using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.10.

3.9 Corollary. *Let X be a separable metric space and $\tau > \omega$. Then $C_P^*(X^\tau) \sim C_P^*(Y)$ for every closed G_δ -subset Y of X^τ .*

3.10 Corollary. *Let U be a functionally open subset of R^τ , $\tau \geq \omega$. Then $C_P^*(R^\tau) \sim C_P^*(U)$.*

The proofs of Corollaries 3.9 and 3.10 are similar respectively to the proofs of Corollaries 2.11 and 2.12.

3.11 Proposition. *Let $\sum \mu_i^n$ be a topological sum of infinitely many copies of the n -dimensional Menger compactum. Then $C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n) \sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^*$.*

Proof. For each $i \in N$ take a mapping f_n^i from μ_i^n onto a copy Q_i of the Hilbert cube Q such that $(f_n^i)^{-1}(P)$ is homeomorphic to μ^n for every $LC^{n-1} \& C^{n-1}$ -compact subspace P of Q_i (see [Dr2]). Define $f_n: \sum \mu_i^n \rightarrow \sum Q_i$ by $f_n|_{\mu_i^n} = f_n^i$. Consider Q_i as a product $Q_i^1 \times I$, where Q_i^1 is a copy of Q . Let $T_i = Q_i^1 \times \{0, 1/k: k \in N\}$ and $T = f_n^{-1}(\sum T_i)$. Then we have

$$C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n) \sim C_P^*(T) \times C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n; T)$$

and

$$C_P^*(T) \sim C_P^*(f_n^{-1}(\sum(Q_i^1 \times \{0\}))) \times C_P^*(T; f_n^{-1}(\sum(Q_i^1 \times \{0\}))).$$

Since each of the sets $f_n^{-1}(\sum(Q_i^1 \times \{0\}))$ and $f_n^{-1}(\sum(Q_i^1 \times \{1/k\}))$ for $k \in N$ is homeomorphic to $\sum \mu_i^n$, the following holds

$$C_P^*(f_n^{-1}(\sum(Q_i^1 \times \{0\}))) \sim C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n)$$

and

$$C_P^*(T; f_n^{-1}(\sum(Q_i^1 \times \{0\}))) \sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^*.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} C_P^*(T) &\sim C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n) \times (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^* \sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^* \\ &\sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^* \times (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^* \\ &\sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^* \times C_P^*(T). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n) &\sim C_P^*(T) \times C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n; T) \\ &\sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^* \times C_P^*(T) \times C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n; T) \\ &\sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^* \times C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n) \sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum \mu_i^n))_c^*. \end{aligned}$$

3.12 Lemma. *Suppose p is a mapping from a space X onto a space Y such that for every compact subset K of Y the preimage $p^{-1}(K)$ is also compact.*

Let p admit a regular averaging operator $u: C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$. Then $C_p^*(X) \sim C_p^*(Y) \times E_1$ and $(\prod C_p^*(X))_c^* \sim (\prod C_p^*(Y))_c^* \times (\prod E_1)_c^*$, where $E_1 = \{g - u(g) \circ p : g \in C_p^*(X)\}$.

Proof. Consider the mapping $r: Y \rightarrow P_\infty(X)$ defined by $r(y)(g) = u(g)(y)$ for all $g \in C_p(X)$. We have $\text{supp}(r(y)) \subset p^{-1}(y)$ for each $y \in Y$. The last implies that $\|u(g)\|_K \leq \|g\|_{p^{-1}(K)}$ for every $g \in C_p(X)$ and $K \subset Y$. Hence, $u(C_p^*(X)) = C_p^*(Y)$ and the mapping $v(g) = (u(g), g - u(g) \circ p)$ is a linear homeomorphism from $C_p^*(X)$ onto $C_p^*(Y) \times E_1$. Next, let $(g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots) \in (\prod C_p^*(X))_c^*$ and K be a compact subset of Y . Since, $\|u(g_n)\|_K \leq \|g_n\|_{p^{-1}(K)}$ and $p^{-1}(K)$ is compact, we have $(u(g_1), \dots, u(g_n), \dots) \in (\prod C_p^*(Y))_c^*$ and $(g_1 - u(g_1) \circ p, \dots, g_n - u(g_n) \circ p, \dots) \in (\prod E_1)_c^*$. Obviously, $(g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots) \in (\prod C_p^*(X))_c^*$ if $(u(g_1), \dots, u(g_n), \dots) \in (\prod C_p^*(Y))_c^*$ and $(g_1 - u(g_1) \circ p, \dots, g_n - u(g_n) \circ p, \dots) \in (\prod E_1)_c^*$. Thus, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots) \\ = ((u(g_1), \dots, u(g_n), \dots), (g_1 - u(g_1) \circ p, \dots, g_n - u(g_n) \circ p, \dots)) \end{aligned}$$

is a linear homeomorphism from $(\prod C_p^*(X))_c^*$ onto $(\prod C_p^*(Y))_c^* \times (\prod E_1)_c^*$.

3.13 Proposition. Let $\{U_i : i \in N\}$ be an infinite locally finite functionally open cover of a space X . Suppose there is a space Y such that $C_p^*(Y) \sim C_p^*(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)) \sim (\prod C_p^*(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)))_c^*$. Then $C_p^*(X) \sim C_p^*(Y)$ if X contains an l^* -embedded copy of Y .

Proof. There exists a natural mapping p from $\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)$ onto X such that $p^{-1}(K)$ is compact for every compact subset K of X . As in the proof of Proposition 2.13 we conclude that p admits a regular averaging operator

$$u: C_p\left(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)\right) \rightarrow C_p(X).$$

By Lemma 3.12, $(\prod C_p^*(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)))_c^* \sim (\prod C_p^*(X))_c^* \times (\prod E_1)_c^*$, where $E_1 = \{g - u(g) \circ p : g \in C_p^*(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i))\}$. Since Y is l^* -embedded in X , $C_p^*(X) \sim C_p^*(Y) \times C_p^*(X; Y)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_p^*(X) &\sim C_p^*(Y) \times C_p^*(X; Y) \sim \left(\prod C_p^*\left(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)\right)\right)_c^* \times C_p^*(X; Y) \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_p^*\left(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)\right)\right)_c^* \times C_p^*\left(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)\right) \times C_p^*(X; Y) \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_p^*\left(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)\right)\right)_c^* \times C_p^*(Y) \times C_p^*(X; Y) \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_p^*\left(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)\right)\right)_c^* \times C_p^*(X) \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_p^*(X)\right)_c^* \times \left(\prod E_1\right)_c^* \times C_p^*(X) \sim \left(\prod C_p^*(X)\right)_c^* \times \left(\prod E_1\right)_c^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_p^*\left(\sum \text{cl}_X(U_i)\right)\right)_c^* \sim C_p^*(Y). \end{aligned}$$

3.14 Theorem. *Suppose X is a noncompact Y -manifold, where Y is one of the spaces Q, I^n, μ^n, l_2 . Then $C_p^*(X) \sim C_p^*(\sum Y)$.*

Proof. Let $\{U_i; i \in N\}$ be an infinite locally finite open cover of X such that each $cl_X(U_i)$ is regularly closed subset of Y . By Corollary 3.5, Proposition 3.11 and Theorem 3.8 we have $C_p^*(\sum Y) \sim (\prod C_p^*(\sum Y))_c^*$. Since each set $cl_X(U_i)$ is closed in Y and contains a closed copy of Y , it follows from Corollary 3.7 that $(\prod C_p^*(\sum cl_X(U_i)))_c^* \sim C_p^*(\sum Y)$. Obviously X contains a closed copy of $\sum Y$. Thus, by Proposition 3.13, $C_p^*(X) \sim C_p^*(\sum Y)$.

3.15 Theorem. *Let U be a functionally open subset of I^τ and τ be an uncountable cardinal. Then $C_p^*(U) \sim C_p^*(\sum I^\tau)$.*

Proof. Take a projection p from I^τ onto a countable face I^ω of I^τ such that $p^{-1}(p(U)) = U$ (for the existence of a such projection see [PP]). Now, let $\{U_i; i \in N\}$ be a locally finite open cover of $p(U)$ such that $cl_{I^\omega}(U_i) \subset p(U)$ for each $i \in N$. Then $\{p^{-1}(U_i); i \in N\}$ is an infinite locally finite functionally open cover of U with $cl_{I^\tau}(p^{-1}(U_i)) \subset U$ for every $i \in N$. Since p is an open mapping we have $cl_{I^\tau}(p^{-1}(U_i)) = p^{-1}(cl_{I^\omega}(U_i))$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, each set $cl_{I^\tau}(p^{-1}(U_i))$ is strongly l -embedded in I^τ and contains a strongly l -embedded copy of I^τ . Hence, it follows from Corollary 3.5 and Corollary 3.7 that $C_p^*(\sum cl_{I^\tau}(p^{-1}(U_i))) \sim C_p^*(\sum I^\tau)$. On the other hand U contains an l^* -embedded copy of $\sum I^\tau$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.15). Therefore, by Proposition 3.13, $C_p^*(U) \sim C_p^*(\sum I^\tau)$.

3.16 Theorem. *Let Y be one of the spaces Q, I^n, μ^n and X be a locally compact subset of a Y -manifold. Then $C_p^*(X) \sim C_p^*(\sum Y)$ if X contains a closed copy of $\sum Y$.*

Proof. Let X be a locally compact subspace of a Y -manifold Z and let X contain a closed copy of $\sum Y$. Then $C_p^*(X) \sim C_p^*(\sum Y) \times C_p^*(X; \sum Y)$. Take an infinite locally finite open cover $\{V_i; i \in N\}$ of X such that each set $cl_X(V_i)$ is compact and $cl_X(V_i) \subset U_i$, where U_i is an open subset of Y . Thus, each $cl_X(V_i)$ is contained in a copy Y_i of Y . Let $u: C_p(\sum cl_X(V_i)) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ be a regular averaging operator for the natural mapping $p: \sum cl_X(V_i) \rightarrow X$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.13, we get $(\prod C_p^*(\sum cl_X(V_i)))_c^* \sim (\prod C_p^*(X))_c^* \times (\prod E)_c^*$, where E is a linear subspace of $C_p^*(\sum cl_X(V_i))$. Since $\sum cl_X(V_i)$ is a closed subset of $\sum Y_i$, by Corollary 3.3 we have $(\prod C_p^*(\sum Y_i))_c^* \sim (\prod C_p^*(\sum cl_X(V_i)))_c^* \times (\prod G)_c^*$, where $G = C_p^*(\sum Y_i; \sum cl_X(V_i))$. Thus,

$$(\prod C_p^*(\sum Y_i))_c^* \sim (\prod C_p^*(X))_c^* \times (\prod E)_c^* \times (\prod G)_c^*.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_p^*(X) &\sim C_p^*(\sum Y) \times C_p^*(X; \sum Y) \\ &\sim (\prod C_p^*(\sum Y))_c^* \times C_p^*(X; \sum Y) \end{aligned}$$

because $C_P^*(\sum Y) \sim (\prod C_P^*(\sum Y))_c^*$ (see Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.11). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_P^*(X) &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(\sum Y)\right)_c^* \times C_P^*(X; \sum Y) \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(\sum Y)\right)_c^* \times C_P^*(\sum Y) \times C_P^*(X; \sum Y) \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(\sum Y)\right)_c^* \times C_P^*(X) \\ &\sim C_P^*(X) \times \left(\prod C_P^*(X)\right)_c^* \times \left(\prod E\right)_c^* \times \left(\prod G\right)_c^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(X)\right)_c^* \times \left(\prod E\right)_c^* \times \left(\prod G\right)_c^* \\ &\sim \left(\prod C_P^*(\sum Y)\right)_c^* \sim C_P^*(\sum Y). \end{aligned}$$

Added in proof. After this paper was submitted for publication Arhangel'skii [A4] introduced the notion of an S -stable space. A space X is S -stable if $C_P(X) \sim C_P(X \times S)$, where $S = \{0, 1/n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Obviously, if $X \times S$ is a k_R -space, then X is S -stable iff $(\prod C_P(X))_c \sim C_P(X)$. An elementary proof of the S -stability of μ^n (without using Dranishnikov's results, see the proof of this fact in our Theorem 2.9) is given in [A4]. Arhangel'skii [A4] generalized our Theorem 2.8(ii) by proving that if a compact metric space X contains a subspace Y with $C_P(Y) \sim C_P(Q)$ then $C_P(X) \sim C_P(Q)$.

REFERENCES

- [A1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, *The principal of τ -approximation and a test for equality of dimension of compact Hausdorff spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **21** (1980), 805–809.
- [A2] —, *On linear homeomorphisms of function spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **25** (1982), 852–855.
- [A3] —, *O nekotoryh topologičeskikh prostranstvah vstrečajuscihsja v funkcional'nom analize*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **31** (1978), 17–32.
- [A4] —, *On linear topological and topological classification of spaces $C_P(X)$* , Zbornik Radova Filozofskog Fakulteta u Nišu, Ser. Matematika **3** (1989), 3–12.
- [AČ] A. V. Arhangel'skii and M. M. Čoban, *Funkcional'nye vloženija Tihonovskih prostranstv i obobscennye retracty*, preprint.
- [BGM] J. Baars, J. de Groot and J. van Mill, *Topological equivalence of certain function spaces II*, VU (Amsterdam) report 321, December 1986.
- [BGMP] J. Baars, J. de Groot, J. van Mill and J. Pelant, *On topological and linear homeomorphisms of certain function spaces*, University of Amsterdam, report 87–17, 1987.
- [B] C. Bessaga, *On topological classification of complete linear metric spaces*, Fund. Math. **56** (1965), 251–288.
- [Bt] M. Bestvina, *Characterizing k -dimensional universal Menger compacta*, Ph.D. thesis, The Univ. of Tennessee, Knoxville, 1984.
- [Dr1] A. N. Dranishnikov, *Absoljutnye F -značnye retrakty i prostranstva funkcii v topologii potoččnoj shodimosti*, Sibirsk Mat. J. **27** (1986), 74–86.
- [Dr2] —, *Universal'nye mengerovskie kompacty i universal'nye otobraženija*, Mat. Sb. **129** (1986), 121–139.

- [D] J. Dugundji, *An extension of Tietze's theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 353–367.
- [GH] S. Gul'ko and T. Hmyleva, *Kompaktnost' ne sohranjaetsja otnošeniem t -ekvivalentnosti*, Mat. Zametki **39**, 8 (1986), 895–903.
- [KO] A. Koyama and T. Okada, *On compacta which are l -equivalent to I^n* , Tsukuba J. Math. **11**, 1 (1987), 147–156.
- [M] J. van Mill, *Topological equivalence of certain function spaces*, Compositio Math. **63** (1987), 159–188.
- [N] N. Noble, *The continuity of functions on Cartesian products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 187–198.
- [Pv] D. Pavlovskii, *On spaces of continuous functions*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **22** (1980), 34–37.
- [P] A. Pelczynski, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **19** (1960), 209–228.
- [Ps] V. Pestov, *The coincidence of the dimension \dim of l -equivalent topological spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **28** (1982), 380–383.
- [PP] E. Pol and R. Pol, *Remarks on Cartesian products*, Fund. Math. **93** (1976), 57–69.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOFIA STATE UNIVERSITY, 1126 SOFIA, A. IVANOV 5, BULGARIA