



SKELETALLY GENERATED SPACES AND ABSOLUTES

V. VALOV

ABSTRACT. Some properties of skeletally generated spaces are established. In particular, it is shown that any compactum co-absolute to a κ -metrizable compactum is skeletally generated. We also prove that a compactum X is skeletally generated if and only if its superextension λX is skeletally Dugundji and raise some natural questions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we provide more properties of skeletally generated spaces introduced in [14]. It was shown in [14] that skeletally generated spaces coincide with I-favorable spaces [2] (for compact spaces that were actually done in [6], see also [5] and [7]). It is interesting that at first view skeletally generated spaces are quite different from I-favorable spaces. I-favorable spaces were defined as spaces for which the first player has a winning strategy when two players play the so called open-open game, while skeletally generated spaces can be considered as a skeletal counterpart of κ -metrizable compacta [12] (everywhere in this paper by a compactum we mean a compact Hausdorff space).

Recall that a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *skeletal* [10] (resp., *semi-open*) if the set $\text{Int}_Y \text{cl}_Y f(U)$ (resp., $\text{Int}_Y f(U)$) is non-empty, for any $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$. Obviously, every semi-open map is skeletal, and both notions are equivalent for closed maps.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 5B35; Secondary 54E99, 54F99.

Key words and phrases. Absolute, Dugundji space, κ -metrizable space, skeletal maps, skeletally generated spaces.

The author was supported by NSERC Grant 261914-13.

©2014 Topology Proceedings.

The paper is organized as follows. Some properties of skeletally generated spaces are provided in Section 2. It is shown that every space co-absolute with a skeletally generated space is also skeletally generated, see Theorem 2.4 (recall that two spaces are co-absolute if their absolutes are homeomorphic). In particular, any space co-absolute to a κ -metrizable compactum is skeletally generated (Corollary 2.5). Section 3 is devoted to the connection of skeletally generated and skeletally Dugundji spaces introduced in [9]. It is well known that a compactum X is κ -metrizable if and only if its superextension is a Dugundji space, see [3] and [13]. Theorem 3.1 states that the same connection holds between skeletally generated and skeletally Dugundji compacta (this result, in different terminology, was announced without a proof in [15]; let us also mention that for zero-dimensional compacta the equivalence (i) \Rightarrow (iii) from Theorem 3.1 was established in [4, Theorem 5.5.9]).

Corollary 2.5, mentioned above, suggests the following problem:

Question 1.1. *Is it true that a compactum is skeletally generated if and only if it is co-absolute to a κ -metrizable compactum?*

Obviously, Question 1.1 is interesting for compacta of weight greater than \aleph_1 . For zero-dimensional compacta this question was posed by Heindorf and Shapiro [4, Section 5.5, p. 140].

Since every skeletally Dugundji space is skeletally generated [9, Corollary 3.4], one can ask if there is any skeletally generated space which is not skeletally Dugundji. The referee of this paper pointed out that Heindorf and Shapiro [4, Proposition 6.3.2] established such an example. The existence of a skeletally generated space which is not skeletally Dugundji provides a solution to a Question 1.13 from [2] whether every compact I-favorable space is co-absolute to a dyadic space. Indeed, suppose that every I-favorable compactum is co-absolute to a dyadic compactum. Since, by [9, Corollary 4.5], dyadic compacta are skeletally Dugundji, it follows that every compact I-favorable space is co-absolute to a skeletally Dugundji space. Consequently, all compact I-favorable spaces would be skeletally Dugundji.

Our results for 0-dimensional compact spaces can be translated using the language of Boolean algebras, see [4]. For example, Question 1.1 is equivalent to the question of whether each regularly filtered algebra is co-complete to an rc-filtered one (see [4], p. 140).

All spaces in this paper are Tychonoff and the maps are continuous.

2. SKELETALLY GENERATED SPACES

In this section we provide some properties of skeletally generated spaces.

For a given space X we introduce an order on the set of all maps having domain X . If ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are two such maps, we write $\phi_1 \prec \phi_2$ if there exists a map $\phi: \phi_1(X) \rightarrow \phi_2(X)$ such that $\phi_2 = \phi \circ \phi_1$. The notation $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ means that the map ϕ is a homeomorphism between $\phi_1(X)$ and $\phi_2(X)$. We say that X is skeletally generated [14] if there exists an inverse system $S = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ of separable metric spaces X_α such that:

- (1) All bonding maps p_α^β are surjective and skeletal;
- (2) The index set A is σ -complete (every countable chain in A has a supremum in A);
- (3) For every countable chain $\{\alpha_n : n \geq 1\} \subset A$ with $\beta = \sup\{\alpha_n : n \geq 1\}$ the space X_β is a (dense) subset of $\lim_{\leftarrow} \{X_{\alpha_n}, p_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n+1}}\}$;
- (4) X is embedded in $\lim_{\leftarrow} S$ such that $p_\alpha(X) = X_\alpha$ for each α , where $p_\alpha: \lim_{\leftarrow} S \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is the α -th limit projection;
- (5) For every bounded continuous real-valued function f on X there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $p_\alpha \prec f$.

Condition (4) implies that X is a dense subset of $\lim_{\leftarrow} S$. An inverse system S with surjective bonding maps satisfying conditions (2) and (3) is called almost σ -continuous. If there exists an almost σ -continuous system S satisfying condition (4), we say that X is the almost limit of S , notation $X = a - \lim_{\leftarrow} S$.

The following characterizations of skeletally generated spaces was established in [14] (see also [6] where the equivalence of items (i) and (ii) in case X is compact).

Proposition 2.1. [14] *For a space X the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is skeletally generated;
- (ii) X is I-favorable;
- (iii) Every C^* -embedding of X in another space is π -regular.

Here, X is called I-favorable [2] if there exists a function $\sigma: \bigcup\{\mathcal{T}_X^n : n \geq 0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$, where \mathcal{T}_X is the topology of X , such that for each sequence B_0, B_1, \dots , of non-empty open subsets of X with $B_0 \subset \sigma(\emptyset)$ and $B_{k+1} \subset \sigma(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_k)$ for each k , the union $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} B_k$ is dense in X .

We say that a subspace X of a space Y is π -regularly embedded in Y if there exists a function $e: \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y$ between the topologies of X and Y such that:

- (e1) $e(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $e(U) \cap X$ is a dense subset of U ;
- (e2) $e(U) \cap e(V) = \emptyset$ for any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_X$ provided $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

The operator e is called strongly π -regular if, in addition, it satisfies condition (e3) below.

- (e3) $e(U \cap V) = e(U) \cap e(V)$ for any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_X$.

Note that π -regular embeddings were introduced in [15], while strongly π -regular embeddings were considered in [11] under the name π -regular.

The next lemma follows from Daniels-Kunen-Zhou's observation [2, Fact 1.3, p.207]. For completeness, we provide here a proof of that fact.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed irreducible map. Then X is a skeletally generated space if and only if Y is so.*

Proof. Assume Y is skeletally generated. So, by Proposition 2.1, there exists a function $\sigma_Y: \bigcup\{\mathcal{T}_Y^n: n \geq 0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y$ such that for each sequence B_0, B_1, \dots , of non-empty open subsets of Y with $B_0 \subset \sigma_Y(\emptyset)$ and $B_{k+1} \subset \sigma_Y(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_k)$ for each k , $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} B_k$ is dense in Y . Since f is irreducible, the set $f^\#(V) = \{y \in Y: f^{-1}(y) \subset V\}$ is non-empty and open in Y for every open $V \subset X$. Therefore, the function $\sigma_X: \bigcup\{\mathcal{T}_X^n: n \geq 0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$, $\sigma_X(\emptyset) = f^{-1}(\sigma_Y(\emptyset))$ and $\sigma_X(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n) = f^{-1}(\sigma_Y(f^\#(V_1), f^\#(V_2), \dots, f^\#(V_n)))$ is well defined. If V_0, V_1, \dots is a sequence of non-empty open subsets of X with $V_0 \subset \sigma_X(\emptyset)$ and $V_{k+1} \subset \sigma_X(V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k)$ for each k , then $U_0 \subset \sigma_Y(\emptyset)$ and $U_{k+1} \subset \sigma_Y(U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k)$, where $U_k = f^\#(V_k)$, $k \geq 0$. Hence, $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} U_k$ is dense in Y . Consequently, $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} f^{-1}(U_k)$ is dense in X (recall that f is closed and irreducible). Finally, because $f^{-1}(U_k) \subset V_k$, we obtain that $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} V_k$ is dense in X . Thus, X is skeletally generated.

The map f being irreducible and closed is skeletal. Then, according to [7, Lemma 1], Y is I-favorable provided so is X . \square

Corollary 2.3. *If X is skeletally generated, then so is each compactification of X .*

Proof. It was shown in [14] that βX is skeletally generated. Then Lemma 2.2 completes the proof because any compactification of X is an irreducible image of βX . \square

Theorem 2.4. *Any space co-absolute to a skeletally generated space is skeletally generated.*

Proof. Suppose the spaces X and Y are co-absolute and Z is their common absolute. Then there are closed irreducible maps $\theta_X: Z \rightarrow X$ and $\theta_Y: Z \rightarrow Y$. If X is skeletally generated, then Z is also skeletally generated (by Lemma 2.2). Applying again Lemma 2.2, we conclude that Y is skeletally generated. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Any space co-absolute to a κ -metrizable compactum is skeletally generated.*

Recall that κ -metrizable compacta can be also characterized as the compact spaces X possessing a lattice in the sense of Shchepin [12] consisting of open maps. This means that there exists a family Ψ of open maps with domain X such that:

- (L1) For any map $f: X \rightarrow f(X)$ there exists $\phi \in \Psi$ with $\phi \prec f$ and $w(\phi(X)) \leq w(f(X))$;
- (L2) If $\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subset \Psi$ such that the diagonal product $\Delta\{\phi_{\alpha_i} : \alpha_i \in A, i = 1, \dots, k\}$ belongs to Ψ for any finitely many $\alpha_i \in A$, then $\Delta\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \in \Psi$.

Proposition 2.6. *Every skeletally generated space has a lattice of skeletal maps.*

Proof. We consider X as a C -embedded subset of $M = \mathbb{R}^A$ for some A . Then there exists a strongly π -regular operator $e: \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_M$; see Proposition 2.1. For any countable set $B \subset A$ let \mathcal{B}_B be an open base for \mathbb{R}^B of cardinality $|B| \leq \aleph_0$. We say that a set $B \subset A$ is e -admissible if

$$\pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(\overline{e(\phi^{-1}(U) \cap X)})) = \overline{e(\pi_B^{-1}(U) \cap X)} \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{B}_B,$$

where $\pi_B: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^B$ is the projection. The arguments from the proof of [9, Proposition 3.1(ii)] imply that all maps $\phi_B = \pi_B|_X$, where B is e -admissible, are skeletal and form a lattice for X . \square

Replacing κ -metrizable compacta in Corollary 2.5 with spaces possessing a lattice of open maps, we obtain a little bit of a stronger result.

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a space with a lattice of open maps. Then every space co-absolute to X has a lattice of semi-open maps.*

Proof. The proof follows the arguments from the proof of [9, Theorem 2.2]. The only difference is that we use Proposition 2.8 below instead of Proposition 2.1 from [9]. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let X be C -embedded in \mathbb{R}^Γ for some Γ and X has a lattice Ψ of quotient maps. Then the family $\mathcal{A} = \{B \subset \Gamma : \phi_B \in \Psi\}$, where $\phi_B = \pi_B|_X : X \rightarrow \pi_B(X)$ is the restriction of the projection $\pi_B : \mathbb{R}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^B$, has the following properties:*

- (i) *The union of any increasing subfamily of \mathcal{A} belongs to \mathcal{A} ;*
- (ii) *Every $A \subset \Gamma$ is contained in some $B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $|A| = |B|$.*

Proof. Suppose $\{B_\alpha\}$ be an increasing family of subsets of Γ with $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ for all α , and $B = \cup B_\alpha$. Then for any finitely many B_{α_i} , $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $B_{\alpha_j} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=n} B_{\alpha_i}$. Hence, $\Delta_{i=1}^{i=n} \phi_{B_{\alpha_i}} = \phi_{B_{\alpha_j}} \in \Psi$. Consequently, by (L2), $\phi_B = \Delta \phi_{B_\alpha} \in \Psi$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Assume $C \subset \Gamma$ is an infinite set of cardinality $|C| = \tau$. We construct by induction an increasing sequence $\{B(k)\} \subset \Gamma$ and a sequence $\{\phi_k\} \subset \Psi$ such that $B(1) = C$, $|B(k)| = \tau$, $w(\phi_k(X)) \leq \tau$ and $\phi_{B(k+1)} \prec \phi_k \prec \phi_{B(k)}$ for all k . Suppose the construction is done up to level k for some $k \geq 1$. We consider each $\phi_k(X)$ as a subspace of \mathbb{R}^τ . Since X is C -embedded in \mathbb{R}^Γ , there exists a map $g_k : \mathbb{R}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\tau$ extending ϕ_k . Then g_k depends on τ many coordinates of \mathbb{R}^Γ , so we can find a set $B(k+1) \subset \Gamma$ of cardinality τ containing $B(k)$ such that $\pi_{B(k+1)} \prec g_k$. Consequently, $\phi_{B(k+1)} \prec \phi_k$. Next, by condition (L1), there exists $\phi_{k+1} \in \Psi$ with $\phi_{k+1} \prec \phi_{B(k+1)}$ and $w(\phi_{k+1}(X)) \leq \tau$. This completes the construction. Finally, let $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B(k)$ and $\phi = \Delta_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k$. Obviously, $|B| = \tau$ and $\phi_B = \phi \in \Psi$. Hence, $C \subset B \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

3. SKELETALLY DUGUNDJI SPACES

We say that a space X is *skeletally Dugundji* [9] if there exists an inverse system $S = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, \alpha < \beta < \tau\}$ with surjective skeletal bonding maps, where τ is identified with the first ordinal $\omega(\tau)$ of cardinality τ , satisfying the following conditions: (i) X_0 is a separable metric space and all maps $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$ have metrizable kernels (i.e., there exists a separable metric space M_α such that $X_{\alpha+1}$ is embedded in $X_\alpha \times M_\alpha$ and $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$ coincides with the restriction $\pi|_{X_{\alpha+1}}$ of the projection $\pi : X_\alpha \times M_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$); (ii) for any limit ordinal $\gamma < \tau$ the space X_γ is a (dense) subset of $\lim_{\leftarrow} \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, \alpha < \beta < \gamma\}$; (iii) X is embedded in $\lim_{\leftarrow} S$ such that $p_\alpha(X) = X_\alpha$ for each α ; (iv) for every bounded continuous real-valued function f on $\lim_{\leftarrow} S$ there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $p_\alpha \prec f$. It was shown in [9] that X is skeletally Dugundji provided every C^* -embedding of X in another space is strongly π -regular.

There exists a tight connection between openly generated compacta and their superextensions. Ivanov [3] proved that if X is openly generated compactum, then its superextension λX is a Dugundji space (the other implication is also true, see [13]). Theorem 3.1 below provides a similar connection between skeletally generated and skeletally Dugundji compacta (let us explicitly mention that for zero-dimensional compacta the equivalence (i) \Rightarrow (iii) was established in [4, Theorem 5.5.9]).

Theorem 3.1. *For a compact space X the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is skeletally generated;
- (ii) Every embedding of λX in another space is strongly π -regular, in particular λX is skeletally Dugundji;
- (iii) λX is skeletally generated.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). The superextension λX is the set of all maximal linked systems ξ of closed subsets of X (recall that ξ is linked if any two elements of ξ intersect). For any set $H \subset X$ let H^+ be the set of all $\xi \in \lambda X$ such that $F \subset H$ for some $F \in \xi$. Then the family \mathcal{B}^+ of all sets of the form $[U_1^+, U_2^+, \dots, U_k^+] = \bigcap_{i=1}^{i=k} U_i^+$, where U_1, \dots, U_k are open in X , is a base for the topology of λX . We consider λX as a subset of \mathbb{I}^τ for some cardinal τ . Since, by [1], λX is also skeletally generated, according to Proposition 2.1, there exists a π -regular operator $e: \mathcal{T}_{\lambda X} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{I}^\tau}$. We define a set-valued map $r: \mathbb{I}^\tau \rightarrow \lambda X$ by

$$r(y) = \bigcap \{(\overline{U}_i)^+ : y \in e([U_1^+, U_2^+, \dots, U_k^+])\} \text{ if } y \in \bigcup \{e(W) : W \in \mathcal{B}^+\}$$

and $r(y) = \lambda X$ otherwise. This definition is correct because for every $y \in \mathbb{I}^\tau$ the system $\gamma_y = \{W \in \mathcal{B}^+ : y \in e(W)\}$ is linked, so $r(y) \neq \emptyset$. It is easily seen that r is upper semi-continuous. Then for any open $W \subset \lambda X$ the set

$$e_1(W) = \bigcup \{r^\#([U_1^+, U_2^+, \dots, U_k^+]) : \bigcap_{i=1}^{i=k} (\overline{U}_i)^+ \subset W\}$$

is open in \mathbb{I}^τ , where $r^\#([U_1^+, U_2^+, \dots, U_k^+]) = \{y : r(y) \subset [U_1^+, U_2^+, \dots, U_k^+]\}$. It follows directly from our definition that e_1 satisfies conditions (e2) and (e3) from the definition of strongly π -regular operator. We are going to show that $e_1(W) \cap \lambda X$ is dense in W for all open $W \subset \lambda X$.

Suppose $W \subset \lambda X$ is open and $\xi \in W$. Let $\xi \in \tilde{G} \subset W$, where $\tilde{G} = [G_1^+, G_2^+, \dots, G_k^+] \in \mathcal{B}^+$. Then for each $i \leq k$ there exists $F_i \in \xi$ and open sets V_i and U_i in X such that $F_i \subset V_i \subset \overline{V}_i \subset U_i \subset \overline{U}_i \subset G_i$. Take $\eta \in e([V_1^+, \dots, V_k^+]) \cap \lambda X$. Then $r(\eta) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{i=k} (\overline{V}_i)^+ \subset [U_1^+, \dots, U_k^+]$.

Hence, $\eta \in r^\sharp([U_1^+, U_2^+, \dots, U_k^+]) \cap \lambda X$. Since $\bigcap_{i=1}^{i=k} (\overline{U_i})^+ \subset W$, we have $\eta \in e_1(W) \cap \tilde{G}$. Therefore, $e_1(W) \cap \lambda X$ is dense in W .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). This implication is obvious because every skeletally Dugundji space is skeletally generated [9].

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Consider λX as a subspace of some \mathbb{I}^Γ . According to Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.8, there exists a family \mathcal{A}_c of countable sets $A \subset \Gamma$ such that: (i) the union of any increasing sequence from \mathcal{A}_c belongs to \mathcal{A}_c ; (ii) any countable subset of Γ is contained in some $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$; (iii) any map $p_A = \pi_A|(\lambda X) \rightarrow \pi_A(\lambda X)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$, is skeletal, where $\pi_A: \mathbb{I}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^A$ denotes the projection. Let φ_A be the restriction of p_A on X and $X_A = \varphi_A(X)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$ (we consider X as a naturally embedded subset of λX). Then $\lambda\varphi_A$ is a map from λX into λX_A . Denote by \mathcal{B} the family of all $B \in \mathcal{A}_c$ such that $p_B = \lambda\varphi_B$. Since the functor λ is continuous, it follows from Shchepin's spectral theorem [12], that the union of any increasing sequence from \mathcal{B} is again in \mathcal{B} , and any $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$ is contained in some $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, the inverse system $\{X_B, \varphi_B^C, B, C \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is continuous and its limit is X (here φ_B^C is the projection from X_C to X_B provided $B \subset C$). So, it remains to show that each φ_B , $B \in \mathcal{B}$, is skeletal.

To this end, let $U \subset X$ be open and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then U^+ is open in λX and, since p_B is a closed and skeletal map, the set $p_B(U^+)$ has a non-empty interior in λX_B . So, there are open subsets V_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, of X_B with $[V_1^+, V_2^+, \dots, V_k^+] \subset p_B(U^+)$. We claim that $V_i \cap V_j \subset \varphi_B(U)$ for some $i \neq j$. Indeed, otherwise for every $i \neq j$ we can choose $y_{i,j} = y_{j,i} \in V_i \cap V_j \setminus \varphi_B(U)$. Then $\{F_1 = \{y_{1,2}, \dots, y_{1,k}\}, \dots, F_k = \{y_{k,1}, \dots, y_{k,k-1}\}\}$ is a linked system and generates $\eta \in \lambda X_B$ such that $\eta \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{i=k} F_i^+$. Obviously, $\eta \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{i=k} V_i^+$, which contradicts the fact that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{i=k} V_i^+ \subset p_B(U^+)$. \square

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his gratitude to the referee of this paper whose valuable remarks and suggestions improved the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banach, M. Martynenko and A. Kucharski, *On functors preserving skeletal maps and skeletally generated compacta*, Topology Appl. **159** (2007), no. 10-11, 2679–2693.
- [2] P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou, *On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. **145** (1994), no. 3, 205–220.
- [3] A. Ivanov, *Superextension of openly generated compacta*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **259** (1981), no. 2, 275–278 (in Russian).

- [4] L. Heindorf and L. Shapiro, *Nearly projective Boolean algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1994.
- [5] A. Kucharski and Sz. Plewik, *Game approach to universally Kuratowski-Ulam spaces*, *Topology Appl.* **154** (2007), no. 2, 421–427.
- [6] ———, *Inverse systems and I -favorable spaces*, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2008), no. 1, 110–116.
- [7] ———, *Skeletal maps and I -favorable spaces*, *Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), 67–72.
- [8] A. Kucharski, Sz. Plewik and V. Valov, *Very I -favorable spaces*, *Topology Appl.* **158** (2011), 1453–1459.
- [9] ———, *Skeletally Dugundji spaces*, *Central Eur. J. Math.* **11** (2013), no. 11, 1949–1959.
- [10] J. Mioduszewski and L. Rudolf, *H -closed and extremally disconnected Hausdorff spaces*, *Dissertationes Math.* 66 (1969).
- [11] L. Shapiro, *Spaces that are co-absolute to the generalized Cantor discontinuum*, *Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR* **288** (1986), no. 6, 1322–1326 (in Russian).
- [12] E. Shchepin, *Topology of limit spaces with uncountable inverse spectra*, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **31** (1976), no. 5(191), 191–226.
- [13] ———, *Functors and uncountable powers of compacta*, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **36** (1981), no. 3(219), 3–62 (in Russian).
- [14] V. Valov, *External characterization of I -favorable spaces*, *Mathematica Balkanica* **25** (2011), no. 1-2, 61–78.
- [15] V. M. Valov, *Some characterizations of the spaces with a lattice of d -open mappings*, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* **39** (1986), no. 9, 9–12.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY,
100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA

E-mail address: veskov@nipissingu.ca