



Virtual Special Issue – In honor of Professor Yukihiro Kodama on his 85th birthday

## Parametric set-wise injective maps



Eiichi Matsushashi<sup>a,\*</sup>, Vesko Valov<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shimane University, Matsue, Shimane, 690-8504, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science and Mathematics, Nipissing University, 100 College Drive, P.O. Box 5002, North Bay, ON, P1B 8L7, Canada

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 9 May 2016

Received in revised form 26 August 2016

Accepted 1 September 2016

Available online 2 October 2017

#### MSC:

primary 54F15

secondary 54F45, 54C10

#### Keywords:

Disjoint-disk property

Fiber embedding

Set-wise injective maps

Source limitation topology

### ABSTRACT

We introduce the notion of set-wise injective maps and provide results about fiber embeddings. Our results improve some previous results in this area.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, unless otherwise noted, all spaces are assumed to be metrizable and all maps continuous. Also, unless stated otherwise, any function space  $C(X, M)$  is endowed with the *source limitation topology*. This topology, known also as the *fine topology*, was introduced in [18] and has a base at a given  $g \in C(X, M)$  consisting of the sets

$$B_\varrho(g, \varepsilon) = \{h \in C(X, M) : \varrho(h, g) < \varepsilon\},$$

where  $\varrho$  is a fixed compatible metric on  $M$  and  $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  runs over continuous functions into  $(0, 1]$ . The symbol  $\varrho(h, g) < \varepsilon$  means that  $\varrho(h(x), g(x)) < \varepsilon(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . The source limitation topology doesn't

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [matsushashi@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp](mailto:matsushashi@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp) (E. Matsushashi), [veskov@nipissingu.ca](mailto:veskov@nipissingu.ca) (V. Valov).

depend on the metric  $\rho$  [7] and has the Baire property provided  $M$  is completely metrizable [9]. Obviously, this topology coincides with the uniform convergence topology when  $X$  is compact.

We say that a space  $M$  has the  $m$ - $\text{DD}^{\{n,k\}}$ -property if any two maps  $f : \mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^n \rightarrow M$ ,  $g : \mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^k \rightarrow M$  can be approximated by maps  $f' : \mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^n \rightarrow M$  and  $g' : \mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^k \rightarrow M$ , respectively, such that  $f'(\{z\} \times \mathbb{I}^n) \cap g'(\{z\} \times \mathbb{I}^k) = \emptyset$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{I}^m$ . Obviously, if  $M$  has the  $m$ - $\text{DD}^{\{n,k\}}$ -property, then it also has the  $m'$ - $\text{DD}^{\{n',k'\}}$ -property for all  $m' \leq m$ ,  $n' \leq n$  and  $k' \leq k$ . The 0- $\text{DD}^{\{n,k\}}$ -property coincides with the well known disjoint  $(n, k)$ -cells property. The  $m$ - $\text{DD}^{\{n,k\}}$ -property is very similar to the  $m$ - $\overline{\text{DD}}^{\{n,k\}}$ -property introduced in [1, Definition 5.1], where it is required for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $M$  the maps  $f, g$  to be approximated by maps  $f', g'$  such that  $f', g'$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic to  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively and  $f'(\{z\} \times \mathbb{I}^n) \cap g'(\{z\} \times \mathbb{I}^k) = \emptyset$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{I}^m$ .

The notion of continuum-wise injective maps was introduced in [5] for maps between compact spaces. Here we extend this definition for arbitrary spaces and arbitrary closed sets (not necessarily continua as in [5]): A map  $g : X \rightarrow M$  is *set-wise injective* if for any two closed sets  $A, B \subset X$  with  $A \neq B$ , we have  $g(A) \neq g(B)$ . We also consider the following specialization of that property: a map  $g : X \rightarrow M$  is *set-wise injective in dimension  $k$*  (see also [5]) if  $g(A) \neq g(B)$  for any two closed sets  $A, B \subset X$  such that  $\dim(A \setminus B) \geq k$ . Obviously, every set-wise injective map in dimension 0 is injective. Observe that for any two continua  $A, B \subset X$  with  $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$  we have  $\dim(A \setminus B) \geq 1$ . Hence, every set-wise injective map in dimension 1 is automatically continuum-wise injective.

Recall that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -perfect if  $X$  is a countable union of countably many closed sets  $X_i$  such that each restriction  $f|_{X_i} : X_i \rightarrow f(X_i)$  is a perfect map. Also, a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called an  $n$ -dimensional map if  $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq n$  for each  $y \in Y$ .

The main results in this paper is the following theorem, which is a parametric version of Theorem 3.11 from [5]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -perfect surjective  $n$ -dimensional map between metric spaces such that  $\dim Y \leq m$  and let  $M$  be a complete separable metric  $\text{LC}^{2m+n-1}$ -space with the  $m$ - $\text{DD}^{\{n,k\}}$ -property with  $k \leq n$ . Then the function space  $C(X, M)$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$ -set of maps  $g$  such that all restrictions  $g|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , are set-wise injective in dimension  $n - k$*

For example, it follows from [1, Proposition 5.6 and Theorem 9.1] that every dendrite with a dense set of end-point has both the 0- $\text{DD}^{\{0,\infty\}}$ -property and the 1- $\text{DD}^{\{0,0\}}$ -property, while  $\mathbb{R}^{m+n+k+1}$  has the  $m$ - $\text{DD}^{\{n,k\}}$ -property. Also, these spaces are  $\text{LC}^n$  for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  (see [8, Theorem 4.2.33]).

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $X, Y$  and  $f$  be as in Theorem 1.1 and let  $P \subset Q \subset X$  be two  $F_\sigma$ -subsets of  $X$  such that  $\dim(P \cap f^{-1}(y)) \leq p$  and  $\dim(Q \cap f^{-1}(y)) \leq q$  for every  $y \in Y$ , where  $0 \leq p \leq q \leq n$ . Then for every complete separable metric  $\text{LC}^{2m+n-1}$ -space  $M$  with the  $m$ - $\text{DD}^{\{q,p\}}$ -property the space  $C(X, M)$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$ -set of maps  $g$  satisfying the following condition:  $g^{-1}(g(z)) \cap Q \cap f^{-1}(y) = \{z\}$  for all  $z \in P \cap f^{-1}(y)$  and all  $y \in Y$ .*

Note that when  $p = q = n$  and  $M$  having the  $m$ - $\overline{\text{DD}}^{\{n,n\}}$ -property, Corollary 1.2 was established in [1, Theorem 3.3]. We already mentioned that the space  $\mathbb{R}^l$  has the  $m$ - $\text{DD}^{\{n,k\}}$ -property for all  $m, n, k$  with  $m + n + k < l$ . Hence, Corollary 1.2 is a far reaching generalization of Pasynkov's result [11] stating that for any map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between metrizable compacta the function space  $C(X, \mathbb{R}^{\dim Y + 2 \dim f + 1})$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of maps that are injective on every fiber of  $f$ .

When  $M$  is compact and  $C(X, M)$  is equipped with the uniform convergence topology, analogues of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 also hold. Let us formulate the analogue of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $M$  be a compact metric  $\text{LC}^{2m+n-1}$ -space with the  $m$ - $\text{DD}^{\{n,k\}}$ -property with  $k \leq n$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed surjective  $n$ -dimensional map between normal spaces such that  $\dim Y \leq m$  and*

$W(f) \leq \aleph_0$ . Then  $C(X, M)$  equipped with the uniform convergence topology contains a dense subset of maps  $g$  such that all restrictions  $g|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , are set-wise injective in dimension  $n - k$ .

Recall that  $W(f) \leq \aleph_0$  means that there exists a map  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$  such that  $f \triangle g$  embeds  $X$  into  $Y \times \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$ , see [10]. For example, according to [10, Proposition 9.1],  $W(f) \leq \aleph_0$  for every closed map  $f$  between metrizable spaces provided  $f$  has Lindelöf fibers.

We apply Corollary 1.2 to provide a short proof of the following result:

**Proposition 1.4.** *Suppose  $n, k$  are non-negative integers such that  $k + 1 \leq n$ . Then the product  $M \times \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$ , where  $l = n - k - 1$ , has the  $m$ -DD $\{n, n\}$ -property for every complete separable metric  $LC^{2m+n-1}$ -space  $M$  with the  $m$ -DD $\{n, k\}$ -property.*

The paper is organized as follows: all preliminary results are provided in Section 2, Section 3 contains the proofs of Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. The proof of Proposition 1.4 is given in Appendix A.

## 2. Some preliminary results

First, we give some notations. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective map between metric spaces and let  $M$  be a complete metric space. For any set  $K \subset Y$  and closed disjoint sets  $A, B \subset X$  we denote by  $C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$  the set of all  $g \in C(X, M)$  such that:

- $g(A \cap f^{-1}(y)) \cap g(B \cap f^{-1}(y)) = \emptyset$  for every  $y \in K$ . If  $K = Y$ , we write  $C(X, M; A, B; f)$  instead of  $C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$ .

In this section, from here, we suppose that the spaces  $X, Y, M$  and the map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfy the conditions from Theorem 1.1 with the additional assumption that the map  $f$  is a perfect surjection. Since  $M$  is  $LC^{2m+n-1}$ , according to [2, Lemma 4.1],  $M$  admits a metric  $\rho$  generating its topology and satisfying the following condition:

- (1) If  $Z$  is an  $(2m + n)$ -dimensional metric space,  $A \subset Z$  its closed set and  $h : Z \rightarrow M$  a map, then for every function  $\alpha : Z \rightarrow (0, 1]$  and every map  $g : A \rightarrow M$  with  $\rho(g(z), h(z)) < \alpha(z)/8$  for all  $z \in A$  there exists a map  $\bar{g} : Z \rightarrow M$  extending  $g$  such that  $\rho(\bar{g}(z), h(z)) < \alpha(z)$  for all  $z \in Z$ .

One can easily show that (1) implies the following condition:

- (2) If  $F \subset X$  is a closed set, the restriction map  $\pi_F : C(X, M) \rightarrow C(F, M)$ ,  $\pi_F(g) = g|_F$ , is an open and surjective map when both  $C(X, M)$  and  $C(X, M)$  carry the source limitation topology.

The aim of this section is to show that for any closed set  $K \subset Y$  and closed disjoint sets  $A, B \subset X$ ,  $C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$  is open and dense in  $C(X, M)$  with respect to the source limitation topology. Our proofs are based on some ideas from [6] and [17].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $K \subset Y$  be closed and  $g_0 \in C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$ , where  $A, B$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ . Then there is a continuous function  $\alpha : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  and an open set  $W \subset Y$  containing  $K$  such that  $g \in C_W(X, M; A, B; f)$  provided  $g \in C(X, M)$  and  $\rho(g(x), g_0(x)) < \alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

**Proof.** One can show that for every  $y \in K$  there exists a neighborhood  $V_y \subset Y$  of  $y$  and a positive number  $\delta_y \leq 1$  such that  $y' \in V_y$  and  $\rho(g(x), g_0(x)) < \delta_y$  for all  $x \in f^{-1}(y')$ , where  $g \in C(X, M)$ , yields

$g \in C_{\{y'\}}(X, M; A, B; f)$ . Let  $V = \bigcup_{y \in K} V_y$  and  $W \subset Y$  be an open set containing  $K$  with  $\overline{W} \subset V$ . The family  $\{V_y : y \in K\}$  can be supposed to be locally finite in  $V$ . Consider the set-valued lower semi-continuous map  $\varphi : \overline{W} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi(y) = \cup\{(0, \delta_z] : y \in V_z\}$ . By [13, Theorem 6.2, p. 116],  $\varphi$  admits a continuous selection  $\beta : \overline{W} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ . Let  $\overline{\beta} : Y \rightarrow (0, 1]$  be a continuous extension of  $\beta$  and  $\alpha = \overline{\beta} \circ f$ . The set  $W$  is the required one.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Each set  $C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$  is open in  $C(X, M)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $g_0 \in C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists a function  $\alpha : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  such that  $g \in C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$  for any  $g \in C(X, M)$  satisfying the inequality  $\rho(g(x), g_0(x)) < \alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $B_\rho(g_0, \alpha)$  is a neighborhood of  $g_0$  and  $B_\rho(g_0, \alpha) \subset C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$ .  $\square$

Next step is to show that if  $K \subset Y$  is closed,  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $X$  with  $\dim f|_A \leq k$ , then  $C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$  is dense in  $C(X, M)$ . To this end we need some preliminary results. The first one is the following characterization of spaces with the  $m$ - $DD^{\{n,k\}}$ -property, which can be obtain from the proof of [1, Theorem 5.7]:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $m, n, k$  be non-negative integers and  $d = m + \max\{n, k\}$ . A completely separable metrizable  $LC^{d-1}$ -space  $M$  has the  $m$ - $DD^{\{n,k\}}$ -property if and only if for any separable polyhedron  $P$  with  $\dim P \leq m$  there are two disjoint  $\sigma$ -compact sets  $E_n, E_k \subset P \times M$  such that  $E_n \in P\text{-MAP}^n$  and  $E_k \in P\text{-MAP}^k$ .*

The notation  $E_n \in P\text{-MAP}^n$  means that for any  $n$ -dimensional map  $p : K \rightarrow P$  with  $K$  being a finite-dimensional metric compactum, a closed subset  $F \subset K$ , a map  $g : K \rightarrow M$ , and a positive  $\delta$  there is a map  $g' : K \rightarrow M$  such that  $g'$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $g$ ,  $g'|_F = g|_F$  and  $(p\Delta g')(K \setminus F) \subset E_n$ .

To prove the density of the sets  $C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$ , where  $A, B$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $X$  with  $\dim f|_A \leq k$ , we fix a map  $g_0 : X \rightarrow M$  and a continuous function  $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ . Define the set-valued map

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : Y \rightarrow C(X, M) \text{ by } \Phi_\varepsilon(y) = B_\rho(g_0, \varepsilon) \cap C_{\{y'\}}(X, M; A, B; f),$$

where  $C(X, M)$  carries the compact-open topology.

**Lemma 2.4.** *All  $\Phi_\varepsilon(y)$  are non-empty sets. Moreover, if  $\Phi_\varepsilon(y_0)$  contains a compact set  $K$  for some  $y_0 \in Y$ , then there exists a neighborhood  $V(y_0)$  of  $y_0$  such that  $K \subset \Phi_\varepsilon(y)$  for every  $y \in V(y_0)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $M$  is an  $LC^{n-1}$ -space with the disjoint  $(n, k)$ -cells property and  $\dim f^{-1}(y) \cap A \leq k$ , the set of all maps  $h \in C(f^{-1}(y), M)$  with  $h(A \cap f^{-1}(y)) \cap h(B \cap f^{-1}(y)) = \emptyset$  is dense in  $C(f^{-1}(y), M)$  (see the proof of Lemma 3.4 from [5]). So, if  $\delta_y = \min\{\varepsilon(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\}$ , then there exists such a map  $h \in C(f^{-1}(y), M)$  with  $\rho(h, g_0|_{f^{-1}(y)}) < \delta_y/8$ . Then, by the extension property (1),  $h$  can be extended to a map  $g \in C(X, M)$  such that  $\rho(g, g_0) < \varepsilon$ . Obviously  $g \in C_{\{y'\}}(X, M; A, B; f)$ , so  $\Phi(y) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

The second part of that lemma can be established following the proof of Lemma 2.5(2) from [6].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Every  $\Phi_\varepsilon(y)$  has the following property: If  $\hat{v} : \mathbb{S}^p \rightarrow \Phi_\varepsilon(y)$  is continuous, where  $p \leq m - 1$  and  $\mathbb{S}^p$  is the  $p$ -sphere, then  $\hat{v}$  can be extended to a continuous map  $\hat{u} : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \rightarrow \Phi_{16\varepsilon}(y)$ .*

**Proof.** Let us mention the following property of the function space  $C(X, M)$  with the compact open topology: For any metrizable space  $Z$  a map  $\hat{w} : Z \rightarrow C(X, M)$  is continuous if and only if the map  $w : Z \times X \rightarrow M$ ,  $w(z, x) = \hat{w}(z)(x)$ , is continuous. Hence, every map  $\hat{v} : \mathbb{S}^p \rightarrow \Phi_\varepsilon(y)$  generates a continuous map  $v : \mathbb{S}^p \times X \rightarrow M$  defined by  $v(z, x) = \hat{v}(z)(x)$  such that  $\rho(v(z, x), g_0(x)) < \varepsilon(x)$  for all  $(z, x) \in \mathbb{S}^p \times X$ .

Define the maps  $\bar{g}_0 : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times X \rightarrow M$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  by  $\bar{g}_0(t, x) = g_0(x)$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \varepsilon(x)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}^{p+1}$ . Since  $X$  admits a perfect  $n$ -dimensional map onto the  $m$ -dimensional space  $Y$ ,  $\dim X \leq n + m$ , see [3]. Hence,  $\dim(\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times X) \leq 2m + n$ . Then, according to the extension property (1),  $v$  can be extended to a map  $v_1 : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times X \rightarrow M$  such that  $\rho(v_1, \bar{g}_0) < 8\bar{\varepsilon}$ . Let  $A_y = A \cap f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $B_y = B \cap f^{-1}(y)$ . Denote by  $v_{1,A} : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times A_y \rightarrow M$  and  $v_{1,B} : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times B_y \rightarrow M$ , respectively, the restrictions  $v_1|_{(\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times A_y)}$  and  $v_1|_{(\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times B_y)}$ . By Proposition 2.3, there exist two disjoint subsets  $E_k$  and  $E_n$  of  $\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times M$  such that  $E_n \in \mathbb{I}^{p+1}\text{-MAP}^n$  and  $E_k \in \mathbb{I}^{p+1}\text{-MAP}^k$ . Applying the  $(\mathbb{I}^{p+1}\text{-MAP}^k)$ -property of  $E_k$  with respect to the projection  $\pi_A : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times A_y \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{p+1}$ , we find a map  $h_A : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times A_y \rightarrow M$  satisfying the following conditions, where  $\delta_y = \min\{8\varepsilon(x) - \rho(v_1(t, x), g_0(x)) : (t, x) \in \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times f^{-1}(y)\}$ :

- (3)  $h_A|_{(\mathbb{S}^p \times A_y)} = v_1|_{(\mathbb{S}^p \times A_y)}$ ;
- (4)  $\rho(h_A, v_{1,A}) < \delta_y$ ;
- (5)  $\pi_A \Delta h_A((\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \setminus \mathbb{S}^p) \times A_y) \subset E_k$ .

Applying the  $(\mathbb{I}^{p+1}\text{-MAP}^n)$ -property of  $E_n$  with respect to the projection  $\pi_B : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times B_y \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{p+1}$ , we obtain a map  $h_B : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times B_y \rightarrow M$  such that

- (6)  $h_B|_{(\mathbb{S}^p \times B_y)} = v_1|_{(\mathbb{S}^p \times B_y)}$ ;
- (7)  $\rho(h_B, v_{1,B}) < \delta_y$ ;
- (8)  $\pi_B \Delta h_B((\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \setminus \mathbb{S}^p) \times B_y) \subset E_n$ .

Consider now the map  $h : F \rightarrow M$ , where  $F = (\mathbb{S}^p \times X) \cup (\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times A_y) \cup (\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times B_y)$ , such that  $h|_{(\mathbb{S}^p \times X)} = v_1|_{(\mathbb{S}^p \times X)}$ ,  $h|_{(\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times A_y)} = h_A$  and  $h|_{(\mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times B_y)} = h_B$ . Observed that  $\rho(h(t, x), v_1(t, x)) < \varepsilon(x)$  for all  $(t, x) \in F$ . So, using again the extension property (1), we extend the map  $h$  to a map  $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \times X \rightarrow M$  with  $\rho(\tilde{h}, v_1) < 8\bar{\varepsilon}$ . Because  $\rho(v_1, \bar{g}_0) < 8\bar{\varepsilon}$ , we have  $\rho(\tilde{h}, \bar{g}_0) < 16\bar{\varepsilon}$ . Then  $\tilde{h}$  provides a map  $\hat{u} : \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \rightarrow C(X, M)$ , defined by  $\hat{u}(t)(x) = \tilde{h}(t, x)$ , such that  $\hat{u}(t) \in B_\rho(g_0, 16\varepsilon)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}^{p+1}$ .

It remains to show that  $\hat{u}(\mathbb{I}^{p+1}) \subset \Phi_{16\varepsilon}(y)$ . To this end, observe that conditions (5) and (8) imply  $\tilde{h}(\{t\} \times A_y) \cap \tilde{h}(\{t\} \times B_y) = \emptyset$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}^{p+1} \setminus \mathbb{S}^p$ . Because  $\tilde{h}|_{(\mathbb{S}^p \times f^{-1}(y))} = v|_{(\mathbb{S}^p \times f^{-1}(y))}$  and  $\hat{v}(t) \in C_{\{y\}}(X, M; A, B; f)$ ,  $\tilde{h}(\{t\} \times A_y) \cap \tilde{h}(\{t\} \times B_y) = \emptyset$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{S}^p$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{h}(\{t\} \times A_y) \cap \tilde{h}(\{t\} \times B_y) = \emptyset$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}^{p+1}$ . The last condition yields  $\hat{u}(\mathbb{I}^{p+1}) \subset C_{\{y\}}(X, M; A, B; f)$ . Hence,  $\hat{u}(\mathbb{I}^{p+1}) \subset \Phi_{16\varepsilon}(y)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.**  $C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$  is a dense subset of  $C(X, M)$  with respect to the source limitation topology for every closed  $K \subset Y$ .

**Proof.** Let  $p \leq m - 1$ . Define the set-valued maps  $\Phi_i : K \rightarrow C(X, M)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\Phi_i(y) = \Phi_{\varepsilon/16^{m-i+1}}(y)$ . Obviously,  $\Phi_0(y) \subset \Phi_1(y) \subset \dots \subset \Phi_m(y) = \Phi_{\varepsilon/16}(y)$ . According to Lemma 2.5, every map from  $\mathbb{S}^p$  into  $\Phi_i(y)$  can be extended to a map from  $\mathbb{I}^{p+1}$  into  $\Phi_{i+1}(y)$ , where  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$  and  $y \in K$ . Moreover, by Lemma 2.4, any  $\Phi_i(y)$  has the following property: if  $P \subset \Phi_i(y)$  is compact, then there exists a neighborhood  $V_y$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  such that  $P \subset \Phi_i(z)$  for all  $z \in V_y \cap K$ . So, we may apply the proof of [4, Theorem 3.1] to find a continuous selection  $\theta : K \rightarrow C(X, M)$  of  $\Phi_m$ . Hence,  $\theta(y) \in \Phi_{\varepsilon/16}(y)$  for all  $y \in K$ . Now, consider the map  $g : f^{-1}(K) \rightarrow M$ ,  $g(x) = \theta(f(x))(x)$ . Using that  $C(X, M)$  carries the compact open topology, one can show that  $g$  is continuous. Moreover,  $\varrho(g(x), g_0(x)) < \varepsilon(x)/16$  for all  $x \in f^{-1}(K)$ . Then, by (1),  $g$  can be extended to a continuous map  $\bar{g} : X \rightarrow M$  with  $\varrho(\bar{g}(x), g_0(x)) < \varepsilon(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ . It follows from the definition of  $g$  that  $g|_{f^{-1}(y)} = \theta(y)|_{f^{-1}(y)}$  for every  $y \in K$ . Since  $\theta(y) \in C_{\{y\}}(X, M; A, B; f)$ ,  $\bar{g}(A_y) \cap \bar{g}(B_y) = \emptyset$  for all  $y \in K$ . Hence,  $\bar{g} \in B_\varrho(g_0, \varepsilon) \cap C_K(X, M; A, B; f)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proofs

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $X$  be the union of an increasing sequence  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of closed sets such that each restriction  $f_i = f|_{X_i} : X_i \rightarrow f(X_i)$  is a perfect map. So, according to condition (2), the restriction maps  $\pi_i : C(X, M) \rightarrow C(X_i, M)$  are open surjections when both  $C(X, M)$  and  $C(X_i, M)$  are equipped with the source limitation topology. Hence, by Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.6, the sets  $\pi_i^{-1}(C(X_i, M; A \cap X_i, B \cap X_i; f_i))$  are open and dense in  $C(X, M)$  for any  $i$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are closed disjoint subsets of  $X$  with  $\dim f|_A \leq k$ . Since

$$C(X, M; A, B; f) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i^{-1}(C(X_i, M; A \cap X_i, B \cap X_i; f_i)),$$

any  $C(X, M; A, B; f)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, M)$ .

Suppose first that  $k \leq n - 1$ . Since  $f$  is  $\sigma$ -perfect and  $\dim f \leq n$ , there exist closed subsets  $F_i \subset X$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $\dim F_i \leq k$  for each  $i$  and the restriction  $f|(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i)$  is a map of dimension  $\leq n - k - 1$ , see [15, Theorem 1.4]. Because each  $f_i$  is a perfect map, by [10, Proposition 9.1], there exist maps  $h_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$  embedding all fibers of  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . We can suppose that each  $h_i$  is defined on  $X$ . Hence, the diagonal product  $h$  of all  $h_i$  is a map from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$  such that  $h|_{f^{-1}(y)} : f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$  is one-to one for all  $y \in Y$ . We fix a finitely additive base  $\Gamma = \{U_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  for the topology of  $\mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$  and consider the family  $\mathcal{A}$  of all non-empty intersections  $h^{-1}(\overline{U}_j) \cap F_i$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ , and the family  $\mathcal{B} = \{h^{-1}(\overline{U}_j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ . Obviously,  $\dim A \leq k$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . We already observed that the sets  $C(X, M; A, B; f)$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  are disjoint, are dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, M)$  with respect to the source limitation topology. Then the intersection  $\mathcal{S}$  of all  $C(X, M; A, B; f)$  is also a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, M)$ .

Let us show that  $\mathcal{S}$  consists of maps  $g$  such that each restriction  $g|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , is set-wise injective in dimension  $n - k$ . Indeed, suppose  $K_1 \neq K_2$  are two non-trivial closed sets, which are contained in some  $f^{-1}(y_0)$  and  $\dim(K_2 \setminus K_1) \geq n - k$ .

**Claim 1.** *There is  $x_0 \in (K_2 \setminus K_1) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i)$ .*

Indeed, otherwise  $K_2 \setminus K_1 \subset f^{-1}(y_0) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i)$ , which implies  $\dim K_2 \setminus K_1 \leq n - k - 1$ , a contradiction. Next claim completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case  $k \leq n - 1$ .

**Claim 2.**  *$g(x_0) \notin g(K_1)$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{S}$ .*

We fix  $i_0$  with  $x_0 \in F_{i_0}$ . Since  $h(x_0) \in h(K_2) \setminus h(K_1 \cap X_i)$  and  $h(K_1 \cap X_i)$  is a compact set for every  $i$ , there exist  $U_{j_i}, U_{l_i} \in \Gamma$  such that  $h(x_0) \in U_{j_i}$ ,  $h(K_1 \cap X_i) \subset U_{l_i}$  and  $\overline{U}_{j_i} \cap \overline{U}_{l_i} = \emptyset$  (recall that  $\Gamma$  is finitely additive). Then  $h^{-1}(\overline{U}_{j_i})$  and  $B_i = h^{-1}(\overline{U}_{l_i})$  are also disjoint and  $K_1 \cap X_i \subset B_i \cap f^{-1}(y_0)$ . Moreover  $A_i = h^{-1}(\overline{U}_{j_i}) \cap F_{i_0} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x_0 \in A_i$ . Consequently,  $g(x_0) \notin g(K_1 \cap X_i)$  for all  $g \in C(X, M; A_i, B_i; f)$  and all  $i$ . Finally, since  $g(K_1) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} g(K_1 \cap X_i)$ , we have  $g(x_0) \in g(K_2) \setminus g(K_1)$ .

Suppose now that  $k = n$ , and let  $\Gamma = \{U_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be as above. Then the intersection of all  $C(X, M; A, B; f)$ , where  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  are disjoint, is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, M)$  and consists of maps  $g$  such that the restrictions  $g|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , are set-wise injective in dimension 0.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 1.2.** Suppose first that  $Q \subset X$  is closed, and let  $f_Q = f|_Q$  and  $Y_Q = f(Q)$ . Obviously,  $f_Q : Q \rightarrow Y_Q$  is a  $\sigma$ -perfect surjection with  $\dim f_Q \leq q$ . Then, we apply Theorem 1.1 (with  $X, Y, f$  replaced, respectively, by  $Q, Y_Q, f_Q$ ) to show the existence of a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(Q; M)$  of maps  $g$  such that all restrictions  $g|_{f_Q^{-1}(y)}$ ,  $y \in Y_Q$ , are set-wise injective in dimension  $q - p$ . More precisely, following the notations from the proof of Theorem 1.1, we find countably many disjoint couples  $(A_i, B_i)$  of closed subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $A_i, B_i \subset Q$ ;
- Each  $C(Q, M; A_i, B_i; f_Q)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(Q, M)$  and the intersection  $\mathcal{S}_Q$  of all  $C(Q, M; A_i, B_i; f_Q)$  consists of maps  $g \in C(Q, M)$  such that  $g|_{f_Q^{-1}(y)}$ ,  $y \in Y_Q$ , is set-wise injective in dimension  $q - p$ ;
- If  $p \leq q - 1$ , then for any  $y \in Y_Q$  and any two different points  $z \in f_Q^{-1}(y) \cap P$  and  $x \in f_Q^{-1}(y)$  there exists a couple  $(A_i, B_i)$  with  $z \in A_i$  and  $x \in B_i$ ;
- If  $p = q$ , then the couples  $(A_i, B_i)$  are separating the points of  $f_Q^{-1}(y)$  for all  $y \in Y_Q$ .

The last two properties yield that  $\mathcal{S}_Q$  consists of maps  $g \in C(Q, M)$  such that  $g^{-1}(g(z)) \cap f_Q^{-1}(y) = \{z\}$  for all  $z \in P \cap f_Q^{-1}(y)$  and all  $y \in Y_Q$ . Let  $\pi_Q : C(X, M) \rightarrow C(Q, M)$  be the restriction map. According to condition (2), each set  $\pi_Q^{-1}(C(Q, M; A_i, B_i; f_Q))$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, M)$ . Then the set  $\pi_Q^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_Q)$  is also dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, M)$ , and consists of maps  $g$  such that  $g^{-1}(g(z)) \cap Q \cap f^{-1}(y) = \{z\}$  for all  $z \in P \cap f^{-1}(y)$  and all  $y \in Y$ .

If  $Q = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty Q_j$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$ , we consider the  $\sigma$ -perfect restrictions  $f_j = f|_{Q_j}$  and the spaces  $Y_j = f_j(Q_j)$ . As above, for each  $j$  we find countably many couples  $(A_i^j, B_i^j)$  of closed disjoint subsets of  $Q_j$  such that the intersection  $\mathcal{S}_{Q_j}$  of all  $C(Q_j, M; A_i^j, B_i^j; f_j)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(Q_j, M)$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{S} = \bigcap_{j=1}^\infty \pi_{Q_j}^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{Q_j})$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, M)$ . It is easily seen that any  $g \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfies the required condition  $g^{-1}(g(z)) \cap Q \cap f^{-1}(y) = \{z\}$  for all  $z \in P \cap f^{-1}(y)$  and all  $y \in Y$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We follow the approach from the proof of [16, Theorem 1.2]. Fix a map  $g_0 : X \rightarrow M$  and a number  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $W(f) \leq \aleph_0$ , there exists a map  $\lambda : X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$  such that  $f \Delta \lambda : X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$  is an embedding. We are going to find a map  $g \in C(X, M)$  such that  $g$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $g_0$  and all restrictions  $g|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , are continuum-wise injective in dimension  $n - k$ . To this end, let  $\bar{\lambda} : \beta X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$ ,  $\bar{g}_0 : \beta X \rightarrow M$  and  $\bar{f} : \beta X \rightarrow \beta Y$  be the Čech–Stone extensions of the maps  $\lambda$ ,  $g_0$  and  $f$ , respectively. Then  $\bar{\lambda} \Delta \bar{g}_0 \in C(\beta X, \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0} \times M)$ . We consider also the constant maps  $h : \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0} \times M \rightarrow Pt$  and  $\eta : \beta Y \rightarrow Pt$ , where  $Pt$  is the one-point space. According to Pasynkov’s factorization theorem [12, Theorem 13], there exist metrizable compacta  $K, T$  and maps  $f_* : K \rightarrow T$ ,  $\xi_1 : \beta X \rightarrow K$ ,  $\xi_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0} \times M$  and  $\eta_1 : \beta Y \rightarrow T$  such that:

- $\eta_1 \circ \bar{f} = f_* \circ \xi_1$ ;
- $\xi_2 \circ \xi_1 = \bar{\lambda} \Delta \bar{g}_0$ ;
- $\dim T \leq \dim \beta Y$  and  $\dim f_* \leq \dim \bar{f}$ .

Since  $Y$  is normal,  $\dim \beta Y = \dim Y \leq m$ . Moreover, by [12, Proposition 8],  $\dim f \leq n$  implies  $\dim \bar{f} \leq n$ . If  $p : \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$  and  $q : \mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0} \times M \rightarrow M$  denote the corresponding projections, we have

- $p \circ \xi_2 \circ \xi_1 = \bar{\lambda}$  and  $q \circ \xi_2 \circ \xi_1 = \bar{g}_0$ .

By Theorem 1.1, there exists a map  $\phi : K \rightarrow M$  such that  $\phi$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $q \circ \xi_2$  and all restrictions  $\phi|_{f_*^{-1}(t)}$ ,  $t \in T$ , are set-wise injective in dimension  $n - k$ . Then the map  $\bar{g} = \phi \circ \xi_1$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $\bar{g}_0$ . Hence, the maps  $g = \bar{g}|_X$  and  $g_0$  are also  $\varepsilon$ -close. Because  $\lambda = (p \circ \xi_2 \circ \xi_1)|_X$  embeds the fibers of  $f$  into  $\mathbb{I}^{\aleph_0}$ ,  $\xi_1$  embeds the fibers of  $f$  into  $K$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset f_*^{-1}(\eta_1(y))$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Therefore, the restrictions  $g|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , are set-wise injective in dimension  $n - k$ .

**Acknowledgements**

The second author was partially supported by NSERC Grant 261914-13. The paper was finalized during his visit to Shimane University in April 2016. He appreciates the hospitality of his colleagues at Shimane University.

## Appendix A

**Proof of Proposition 1.4.** Let  $f : \mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^n \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$  and  $g : \mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^n \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$  be two maps. We are going to approximate  $f$  and  $g$  by maps  $f' : \mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^n \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$  and  $g' : \mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^n \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$  such that  $f'(\{z\} \times \mathbb{I}^n) \cap g'(\{z\} \times \mathbb{I}^n) = \emptyset$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{I}^m$ . To this end, let  $\varphi : \mathbb{I}^m \times (\mathbb{I}^n \oplus \mathbb{I}^n) \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$  be the map generated by  $f$  and  $g$ , where  $\oplus$  denotes the discrete sum. Represent  $\varphi$  as the product  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \triangle \varphi_2$  of two maps  $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{I}^m \times (\mathbb{I}^n \oplus \mathbb{I}^n) \rightarrow M$  and  $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{I}^m \times (\mathbb{I}^n \oplus \mathbb{I}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$ .

**Claim 3.** *There exists an  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $F \subset \mathbb{I}^m \times (\mathbb{I}^n \oplus \mathbb{I}^n)$  such that  $\dim(\mathbb{I}^m \times (\mathbb{I}^n \oplus \mathbb{I}^n) \setminus F) \leq n - k - 1$  and  $\dim \pi|_F \leq k$ , where  $\pi : \mathbb{I}^m \times (\mathbb{I}^n \oplus \mathbb{I}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^m$  is the projection.*

Indeed, denote  $X = \mathbb{I}^m \times (\mathbb{I}^n \oplus \mathbb{I}^n)$  and take an  $F_\sigma$ -set  $H \subset X$  such that  $\dim H \leq k$  and  $\dim \pi|(X \setminus H) \leq n - k - 1$ , see [14]. Then  $H$  is contained in a  $G_\delta$ -set  $\tilde{H} \subset X$  with  $\dim \tilde{H} \leq k$ , and the set  $F = X \setminus \tilde{H}$  is the required one.

Since  $\dim \pi|_F \leq k$  and  $M$  has the  $m$ -DD $\{n, k\}$ -property, by Corollary 1.2, there exists a map  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{I}^m \times (\mathbb{I}^n \oplus \mathbb{I}^n) \rightarrow M$  sufficiently close to  $\varphi_1$  with  $\phi_1^{-1}(\phi_1(z)) \cap \pi^{-1}(y) = \{z\}$  for all  $z \in F \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$  and all  $y \in \mathbb{I}^m$ . Let  $\tilde{F}$  be the set of all  $z \in X$  such that  $\phi_1^{-1}(\phi_1(z)) \cap \pi^{-1}(y) = \{z\}$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{I}^m$ . It is easily seen that  $\tilde{F} = \{z \in X : (\pi \triangle \phi_1)^{-1}((\pi \triangle \phi_1)(z)) = \{z\}\}$ , where  $\pi \triangle \phi_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^m \times M$  is the diagonal product of  $\pi$  and  $\phi_1$ . Because  $\pi \triangle \phi_1$  is a closed map,  $\tilde{F}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{F}$  contains  $F$  and  $P = X \setminus \tilde{F}$  is an  $\sigma$ -compact set of dimension  $\dim P \leq l$ . Thus, there is a map  $\phi_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$  sufficiently close to  $\varphi_2$  such that  $\phi_2|_P$  is one-to-one. Then the map  $\phi = \phi_1 \triangle \phi_2 : \mathbb{I}^m \times (\mathbb{I}^n \oplus \mathbb{I}^n) \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^{2l+1}$  is close to  $\varphi$ . Consequently, the maps  $f' = \phi|(\mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^n)$  and  $g' = \phi|(\mathbb{I}^m \times \mathbb{I}^n)$  are close, respectively, to  $f$  and  $g$ . Moreover,  $f'(\{z\} \times \mathbb{I}^n) \cap g'(\{z\} \times \mathbb{I}^n) = \emptyset$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{I}^m$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. Banach, V. Valov, General Position Properties in Fiberwise Geometric Topology, Diss. Math., vol. 491, PAN, Warszawa, 2013.
- [2] T. Banach, V. Valov, Approximation by light maps and parametric Lelek maps, Topol. Appl. 157 (2010) 2325–2341.
- [3] R. Engelking, Theory of Dimensions: Finite and Infinite, Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 1995.
- [4] V. Gutev, Selections and approximations in finite-dimensional spaces, Topol. Appl. 146/147 (2005) 353–383.
- [5] H. Kato, E. Matsuhashi, Continuum-wise injective maps, Topol. Appl. 202 (2016) 410–417.
- [6] E. Matsuhashi, V. Valov, Krasinkiewicz spaces and parametric Krasinkiewicz maps, Houst. J. Math. 36 (4) (2010) 1207–1220.
- [7] N. Krikorian, A note concerning the fine topology on function spaces, Compos. Math. 21 (1969) 343–348.
- [8] J. van Mill, The Infinite-Dimensional Topology of Function Spaces, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [9] J. Munkers, Topology, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NY, 1975.
- [10] B. Pasynkov, On geometry of continuous maps of finite functional weight, Fundam. Prikl. Mat. 4 (1998) 155–164.
- [11] B. Pasynkov, On geometry of continuous maps of finite compact metric spaces, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 212 (1996) 138–162.
- [12] B. Pasynkov, Factorization theorems in dimension theory, Usp. Mat. Nauk 36 (3) (1981) 147–175.
- [13] D. Repovš, P. Semenov, Continuous Selections of Multivalued Mappings, Math. Appl., vol. 455, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [14] H. Toruńczyk, Finite-to-one restrictions of continuous functions, Fundam. Math. 125 (1985) 237–249.
- [15] M. Tuncali, V. Valov, On dimensionally restricted maps, Fundam. Math. 175 (2002) 35–52.
- [16] V. Valov, Another approach to parametric Bing and Krasinkiewicz maps, Math. Balk. 25 (4) (2011) 419–423.
- [17] V. Valov, Parametric Bing and Krasinkiewicz maps, Topol. Appl. 155 (8) (2008) 906–915.
- [18] H. Whitney, Differential manifolds, Ann. Math. 37 (1936) 645–680.