

# ON UNIFORMLY CONTINUOUS SURJECTIONS BETWEEN FUNCTION SPACES

ALİ EMRE EYSEN AND VESKO VALOV

ABSTRACT. We consider uniformly continuous surjections between  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  (resp,  $C_p^*(X)$  and  $C_p^*(Y)$ ) and show that if  $X$  has some dimensional-like properties, then so does  $Y$ . In particular, we prove that if  $T : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$  is a continuous linear surjection, then  $\dim Y = 0$  if  $\dim X = 0$ . This provides a positive answer to a question raised by Kawamura-Leiderman [10, Problem 3.1].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

All spaces in this paper, if not said otherwise, are Tychonoff spaces and all maps are continuous. By  $C(X)$  (resp.,  $C^*(X)$ ) we denote the set of all continuous (resp., continuous and bounded) real-valued functions on a space  $X$ . We write  $C_p(X)$  (resp.,  $C_p^*(X)$ ) for the spaces  $C(X)$  (resp.,  $C^*(X)$ ) endowed with the pointwise topology. More information about the spaces  $C_p(X)$  can be found in [19]. By dimension we mean the *covering dimension*  $\dim$  defined by finite functionally open covers, see [3]. According to that definition, we have  $\dim X = \dim \beta X$ , where  $\beta X$  is the Čech-Stone compactification of  $X$ . We also denote by  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  the extended real line  $[-\infty, \infty]$ .

After the striking result of Pestov [17] that  $\dim X = \dim Y$  provided  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  are linearly homeomorphic, and Gul'ko's [7] generalization of Pestov's theorem that the same is true for uniformly continuous homeomorphisms, a question arose whether  $\dim Y \leq \dim X$  if there is continuous linear surjection from  $C_p(X)$  onto  $C_p(Y)$ , see [1]. This was answered negatively by Leiderman-Levin-Pestov [14] and Leiderman-Morris-Pestov [15]. On the other hand, it was shown in [14] that if there is a linear continuous surjection  $C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$  for compact metric spaces, then  $\dim Y = 0$  provided  $\dim X = 0$ . The

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C35; Secondary 54F45.

*Key words and phrases.*  $C_p(X)$ -space,  $C$ -space, 0-dimensional spaces, strongly countable-dimensional spaces, uniformly continuous surjections.

The first author was partially supported by TUBITAK-2219.

The second author was partially supported by NSERC Grant 261914-19.

last result was extended for arbitrary compact spaces by Kawamura-Leiderman [10] who also raised the question whether this is true without compactness of  $X$  and  $Y$ . In this paper we provide a positive answer to that question for continuous linear surjections  $T : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$  and discuss the situation when linearity of  $T$  is replaced by uniform continuity.

Suppose  $E_p(X) \subset C(X)$  and  $E_p(Y) \subset C(Y)$  are subspaces containing the zero functions on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Recall that a map  $\varphi : E_p(X) \rightarrow E_p(Y)$  is *uniformly continuous* if for every neighborhood  $U$  of the zero function in  $E_p(Y)$  there is a neighborhood  $V$  of the zero function in  $E_p(X)$  such that  $f, g \in E_p(X)$  and  $f - g \in V$  implies  $\varphi(f) - \varphi(g) \in U$ . Evidently, if  $E_p(X)$  and  $E_p(Y)$  are linear spaces, then every linear continuous map between  $E_p(X)$  and  $E_p(Y)$  is uniformly continuous. If  $f \in C_p(X)$  is a bounded function, then  $\|f\|$  stands for the supremum norm of  $f$ . The notion of  $c$ -good maps was introduced in [6] (see also [5]), where  $c$  is a positive number. A map  $\varphi : E_p(X) \rightarrow E_p(Y)$  is *c-good* if for every bounded function  $g \in E_p(Y)$  there exists a bounded function  $f \in E_p(X)$  such that  $\varphi(f) = g$  and  $\|f\| \leq c \|g\|$ .

Everywhere below, by  $D(X)$  we denote either  $C^*(X)$  or  $C(X)$ . Here is one of our main results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $T : D_p(X) \rightarrow D_p(Y)$  be a  $c$ -good uniformly continuous surjection for some  $c > 0$ . Then  $Y$  is 0-dimensional provided so is  $X$ .*

**Corollary 1.2.** *Suppose there is a linear continuous surjection from  $C_p^*(X)$  either onto  $C_p^*(Y)$  or onto  $C_p(Y)$ . Then  $Y$  is 0-dimensional provided so is  $X$ .*

We consider properties  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\sigma$ -compact separable metric spaces such that:

- (a) If  $X \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $F \subset X$  is closed, then  $F \in \mathcal{P}$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{P}$  is closed under finite products;
- (c) If  $X$  is a countable union of closed subsets each having the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{P}$ ;
- (d) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect map with countable fibers and  $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{P}$ .

For example, *0-dimensionality*, *strongly countable-dimensionality* and *C-space property* satisfy conditions (a) – (d). Another two properties of this type for  $\sigma$ -compact spaces  $X$  are: all finite powers of  $X$  to be *weakly infinite-dimensional*, or *m-C-spaces* in the sense of Fedorchuk

[4]. The definitions of all these dimensional invariants can be found in [3] and [4].

For  $\sigma$ -compact separable metric spaces we have the following version of Theorem 1.1:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\sigma$ -compact separable metric spaces and let  $T : D_p(X) \rightarrow D_p(Y)$  be a  $c$ -good uniformly continuous surjection for some  $c > 0$ . If  $X$  has a property  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfying conditions (a) – (d) above, then  $Y$  has the same property.*

Theorem 1.3 was established in [6] in the special case when  $X, Y$  are compact metric spaces,  $T : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$  is a  $c$ -good uniformly continuous surjection and  $\mathcal{P}$  being either 0-dimensionality or strongly countable-dimensionality.

**Corollary 1.4.** *If in Theorem 1.3  $X$  is a  $C$ -space or all finite powers of  $X$  are weakly infinite-dimensional or  $m$  –  $C$ -spaces, then  $Y$  also has the same property.*

Similar results were established by Krupski [12] under the assumption that  $T : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$  is a continuous open surjection.

The notion of  $c$ -good maps is crucial in the proof of the above results. We don't know if every linear continuous surjection  $T : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$  is  $c$ -good for some  $c$ , but the following theorem is true and provides a positive answer to [10, Problem 3.1] in case  $\dim X = 0$ :

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $T : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$  be a linear continuous surjection. If  $\dim X = 0$ , then  $\dim Y = 0$ .*

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we prove a proposition which is used in the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. Our proof is based on the idea of support introduced by Gul'ko [7] and the extension of this notion introduced by Krupski [11].

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the set of rational numbers. A subspace  $E(X) \subset C(X)$  is called a *QS-algebra* [7] if it satisfies the following conditions: (i) If  $f, g \in E(X)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then all functions  $f + g$ ,  $f \cdot g$  and  $\lambda f$  belong to  $E(X)$ ; (ii) For every  $x \in X$  and its neighborhood  $U$  in  $X$  there is  $f \in E(X)$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  and  $f(X \setminus U) = 0$ .

We are using the following facts from [7]:

- (2.1) If  $X$  has a countable base and  $\Phi \subset C(X)$  is a countable set, then there is a countable QS-algebra  $E(X) \subset C(X)$  containing  $\Phi$ . Moreover, it follows from the proof of [7, Proposition 1.2] that that  $E(X) \subset C^*(X)$  providing  $\Phi \subset C^*(X)$ ;

- (2.2) If  $U$  is an open set in  $X$ ,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in U$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then there exists  $f \in E(X)$  such that  $f(x_i) = \lambda_i$  for each  $i$  and  $f(X \setminus U) = 0$ .
- (2.3) If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a countable finitely additive base of  $X$ , then by (2.1) we can always suppose that for any  $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\bar{V} \subset U$  there is  $f_{V,U} \in E(X)$  such that  $f_{V,U}|_{\bar{V}} = 1$ ,  $f_{V,U}|_{(X \setminus U)} = 0$  and  $f_{V,U}(x) \in [0, 1]$  for all  $x \in X$ . In particular, for every compact set  $K \subset V$  we have  $f_{V,U}|_K = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  be metric compactifications of  $X$  and  $Y$ , and  $H \subset \bar{X}$  be a  $\sigma$ -compact space containing  $X$ . Suppose  $E(H)$  is a  $QS$ -algebra on  $H$ ,  $E(X) = \{\bar{f}|X : \bar{f} \in E(H)\}$  and  $E(Y) \subset C(Y)$  is a family such that every  $g \in E(Y)$  is extendable to a map  $\bar{g} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $E(\bar{Y}) = \{\bar{g} : g \in E(Y)\}$  containing a  $QS$ -algebra  $\Gamma$  on  $\bar{Y}$ . Let also  $\varphi : E_p(X) \rightarrow E_p(Y)$  be an uniformly continuous surjection which is  $c$ -good for some  $c > 0$ .*

*If  $H$  has a property  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfying conditions (a)–(d), then there exists a  $\sigma$ -compact set  $Y_\infty \subset \bar{Y}$  containing  $Y$  with  $Y_\infty \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $\bar{f} \in E(H)$  denote by  $f$  the restriction  $\bar{f}|X$ . For every  $y \in \bar{Y}$  there is a map  $\alpha_y : E(H) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\alpha_y(\bar{f}) = \overline{\varphi(f)}(y)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is uniformly continuous, so is each  $\alpha_y|E_p(X)$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Suppose  $H = \bigcup_k H_k$  is the union of an increasing sequence  $\{H_k\}$  of compact sets. Following Krupski [11], for every  $y \in \bar{Y}$  and every  $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the families

$$\mathcal{A}^k(y) = \{K \subset H_k : K \text{ is closed and } a(y, K) < \infty\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_p^k(y) = \{K \subset H_k : K \text{ is closed and } a(y, K) \leq p\},$$

where

$$a(y, K) = \sup\{|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| : \bar{f}, \bar{g} \in E(H), |\bar{f}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1 \forall x \in K\}.$$

Possibly, some or both of the values  $\alpha_y(\bar{f}), \alpha_y(\bar{g})$  from the definition of  $a(y, K)$  could be  $\pm\infty$ . That's why we use the following agreements:

$$(*) \quad \infty + \infty = \infty, \infty - \infty = -\infty + \infty = 0, -\infty - \infty = -\infty.$$

Note that  $a(y, \emptyset) = \infty$  since  $\varphi$  is surjective.

Using that  $E(X)$  and  $E(H)$  are  $QS$ -algebras on  $X$  and  $H$ , and following the arguments from Krupski's paper [11] (see also the proofs of [7, Proposition 1.4] and [16, Proposition 3.1]), one can establish the following claims (for the sake of completeness we provide the proofs):

**Claim 1.** *For every  $y \in Y$  there is  $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_p^k(y)$  contains a finite nonempty subset of  $X$ .*

This claim follows from the proof of [11, Proposition 2.1]. Indeed, since  $\varphi$  is uniformly continuous there is  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and a finite set  $K \subset X$  such that if  $f, g \in E(X)$  and  $|f(x) - g(x)| < 1/p$  for every  $x \in K$ , then  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| < 1$ . Take arbitrary  $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in E(H)$  with  $|\bar{f}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for every  $x \in K$  and consider the functions  $\bar{f}_m = \bar{f} + \frac{m}{p}(\bar{g} - \bar{f}) \in E(H)$  for each  $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$ . Obviously  $|f_m(x) - f_{m+1}(x)| < 1/p$  for all  $x \in K$ , so  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}_m) - \alpha_y(\bar{f}_{m+1})| < 1$ . Consequently,  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} |\alpha_y(\bar{f}_m) - \alpha_y(\bar{f}_{m+1})| < p$ . Because  $K$  is finite, there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $K \subset H_k$ . Hence  $K \in \mathcal{A}_p^k(y)$ .

Consider the sets  $Y_p^k = \{y \in \bar{Y} : \mathcal{A}_p^k(y) \neq \emptyset\}$ ,  $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Claim 2.** *Each  $Y_p^k$  is a closed subset of  $\bar{Y}$ .*

We use the proof of [11, Lemma 2.2]. Suppose  $y \notin Y_p^k$ . Since  $y \in Y_p^k$  iff  $H_k \in \mathcal{A}_p^k(y)$ ,  $H_k \notin \mathcal{A}_p^k(y)$ . So, there exist  $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in E(H)$  with  $|\bar{f}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in H_k$  and  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| > p$ . Then  $V = \{z \in \bar{Y} : |\alpha_z(\bar{f}) - \alpha_z(\bar{g})| > p\}$  is a neighborhood of  $y$  with  $V \cap Y_p^k = \emptyset$ .

**Claim 3.** *Each set  $Y_{p,q}^k = \{y \in Y_p^k : \exists K \in \mathcal{A}_p^k(y) \text{ with } |K| \leq q\}$ ,  $p, q, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , is closed in  $Y_p^k$ .*

Following the proof of [11, Lemma 2.3], we first show that the set  $Z = \{(y, K) \in Y_p^k \times [H_k]^{\leq q} : K \in \mathcal{A}_p^k(y)\}$  is closed in  $Y_p^k \times [H_k]^{\leq q}$ , where  $[H_k]^{\leq q}$  denotes the space of all subsets  $K \subset H_k$  of cardinality  $\leq q$  endowed with the Vietoris topology. Indeed, if  $(y, K) \in Y_p^k \times [H_k]^{\leq q} \setminus Z$ , then  $K \notin \mathcal{A}_p^k(y)$ . Hence,  $a(y, K) > p$  and there are  $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in E(H)$  such that  $|\bar{f}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| > p$ . Let  $U = \{z \in Y_p^k : |\alpha_z(\bar{f}) - \alpha_z(\bar{g})| > p\}$  and  $V = \{x \in H_k : |\bar{f}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1\}$ . The set  $U \times \langle V \rangle$  is a neighborhood of  $(y, K)$  in  $Y_p^k \times [H_k]^{\leq q}$  disjoint from  $Z$  (here  $\langle V \rangle = \{F \in [H_k]^{\leq q} : F \subset V\}$ ). Since  $Y_p^k \times [H_k]^{\leq q}$  is compact and  $Y_{p,q}^k$  is the image of  $Z$  under the projection  $Y_p^k \times [H_k]^{\leq q} \rightarrow Y_p^k$ ,  $Y_{p,q}^k$  is closed in  $Y_p^k$ .

For every  $k$  let  $Y_k = \bigcup_{p,q} Y_{p,q}^k$ . Obviously,  $Y_k \subset \{y \in \bar{Y} : \mathcal{A}^k(y) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Since  $H_k \subset H_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ , the sequence  $\{Y_k\}$  is increasing. It may happen that  $Y_k = \emptyset$  for some  $k$ , but Claim 1 implies that  $Y \subset \bigcup_k Y_k$ .

**Claim 4.** *For every  $y \in Y_k$  the family  $\mathcal{A}^k(y)$  is closed under finite intersections and  $a(y, K_1 \cap K_2) \leq a(y, K_1) + a(y, K_2)$  for all  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$ .*

We follow the proof of [11, Lemma 2.5] to show that  $K_1 \cap K_2 \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  for any  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$ . Let  $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in E(H)$  with  $|\bar{f}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for all

$x \in K_1 \cap K_2$  and  $U = \{x \in H : |\bar{f}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1\}$ . Take a base open set  $W$  in  $H$  containing  $K_1$  with  $W \cap (K_2 \setminus U) = \emptyset$  and choose  $\bar{u} \in E(H)$  such that  $\bar{u}|_{K_1} = 1$ ,  $\bar{u}|_{(H \setminus W)} = 0$  and  $\bar{u}(x) \in [0, 1]$  for all  $x \in H$ . Then  $\bar{h} = \bar{u} \cdot (\bar{f} - \bar{g}) + \bar{g} \in E(H)$ ,  $\bar{h}|_{K_1} = \bar{f}|_{K_1}$ ,  $\bar{h}|_{(K_2 \setminus U)} = \bar{g}|_{(K_2 \setminus U)}$  and  $|\bar{h}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for  $x \in K_2$ . Since  $K_1 \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  and  $\bar{h}|_{K_1} = \bar{f}|_{K_1}$ , we have  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{h})| \leq a(y, K_1) < \infty$ . Similarly,  $K_2 \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  and  $|\bar{h}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for  $x \in K_2$  imply  $|\alpha_y(\bar{h}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| \leq a(y, K_2) < \infty$ . Therefore,

$$|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| \leq |\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{h})| + |\alpha_y(\bar{h}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| \leq a(y, K_1) + a(y, K_2).$$

Note that the last inequality is true if some of  $\alpha_y(\bar{f}), \alpha_y(\bar{h}), \alpha_y(\bar{g})$  are  $\pm\infty$ . Indeed, if  $\alpha_y(\bar{f}) = \pm\infty$ , then  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{h})| < \infty$  implies  $\alpha_y(\bar{h}) = \pm\infty$ . Consequently,  $\alpha_y(\bar{g}) = \pm\infty$  because  $|\alpha_y(\bar{h}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| < \infty$ . Therefore,  $a(y, K_1 \cap K_2) \leq a(y, K_1) + a(y, K_2)$ , which means that  $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset$  (otherwise  $a(y, K_1 \cap K_2) = \infty$ ) and  $K_1 \cap K_2 \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$ .

Since each family  $\mathcal{A}^k(y)$ ,  $y \in Y_k$ , consists of compact subsets of  $H_k$ ,  $K(y, k) = \bigcap \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  is nonempty and compact.

**Claim 5.** *For every  $y \in Y_k$  the set  $K(y, k)$  is a nonempty finite subset of  $H_k$  with  $K(y, k) \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$ . Moreover, if  $y \in Y$  then there exists  $k$  such that  $y \in Y_k$  and  $K(y, k) \subset X$ .*

Let  $y \in Y_k$ . We already observed that  $K(y, k)$  is compact and nonempty. Since  $y \in Y_{p,q}^k$  for some  $p, q$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^k(y)$  contains finite sets. Hence,  $K(y, k)$  is also finite and  $K(y, k) \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  because it is an intersection of finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{A}^k(y)$ . If  $y \in Y$ , then by Claim 1, there is  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{A}^k(y)$  contains a finite subset of  $X$ . Since  $K(y, k)$  is the minimal element of  $\mathcal{A}^k(y)$ , it is also a subset of  $X$ .

Following [7], for every  $k$  we define  $M^k(p, 1) = Y_{p,1}^k$  and  $M^k(p, q) = Y_{p,q}^k \setminus Y_{2p,q-1}^k$  if  $q \geq 2$ .

**Claim 6.**  *$Y_k = \bigcup \{M^k(p, q) : p, q = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and for every  $y \in M^k(p, q)$  there exists a unique set  $K_{kp}(y) \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  of cardinality  $q$  such that  $a(y, K_{kp}(y)) \leq p$ .*

Since  $M^k(p, q) \subset Y_{p,q}^k \subset Y_k$ ,  $\bigcup \{M^k(p, q) : p, q = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset Y_k$ . If  $y \in Y_k$ , then  $K(y, k) \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  is a finite subset of  $H_k$ . Suppose  $|K(y, k)| = q$  and  $a(y, K(y, k)) \leq p$  for some  $p, q$ . So,  $y \in Y_{p,q}^k$ . Moreover  $y \notin Y_{2p,q-1}^k$ , otherwise there would be  $K \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  with  $a(y, K) \leq 2p$  and  $|K| \leq q-1$ . The last inequality contradicts the minimality of  $K(y, k)$ . Hence,  $y \in M^k(p, q)$  which shows that  $Y_k = \bigcup \{M^k(p, q) : p, q = 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

Suppose  $y \in M^k(p, q)$ . Then there exists a set  $K \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  with  $a(y, K) \leq p$  and  $|K| \leq q$ . Since  $y \notin Y_{2p,q-1}^k$ ,  $|K| = q$ . If there exists

another  $K' \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  with  $a(y, K') \leq p$  and  $|K'| = q$ , then  $K \cap K' \neq \emptyset$ ,  $|K \cap K'| \leq q-1$  and, by Claim 4,  $a(y, K \cap K') \leq a(y, K) + a(y, K') \leq 2p$ . This means that  $y \in Y_{2p, q-1}^k$ , a contradiction. Hence, there exists a unique  $K_{kp}(y) \in \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  such that  $a(y, K_{kp}(y)) \leq p$  and  $|K_{kp}(y)| = q$ .

For every  $q$  let  $[H_k]^q$  denote the set of all  $q$ -points subsets of  $H_k$  endowed with the Vietoris topology.

**Claim 7.** *The map  $\Phi_{kpq} : M^k(p, q) \rightarrow [H_k]^q$ ,  $\Phi_{kpq}(y) = K_{kp}(y)$ , is continuous.*

Because  $K_{kp}(y) \subset H_k$  consists of  $q$  points for all  $y \in M^k(p, q)$ , it suffices to show that if  $K_{kp}(y) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for some open  $U \subset H$ , then there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  in  $\bar{Y}$  with  $K_{kp}(z) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all  $z \in V \cap M^k(p, q)$ . We can assume that  $K_{kp}(y) \cap U$  contains exactly one point  $x_0$ .

Suppose  $q \geq 2$ , so  $K_{kp}(y) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{q-1}\}$ . Since  $y \notin Y_{2p, q-1}^k$  we have  $a(y, K) > 2p$ , where  $K = \{x_1, \dots, x_{q-1}\}$ . Hence, there are  $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in E(H)$  such that  $|\bar{f}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| > 2p$ . The last inequality implies  $\bar{f}(x_0) \neq \bar{g}(x_0)$ , otherwise  $a(y, K_{kp}(y))$  would be greater than  $2p$  (recall that  $y \in M^k(p, q)$  implies  $a(y, K_{kp}(y)) \leq p$ ). So, at least one of the numbers  $\bar{f}(x_0), \bar{g}(x_0)$  is not zero. Without loss of generality we can suppose  $\bar{f}(x_0) > 0$ , and let  $r$  be a rational number with  $\frac{-1+\delta}{\bar{f}(x_0)} < r < \frac{1+\delta}{\bar{f}(x_0)}$ , where  $\delta = \bar{f}(x_0) - \bar{g}(x_0)$ . Then  $-1 < (1-r)\bar{f}(x_0) - \bar{g}(x_0) < 1$ , and choose  $\bar{h}_1 \in E(H)$  such that  $\bar{h}_1(x_0) = r$  and  $\bar{h}_1(x) = 0$  for all  $x \notin U$ . Consider the function  $\bar{h} = (1-\bar{h}_1)\bar{f}$ . Clearly,  $\bar{h}(x_0) = (1-r)\bar{f}(x_0)$  and  $\bar{h}(x) = \bar{f}(x)$  if  $x \notin U$ . Hence,  $\bar{h} \in E(H)$  and  $|\bar{h}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in K_{kp}(y)$ . This implies  $|\alpha_y(\bar{h}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| \leq p$ . Then

$$|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{h})| \geq |\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| - |\alpha_y(\bar{h}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| > 2p - p = p.$$

Observe that it is not possible  $\alpha_y(\bar{f}) = \alpha_y(\bar{h}) = \pm\infty$  because  $|\alpha_y(\bar{h}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| \leq p$  would imply  $\alpha_y(\bar{g}) = \pm\infty$ . Then  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| = 0$ , a contradiction.

The set  $V = \{z \in \bar{Y} : |\alpha_z(\bar{f}) - \alpha_z(\bar{h})| > p\}$  is a neighborhood of  $y$ . Since  $\bar{h}(x) = \bar{f}(x)$  for all  $x \notin U$ ,  $K_{kp}(z) \cap U = \emptyset$  for some  $z \in V \cap M^k(p, q)$  would imply  $|\alpha_z(\bar{h}) - \alpha_z(\bar{f})| \leq p$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $K_{kp}(z) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for  $z \in V \cap M^k(p, q)$ .

If  $q = 1$ , then  $K_{kp}(y) = \{x_0\}$  and  $K(y, k) = K_{kp}(y)$ . So,  $H_k \setminus U \notin \mathcal{A}^k(y)$  (otherwise  $K(y, k) \subset H_k \setminus U$ ). Hence, there exist  $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in E(H)$  such that  $|\bar{f}(x) - \bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in H_k \setminus U$  and  $|\alpha_y(\bar{f}) - \alpha_y(\bar{g})| > 2p$ .

Define  $\bar{h} \in E(H)$  as in the previous case and use the same arguments to complete the proof.

Since  $Y_{p,q}^k$  are compact subsets of  $\bar{Y}$ , each  $M^k(p, q)$  is a countable union of compact subsets  $\{F_n^k(p, q) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of  $\bar{Y}$ . So, by Claim 6,  $Y_k = \bigcup \{F_n^k(p, q) : n, p, q = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . According to Claim 7, all maps  $\Phi_{kpq}^n = \Phi_{kpq}|_{F_n^k(p, q)} : F_n^k(p, q) \rightarrow [H_k]^q$  are continuous. Moreover, since  $Y \subset \bigcup_k Y_k$ ,  $Y \subset \bigcup \{F_n^k(p, q) : n, p, q, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

**Claim 8.** *The fibers of  $\Phi_{kpq}^n : F_n^k(p, q) \rightarrow [H_k]^q$  are finite.*

We follow the arguments from the proof of [6, Theorem 4.2]. Fix  $z \in F_n^k(p, q)$  for some  $n, p, q, k$  and let  $A = \{y \in F_n^k(p, q) : K_{kp}(y) = K_{kp}(z)\}$ . Since  $\Phi_{kpq}^n$  is a perfect map,  $A$  is compact. Suppose  $A$  is infinite, so it contains a convergent sequence  $S = \{y_m\}$  of distinct points. Because  $E(\bar{Y})$  contains a  $QS$ -algebra  $\Gamma$  on  $\bar{Y}$ , for every  $y_m$  there exist its neighborhood  $U_m$  in  $\bar{Y}$  and a function  $\bar{g}_m \in \Gamma$ ,  $\bar{g}_m : \bar{Y} \rightarrow [0, 2p]$  such that:  $U_m \cap S = \{y_m\}$ ,  $\bar{g}_m(y_m) = 2p$  and  $\bar{g}_m(y) = 0$  for all  $y \notin U_m$ . Since  $\varphi$  is  $c$ -good, for each  $m$  there is  $f_m \in E(X)$  with  $\varphi(f_m) = \bar{g}_m|_Y = g_m$  and  $\|f_m\| \leq c\|\bar{g}_m\|$ . So,  $\|\bar{f}_m\| \leq 2pc$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and the sequence  $\{\bar{f}_m\}$  is contained in the compact set  $[-2pc, 2pc]^H$ . Hence,  $\{\bar{f}_m\}$  has an accumulation point in  $[-2pc, 2pc]^H$ . This implies the existence of  $i \neq j$  such that  $|\bar{f}_i(x) - \bar{f}_j(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in K_{kp}(z)$ . Consequently, since  $K_{kp}(y_j) = K_{kp}(z)$ ,  $|\alpha_{y_j}(\bar{f}_j) - \alpha_{y_j}(\bar{f}_i)| \leq p$ . On the other hand,  $\alpha_{y_j}(\bar{f}_j) = \overline{\varphi(\bar{f}_j)}(y_j) = \bar{g}_j(y_j) = 2p$  and  $\alpha_{y_j}(\bar{f}_i) = \overline{\varphi(\bar{f}_i)}(y_j) = \bar{g}_i(y_j) = 0$ , so  $|\alpha_{y_j}(\bar{f}_j) - \alpha_{y_j}(\bar{f}_i)| = 2p$ , a contradiction.

Now, we can complete the proof of Proposition 2.1. Suppose  $H$  has a property  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfying conditions (a) – (d). Then so does  $H_k^q$  for each  $k, q$  because  $H_k$  is closed in  $H$ . Hence, each set  $\Phi_{kpq}^n(F_n^k(p, q))$  also has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  because it is a compact subset of  $H_k^q$ . Finally, since the maps  $\Phi_{kpq}^n : F_n^k(p, q) \rightarrow \Phi_{kpq}^n(F_n^k(p, q))$  are perfect and have finite fibers, each  $F_n^k(p, q)$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Therefore, by condition (c),  $Y_\infty = \bigcup \{F_n^k(p, q) : n, p, q, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$

All definitions below, except that one of  $m - C$ -spaces, can be found in [3]. A normal space  $X$  is called strongly countable-dimensional if  $X$  can be represented as a countable union of closed finite-dimensional subspaces. Recall that a normal space  $X$  is weakly infinite-dimensional if for every sequence  $\{(A_i, B_i)\}$  of pairs of disjoint closed subsets of  $X$  there exist closed sets  $L_1, L_2, \dots$  such that  $L_i$  is a partition between  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  and  $\bigcap_i L_i = \emptyset$ . A normal space  $X$  is a  $C$ -space if for every sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}$  of open covers of  $X$  there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}$  of families of pairwise disjoint open subsets of  $X$  such that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

each member of  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is contained in a member of  $\mathcal{G}_i$  and the union  $\bigcup_i \mathcal{H}_i$  is a cover of  $X$ . The  $m - C$ -spaces, where  $m \geq 2$  is a natural number were introduced by Fedorchuk [4]: A normal space  $X$  is a  $m - C$ -space if for any sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}$  of open covers of  $X$  such that each  $\mathcal{G}_i$  consists of at most  $m$  elements, there is a sequence of disjoint open families  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}$  such that each  $\mathcal{H}_i$  refines  $\mathcal{G}_i$  and  $\bigcup_i \mathcal{H}_i$  is a cover of  $X$ . The  $2 - C$ -spaces are exactly the weakly infinite-dimensional spaces and for every  $m$  we have the inclusion  $(m + 1) - C \subset m - C$ . Moreover, every  $C$ -space is  $m - C$  for all  $m$ .

It is well known that the class of metric strongly countable-dimensional spaces contains all finite-dimensional metric spaces and is contained in the class of metric  $C$ -spaces. The last inclusion follows from the following two facts: (i) every finite-dimensional paracompact space is a  $C$ -space [3, Theorem 6.3.7]; (ii) every paracompact space which is a countable union of its closed  $C$ -spaces is also a  $C$ -space [8, Theorem 4.1]. Moreover, every  $C$ -space is weakly infinite-dimensional [3, Theorem 6.3.10].

In the class of  $\sigma$ -compact separable metric spaces the 0-dimensionality satisfies all conditions (a) – (d), see following from [3] Theorems 1.5.16, 1.2.2 and 1.12.4. The strongly countable-dimensionality also satisfies all these conditions, condition (d) follows easily from [3, Theorem 1.12.4]. For the  $C$ -space property this follows from mentioned above fact that a countable union of closed compact  $C$ -spaces is a  $C$ -space [8, Theorem 4.1] and the following results of Hattori-Yamada [9]: the class of compact  $C$ -spaces is closed under finite products any perfect preimage of a  $C$ -space with  $C$ -space fibers is a  $C$ -space. Finally, if every finite power of a  $\sigma$ -compact space  $X$  are weakly infinite-dimensional, then obviously every closed subset of  $X$ , as well as any countable union of closed subsets of  $X$  have the same property. Condition (d) follows from the following result of Pol [18, Theorem 4.1]: If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map between compact metric spaces such that  $Y$  is weakly infinite-dimensional and each fiber  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , is at most countable, then  $X$  is weakly infinite-dimensional. The validity of conditions (a) – (c) for  $m - C$ -spaces follows from the following results [4] in the class of  $\sigma$ -compact metrizable spaces: The  $m - C$ -space property is hereditary with respect to closed subsets, a countable union of closed  $m - C$ -spaces is also  $m - C$ . Moreover, any perfect preimage of an  $m - C$ -space under countable-to-one surjection is  $m - C$  [12] which means that condition (d) also holds for the class of  $m - C$ -spaces.

### 3. UNIFORMLY CONTINUOUS SURJECTIONS

In this section we provide the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3, as well as their corollaries.

For every space  $X$  let  $\mathcal{F}_X$  be the set of all maps from  $X$  onto second countable spaces. For any two maps  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{F}_X$  we write  $h_1 \succ h_2$  if there exists a continuous map  $\theta : h_1(X) \rightarrow h_2(X)$  with  $h_2 = \theta \circ h_1$ . If  $\Phi \subset C(X)$  we denote by  $\Delta\Phi$  the diagonal product of all  $f \in \Phi$ . Clearly,  $(\Delta\Phi)(X)$  is a subspace of the product  $\prod\{\mathbb{R}_f : f \in \Phi\}$ , and let  $\pi_f : (\Delta\Phi)(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_f$ . Following [7], we call a set  $\Phi \subset C(X)$  *admissible* if the family  $\pi(\Phi) = \{\pi_f : f \in \Phi\}$  is a *QS*-algebra on  $(\Delta\Phi)(X)$ . We are using the following statements:

- (3.1)  $\dim X \leq n$  if and only if for every  $h \in \mathcal{F}_X$  there exists a  $h_0 \in \mathcal{F}_X$  such that  $\dim h_0(X) \leq n$  and  $h_0 \succ h$  [17];
- (3.2) If  $\dim X \leq n$  and  $\Phi \subset C(X)$  is countable, then there exists a countable set  $\Theta \subset C(X)$  containing  $\Phi$  with  $\dim(\Delta\Theta)(X) \leq n$ . Moreover, it follows from the proof of [7, Lemma 2.2] that we can choose  $\Theta \subset C^*(X)$  provided  $\Phi \subset C^*(X)$ ;
- (3.3) For every countable  $\Phi' \subset C(X)$  there is a countable admissible set  $\Phi$  containing  $\Phi'$  such that  $(\Delta\Phi)(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $(\Delta\Phi')(X)$ . According to the proof of [7, Lemma 2.4],  $\Phi$  could be taken to be a subset of  $C^*(X)$  if  $\Phi' \subset C^*(X)$ ;
- (3.4) If  $\{\Psi_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of admissible subsets of  $C(X)$ , then  $\Psi = \bigcup_n \Psi_n$  is also admissible, see [7, Lemma 2.5].

We also need the following well known lemmas:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X_0$  be a 0-dimensional separable metric space and  $E(X_0)$  be a countable subfamily of  $C^*(X_0)$ . Then there exists a metric 0-dimensional compactification  $\overline{X}_0$  of  $X_0$  such that each  $f \in E(X_0)$  can be extended over  $\overline{X}_0$ .*

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $Y$  be a separable metric space and  $E(Y)$  be a countable subfamily of  $C(Y)$ . Then there exists a metric compactification  $\overline{Y}$  of  $Y$  such that each  $f \in E(Y)$  can be extended to a map  $\overline{f} : \overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Moreover, if  $\dim Y = 0$ , then we can assume  $\dim \overline{Y} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Take a metric compactification  $Y_1$  of  $Y$  and let  $\theta_1 : \beta Y \rightarrow Y_1$  be a map fixing the points of  $Y$ . Then each function  $f \circ \theta_1, f \in E(Y)$ , can be extended to a map  $f' : \beta Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $E' = \{f' : f \in E(Y)\}$  and  $\theta = (\Delta E')\Delta\theta_1$ . Because  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism on  $Y$ ,  $Z = \theta(\beta Y)$  is a metric compactification of  $Y$ . Moreover, every  $f \in E(Y)$  can be extended to a map  $\overline{f} : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . In case  $\dim Y = 0$ , we apply Mardešić's factorization theorem [3, Theorem 3.4.1], to obtain a metric compactum

$P$  with  $\dim P = 0$  and maps  $\nu : \beta Y_0 \rightarrow P$  and  $\eta : P \rightarrow Z$  with  $\eta \circ \nu = \theta$ . Obviously,  $\nu$  is fixing the points of  $Y$ , so  $P$  is a compactification of  $Y$ . For every  $f' \in E'$  there is a map  $g' : P \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $g' \circ \nu = f'$  and  $g'|_{Y_0} = f$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $T : D_p(X) \rightarrow D_p(Y)$  be an uniformly continuous  $c$ -good surjection. Everywhere below for  $f \in C^*(X)$  let  $\overline{f} \in C(\beta X)$  be its extension; similarly if  $g \in C(Y)$  then  $\overline{g} \in C(\beta Y, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  is the extension of  $g$ . According to (3.1), it suffices to prove that for every  $h \in \mathcal{F}_Y$  there is  $h_0 \in \mathcal{F}_Y$  such that  $\dim h_0(Y) = 0$  and  $h_0 \succ h$ . So, we fix  $h \in \mathcal{F}_Y$  and let  $\overline{h} : \beta Y \rightarrow \overline{h(Y)}$  be an extension of  $h$ , where  $\overline{h(Y)}$  is a metric compactification of  $h(Y)$ . We will construct by induction two sequences  $\{\Psi_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset C(\beta X)$  and  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset C(\beta Y, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  of countable sets, countable  $QS$ -algebras  $\Lambda_n$  on  $Y'_n = (\Delta \Phi'_n)(\beta Y)$ , where  $\Phi'_n = \{\overline{T(f)} : \overline{f} \in \Psi_n\}$ , satisfying the following conditions for every  $n \geq 1$ :

- (3.5)  $\Phi_1 \subset C(\beta Y)$  is admissible and  $\Delta \Phi_1 \succ \overline{h}$ ;
- (3.6)  $\Phi_n \subset \Phi_{n+1} = \Phi'_n \cup \{\lambda \circ (\Delta \Phi'_n) : \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$ ;
- (3.7) Each  $\Psi_n$  is admissible,  $\dim(\Delta \Psi_n)(\beta X) = 0$  and  $\Psi_n \subset \Psi_{n+1}$ ;
- (3.8)  $\Lambda_{n+1}$  contains  $\{\lambda \circ \delta_n : \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$ , where  $\delta_n : Y'_{n+1} \rightarrow Y'_n$  is the surjective map generated by the inclusion  $\Phi'_n \subset \Phi'_{n+1}$ ;
- (3.9) For every  $\overline{g} \in \Phi_n \cap C(\beta Y)$  there is  $\overline{f}_g \in \Psi_n$  with  $\|f_g\| \leq c \cdot \|g\|$  and  $T(f_g) = g$ .

Since  $\overline{h}(\beta Y)$  is a separable metric space, by (3.3), there is a countable admissible set  $\Phi_1 \subset C(\beta Y)$  with  $\overline{h} = \Delta \Phi_1$ . Choose a countable set  $\Psi'_1 \subset C(\beta X)$  such that for every  $\overline{g} \in \Phi_1$  there is  $\overline{f}_g \in \Psi'_1$  with  $\|f_g\| \leq c \cdot \|g\|$  and  $T(f_g) = g$ . Next, use (3.2) to find countable  $\Theta_1 \subset C(\beta X)$  containing  $\Psi'_1$  such that  $\dim(\Delta \Theta_1)(\beta X) = 0$ . Finally, by (3.3), we can extend  $\Theta_1$  to a countable admissible set  $\Psi_1 \subset C(\beta X)$  such that  $(\Delta \Psi_1)(\beta X)$  is homeomorphic to  $(\Delta \Theta_1)(\beta X)$ . Hence,  $\dim(\Delta \Psi_1)(\beta X) = 0$ .

Suppose  $\Phi_k$  and  $\Psi_k$  are already constructed for all  $k \leq n$ . Then  $\Phi'_n = \{\overline{T(f)} : \overline{f} \in \Psi_n\}$  is a countable set in  $C(\beta Y, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$ . Because  $\Psi_{n-1} \subset \Psi_n$ ,  $\Psi'_{n-1} \subset \Psi'_n$ . So, there is a surjective map  $\delta_{n-1} : Y'_n \rightarrow Y'_{n-1}$ . Choose a countable  $QS$ -algebra  $\Lambda_n$  on  $Y'_n$  containing the family  $\{\lambda \circ \delta_{n-1} : \lambda \in \Lambda_{n-1}\}$  and let  $\Phi_{n+1} = \Phi'_n \cup \{\lambda \circ (\Delta \Phi'_n) : \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$ . Next, choose a countable set  $\Psi'_{n+1} \subset C(\beta X)$  containing  $\Psi_n$  such that for every  $\overline{g} \in \Phi_{n+1} \cap C(\beta Y)$  there is  $\overline{f}_g \in \Psi'_{n+1}$  with  $\|f_g\| \leq c \cdot \|g\|$  and  $T(f_g) = g$ . Then, by (3.2) there is countable  $\Theta_{n+1} \subset C(\beta X)$  containing  $\Psi'_{n+1}$  with  $\dim(\Delta \Theta_{n+1})(\beta X) = 0$ . Finally, according to (3.3), we extend  $\Theta_{n+1}$  to

a countable admissible set  $\Psi_{n+1} \subset C(\beta X)$  such that  $(\Delta\Psi_{n+1})(\beta X)$  is homeomorphic to  $(\Delta\Theta_{n+1})(\beta X)$ . This completes the induction.

Let  $\Psi = \bigcup_n \Psi_n$ ,  $X_0 = (\Delta\Psi)(X)$  and  $\bar{X}_0 = (\Delta\Psi)(\beta X)$ . Similarly, let  $\Phi = \bigcup_n \Phi_n$ ,  $Y_0 = h_0(Y)$  and  $\bar{Y}_0 = (\Delta\Phi)(\beta Y)$ , where  $h_0 = (\Delta\Phi)|Y$ . Both  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are countable and  $\Psi$  is an admissible subset of  $C(\beta X)$ , see (3.4). Hence, the family  $E(\bar{X}_0) = \{\pi_{\bar{f}} : \bar{f} \in \Psi\}$  is a countable  $QS$ -algebra on  $\bar{X}_0$ . Moreover, the family  $E(Y_0) = \{\pi_{\bar{g}}|Y_0 : \bar{g} \in \Phi\}$  is extendable over  $\bar{Y}_0$ . Since  $\Psi_n \subset \Psi_{n+1}$  for every  $n$ , there are maps  $\theta_n : (\Delta\Psi_{n+1})(\beta X) \rightarrow (\Delta\Psi_n)(\beta X)$ . Because  $\Psi = \bigcup_n \Psi_n$ , the space  $\bar{X}_0$  is the limit of the inverse sequence  $S_X = \{(\Delta\Psi_n)(\beta X), \theta_n\}$  with  $\dim(\Delta\Psi_n)(\beta X) = 0$  for all  $n$ . Hence,  $\bar{X}_0$  is also 0-dimensional, see [3, Theorem 3.4.11].

It follows from the construction that  $\Phi = \{\overline{T(f)} : \bar{f} \in \Psi\}$  and for every  $\bar{g} \in \Phi \cap C(\beta Y)$  there is  $\bar{f}_g \in \Psi$  with  $T(f_g) = g$  and  $\|f_g\| \leq c\|g\|$ . Observe that for all  $\bar{f} \in \Psi$  and  $\bar{g} \in \Phi$  we have  $f = \pi_{\bar{f}} \circ (\Delta\Psi)|X$  and  $g = \pi_{\bar{g}} \circ (\Delta\Phi)|Y$ . Therefore, there is a surjective map  $\varphi : E_p(X_0) \rightarrow E_p(Y_0)$  defined by  $\varphi(\pi_f) = \pi_{T(f)}$ , where  $\pi_f$  and  $\pi_g$  denote, respectively, the functions  $\pi_{\bar{f}}|X_0$  and  $\pi_{\bar{g}}|Y_0$ . Moreover  $\|f\| = \|\pi_f\|$  and  $\|g\| = \|\pi_g\|$  for all  $\bar{f} \in \Psi$  and  $\bar{g} \in \Phi \cap C(\beta Y)$ . This implies that  $\varphi$  is a  $c$ -good surjection.

Let's show that  $\varphi$  is uniformly continuous. Suppose

$$V = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, \varepsilon) = \{\pi_g : |\pi_g(y_i)| < \varepsilon \forall i\}$$

is a neighborhood of the zero function in  $E_p(Y_0)$ . Take points  $\bar{y}_i \in Y$  with  $h_0(\bar{y}_i) = y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and let  $\bar{V} = \{g \in D_p(Y) : |g(\bar{y}_i)| < \varepsilon \forall i\}$ . Since  $T$  is uniformly continuous, there is a neighborhood

$$\bar{U} = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_p, \delta) = \{f \in D_p(X) : |f(\bar{x}_j)| < \delta \forall j\}$$

of the zero function in  $D_p(X)$  such that  $f - f' \in \bar{U}$  implies  $T(f) - T(f') \in \bar{V}$  for all  $f, f' \in D_p(X)$ . Let  $x_j = (\Delta\Psi)(\bar{x}_j)$  and

$$U = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p, \delta) = \{\pi_f : |\pi_f(x_j)| < \delta \forall j\}.$$

Obviously,  $\pi_f - \pi_{f'} \in U$  implies  $f - f' \in \bar{U}$ . Hence,  $T(f) - T(f') \in \bar{V}$ , which implies  $\varphi(\pi_f) - \varphi(\pi_{f'}) \in V$ .

Finally, we can show that  $E(\bar{Y}_0)$  contains a  $QS$ -algebra on  $\bar{Y}_0$ . Since  $\Lambda_n \subset C(Y'_n)$  is a  $QS$ -algebra on  $Y'_n$ , it separates the points and the closed sets in  $Y'_n$ . So,  $Y'_n$  is homeomorphic to  $(\Delta\Lambda_n)(Y'_n)$ . This implies that  $Y_{n+1} = (\Delta\Phi_{n+1})(\beta Y)$  is homeomorphic to  $Y'_n$ . Therefore,  $\Lambda_n$  can be considered as a  $QS$ -algebra on  $Y_{n+1}$ . On the other hand  $\bar{Y}_0$  is the limit of the inverse sequence  $S_Y = \{Y_{n+1}, \gamma_{n+1}^{n+2}, n \geq 1\}$ , where  $\gamma_{n+1}^{n+2} : Y_{n+2} \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$  is the surjective map generated by the inclusion

$\Phi_{n+1} \subset \Phi_{n+2}$ . According to condition (3.8), we can also suppose that  $\Lambda_{n+1}$  contains the family  $\{\lambda \circ \gamma_{n+1}^{n+2} : \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$ . Denote by  $\gamma_{n+1} : \bar{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$  the projections in  $S_Y$ , and let  $\Gamma_n = \{\lambda \circ \gamma_{n+1} : \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$ . Then  $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is an increasing sequence of countable families and  $\Gamma_n \subset E(\bar{Y}_0) = \{\pi_{\bar{g}} : \bar{g} \in \Phi\}$  for every  $n$ . We claim that  $\Gamma = \bigcup_n \Gamma_n$  is a  $QS$ -algebra on  $\bar{Y}_0$ . Indeed,  $\Gamma$  is closed under addition, multiplication and multiplication by rational numbers. Because the subbase of  $\bar{Y}_0$  consists of open subsets of the form  $\gamma_{n+1}^{-1}(V)$ , where  $V$  is a basic open set in  $Y_{n+1}$  for some  $n$ , it follows that for every  $y \in \bar{Y}_0$  and its neighborhood  $U$  there is  $\bar{g} \in \Gamma$  with  $\bar{g}(y) = 1$  and  $\bar{g}(\bar{Y}_0 \setminus U) = 0$ .

To prove Theorem 1.1, we apply Proposition 2.1 with  $H = \bar{X}_0$  to find a  $\sigma$ -compact set  $Y_\infty \subset \bar{Y}_0$  containing  $Y_0$  with  $\dim Y_\infty = 0$ . Therefore, by [3, Proposition 1.2.2],  $\dim Y_0 = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* If  $T : C_p^*(X) \rightarrow C_p^*(Y)$  is a continuous linear surjection, Corollary 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.3 below. If  $T$  maps  $C_p^*(X)$  onto  $C_p(Y)$ , we consider the composition  $T \circ i$ , where  $i : C_p(\beta X) \rightarrow C_p^*(X)$  is the restriction map. Then, by [20],  $Y$  is pseudocompact and we can apply the previous case.

**Proposition 3.3.** [13] *For every linear continuous surjective map  $T : C_p^*(X) \rightarrow C_p^*(Y)$  there is  $c > 0$  such that  $T$  is  $c$ -good.*

*Proof.* By the Closed Graph Theorem,  $T$  considered as a map between the Banach spaces  $C_u^*(X)$  and  $C_u^*(Y)$ , both equipped with the sup-norm, is continuous. Then  $T$  induced a linear isomorphism  $T_0$  between  $C_u^*(X)/K$  and  $C_u^*(Y)$ , where  $K$  is the kernel of  $T$ . So, for every  $g \in C_u^*(Y)$  we have  $\|T_0^{-1}(g)\| \leq \|T_0^{-1}\| \cdot \|g\|$ . Because

$$\|T_0^{-1}(g)\| = \inf\{\|f - h\| : h \in K\},$$

where  $f \in C_u^*(X)$  with  $T(f) = g$ , there exists  $h_g \in K$  such that  $\|f - h_g\| \leq 2\|T_0^{-1}(g)\|$ . Hence,  $\|f - h_g\| \leq 2\|T_0^{-1}\| \cdot \|g\|$ . Since  $T(f - h_g) = T(f) = g$ , we obtain that  $T$  is  $c$ -good with  $c = 2\|T_0^{-1}\|$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Following the proof of Theorem 1.1, we construct two sequences  $\{\Psi_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset C(\beta X)$  and  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset C(\beta Y, \bar{\mathbb{R}})$  of countable sets and countable  $QS$ -algebras  $\Lambda_n$  on  $Y'_n = (\Delta \Phi'_n)(\beta Y)$  satisfying the conditions (3.5) – (3.9) except (3.7). Because  $X$  and  $Y$  are separable metric spaces, we can choose countable sets  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Phi_1$  such that  $(\Delta \Phi_1)|_Y$  and  $(\Delta \Psi_1)|_X$  are homeomorphisms.

Then, following the notations from the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have that  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  are homeomorphic to  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Moreover, there exists a uniformly continuous  $c$ -good surjection  $\varphi : E_p(X_0) \rightarrow E_p(Y_0)$  such that  $E(\bar{X}_0) \subset C(\bar{X}_0)$  and  $E(\bar{Y}_0) \subset C(\bar{Y}_0, \bar{\mathbb{R}})$

such that  $E(\overline{Y}_0)$  contains a countable  $QS$ -algebra  $\Gamma$  on  $\overline{Y}_0$ . So, we can apply Proposition 2.1 with  $H = X_0$  to find a  $\sigma$ -compact set  $Y_\infty \subset \overline{Y}_0$  containing  $Y_0$  with  $Y_\infty \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $Y_0 = \bigcup_m Y_m$  with each  $Y_m$  being compact. Because each  $Y_m$  is a closed subset of  $Y_\infty$ ,  $Y_m \in \mathcal{P}$ . So,  $Y_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.4.* Corollary 1.4 follows directly from Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

We consider topological properties  $\mathcal{P}$  of separable metric spaces satisfying conditions (b), (c) from the introduction section plus the following two:

- (a') If  $X \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{P}$  for every subset  $F \subset X$ ;
- (d') If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect map between metric spaces with 0-dimensional fibers and  $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{P}$ .

The 0-dimensionality, the countable-dimensionality and the strong countable dimensionality satisfy these conditions.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be separable metric spaces and  $E(X) \subset C(X)$  be a countable  $QS$ -algebra on  $X$  and  $E(Y) \subset C(Y)$  be a countable family. Suppose there are metric compactifications  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  such that:*

- Every  $f \in E(X)$  can be extended to a map  $\overline{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and for every finite open cover  $\gamma = \{U_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  of basic open subsets of  $\overline{X}$  there exists a partition of unity  $\{\overline{f}_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  subordinated to  $\gamma$  with  $f_i \in E(X)$ ;
- Every  $g \in E(Y)$  can be extended to a map  $\overline{g} : \overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and the set of all real-valued elements of  $E_p(\overline{Y}) = \{\overline{g} : g \in E(Y)\}$  is dense in  $C_p(\overline{Y})$ ;
- For every basic open subsets  $V, U$  of  $\overline{X}$  with  $\overline{V} \subset U$  there is a function  $h_{V,U} \in E(X)$  such that  $\overline{h}_{V,U}(\overline{V}) = 1$ ,  $\overline{h}_{V,U}(\overline{X} \setminus U) = 0$  and  $h_{V,U}(x) \in [0, 1]$  for all  $x \in X$ .

If  $\overline{X}$  has a property  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfying conditions (b), (c), (a') and (d'), and  $\varphi : E_p(X) \rightarrow E_p(Y)$  is a linear continuous surjection, then  $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E(\overline{X}) = \{\overline{f} : f \in E(X)\}$ . Every  $y \in \overline{Y}$  generates a map  $l_y : E(\overline{X}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $l_y(\overline{f}) = \overline{\varphi(f)}(y)$ . Assuming the equalities from (\*), for any  $\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2 \in E(\overline{X})$  and  $x \in \overline{X}$ , we can write  $\overline{f}_1(x) + \overline{f}_2(x)$  but not always  $\overline{f}_1 + \overline{f}_2 = \overline{f_1 + f_2}$ . Also, if  $\overline{f}_1 + \overline{f}_2 \in E(\overline{X})$ , it is possible  $l_y(\overline{f}_1 + \overline{f}_2) \neq l_y(\overline{f}_1) + l_y(\overline{f}_2)$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\overline{\lambda \cdot f} \in E(\overline{X})$ , then  $l_y(\overline{\lambda \cdot f}) = \lambda \cdot l_y(\overline{f})$  (here,  $\lambda \cdot (\pm\infty) = \pm\infty$  if  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\lambda \cdot (\pm\infty) = \mp\infty$  if

$\lambda < 0$ ). In case  $\lambda = 0$ , we have  $l_y(\overline{0.f}) = 0$ . More general, if  $\bar{h} \in C(\bar{X})$  such that  $h.f \in E(X)$  for some  $f \in E(X)$ , then  $l_y(\bar{h}.f)$  is well defined.

The *support* of  $l_y$ ,  $y \in \bar{Y}$ , is defined to be the set  $\text{supp}(l_y)$  of all  $x \in \bar{X}$  satisfying the following condition [21]: for every neighborhood  $U \subset \bar{X}$  of  $x$  there is  $f \in E(X)$  such that  $\bar{f}(\bar{X} \setminus U) = 0$  and  $l_y(\bar{f}) \neq 0$ . Obviously,  $\text{supp}(l_y)$  is closed in  $\bar{X}$ , possibly empty.

**Claim 9.** *Let  $U \subset \bar{X}$  be a neighborhood of  $\text{supp}(l_y)$  and  $f, g \in E(X)$  with  $\bar{f}|U = \bar{g}|U$ . Then  $l_y(\bar{f}) = l_y(\bar{g})$ . In particular,  $\bar{f}(U) = 0$  implies  $l_y(\bar{f}) = 0$ .*

Suppose first that  $\bar{f}(U) = 0$  for some  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$ . We can assume that  $U$  is a finite union of basic open sets  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . For every  $x \in \bar{X} \setminus \text{supp}(l_y)$  there is a neighborhood  $V_x$  such that for each  $g \in E(X)$  with  $\bar{g}(\bar{X} \setminus V_x) = 0$  we have  $l_y(\bar{g}) = 0$ . Clearly, we can assume that each  $V_x$  is from the base of  $\bar{X}$  and  $\text{Int}\bar{V}_x = V_x$ . So, there is a finite open cover  $\gamma = \{V_1, \dots, V_k, V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_m}\}$  of  $\bar{X}$  and a partition of unity  $\{\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_k, \bar{\theta}_1, \dots, \bar{\theta}_m\} \subset E(\bar{X})$  subordinated to  $\gamma$ . Then  $h_i.f, \theta_j.f \in E(X)$  for all  $i, j$  and  $f = \sum_{i=1}^k h_i.f + \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j.f$ . Take a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset Y$  with  $\lim_n y_n = y$ . Hence,  $\varphi(f)(y_n) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(h_i.f)(y_n) + \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(\theta_j.f)(y_n)$ . Observe that  $(h_i.f)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , so  $\varphi(h_i.f)$  is the zero function on  $Y$  and  $\varphi(h_i.f)(y_n) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Since,  $l_y(\bar{f}) = \lim_n \varphi(f)(y_n)$ , we have

$$l_y(\bar{f}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lim_n \varphi(\theta_j.f)(y_n) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lim_n l_{y_n}(\overline{\theta_j.f}) = \sum_{j=1}^m l_y(\overline{\theta_j.f}).$$

On the other hand,  $(\theta_j.f)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X \setminus V_{x_j}$ . So,  $(\overline{\theta_j.f})(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \bar{X} \setminus V_{x_j}$  because  $X \setminus V_{x_j}$  is dense in  $\bar{X} \setminus V_{x_j}$ . This implies that  $l_y(\overline{\theta_j.f}) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Therefore,  $l_y(\bar{f}) = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $\bar{f}|U = \bar{g}|U$  for some  $f, g \in E(X)$ . Then  $f(x) - g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in U \cap X$ . Consequently,  $(\overline{f-g})(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$  and, according to the previous paragraph,  $l_y(\overline{f-g}) = 0$ . Hence for every sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset Y$  converging to  $y$  we have

$$l_y(\overline{f-g}) = \lim \varphi(f-g)(y_n) = \lim \varphi(f)(y_n) - \lim \varphi(g)(y_n) = l_y(\bar{f}) - l_y(\bar{g}).$$

**Claim 10.** *If  $\text{supp}(l_{y_0}) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for some open  $U \subset \bar{X}$  and  $y_0 \in \bar{Y}$ , then  $y_0$  has a neighborhood  $V \subset \bar{Y}$  such that  $\text{supp}(l_y) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for every  $y \in V$ .*

Let  $x_0 \in \text{supp}(l_{y_0}) \cap U$  and  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$  be such that  $\bar{f}(\bar{X} \setminus W) = 0$  and  $l_{y_0}(\bar{f}) \neq 0$ , where  $W$  is a neighborhood of  $x_0$  with  $\bar{W} \subset U$ . Assuming

the claim is not true we can find a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset \bar{Y}$  converging to  $y_0$  such that  $\text{supp}(l_{y_n}) \cap U = \emptyset$  for every  $n$ . Since  $\bar{X} \setminus \bar{W}$  is a neighborhood of each  $\text{supp}(l_{y_n})$ , by Claim 9,  $l_{y_n}(\bar{f}) = 0$ . Because  $\lim l_{y_n}(\bar{f}) = l_{y_0}(\bar{f})$ , we have  $l_{y_0}(\bar{f}) = 0$ , a contradiction.

Following the notations from the proof of Proposition 2.1, for every  $y \in \bar{Y}$  we define

$$a(y) = \sup\{|l_y(\bar{f})| : \bar{f} \in E(\bar{X}) \text{ and } |\bar{f}(x)| < 1 \ \forall x \in \text{supp}(l_y)\}.$$

**Claim 11.** *If  $y \in Y$  then  $\text{supp}(l_y) = \{x_1(y), x_2(y), \dots, x_q(y)\}$  is a finite subset of  $X$ . Moreover, there exist real numbers  $\lambda_i(y)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^q |\lambda_i(y)| = a(y)$  and  $l_y(\bar{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y) \bar{f}(x_i(y))$  for all  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$ .*

Since  $\varphi$  is uniformly continuous, as in the proof of Claim 1 from Proposition 2.1, there exists a finite set  $K = \{x_1(y), x_2(y), \dots, x_q(y)\} \subset X$  such that

$$\sup\{|l_y(\bar{f})| : \bar{f} \in E(\bar{X}) \text{ and } |\bar{f}(x)| < 1 \ \forall x \in K\} < \infty.$$

Because  $l_y|E(X)$  is linear, we can show that  $l_y(\bar{g}) = 0$  for any  $g \in E(X)$  with  $g(x_i(y)) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ . Since  $E(X)$  is a  $QS$ -algebra, for every  $i$  there is a function  $g_i \in E(X)$  such that  $g_i(x_i(y)) = 1$  and  $g_i(x_j(y)) = 0$  with  $j \neq i$ . Now, for every  $f \in E(X)$  the function  $g = f - \sum_{i=1}^q g_i \cdot f(x_i(y))$  belongs to  $E(X)$  and  $g(x_i(y)) = 0$  for all  $i$ , so  $l_y(\bar{g}) = 0$ . The last equality implies  $l_y(\bar{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y) \bar{f}(x_i(y))$  with  $\lambda_i(y) = l_y(\bar{g}_i)$ . Note that each  $\lambda_i(y)$  is a real number because  $l_y(\bar{g}_i) = \varphi(g_i)(y)$ . The equality  $l_y(\bar{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y) \bar{f}(x_i(y))$  for all  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$  shows that  $K = \text{supp}(l_y)$ .

To complete the proof of Claim 11, for every natural  $k$  take a function  $f_k \in E(X)$  with  $f_k(x_i(y)) = \varepsilon_i(1 - 1/k)$ , where  $\varepsilon_i = 1$  if  $\lambda_i(y) > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_i = -1$  if  $\lambda_i(y) < 0$ . Clearly,  $|f_k(x_i(y))| < 1$  for all  $i, k$  and  $\lim_k l_y(\bar{f}_k) = \sum_{i=1}^q |\lambda_i(y)|$ . Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^q |\lambda_i(y)| \leq a(y)$ . The reverse inequality  $a(y) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q |\lambda_i(y)|$  follows from the equality  $l_y(\bar{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y) \bar{f}(x_i(y))$ ,  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$ .

For every  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $Y_{p,q} = \{y \in \bar{Y} : |\text{supp}(l_y)| \leq q \text{ and } a(y) \leq p\}$ .

**Claim 12.** *Every set  $Y_{p,q}$  is closed in  $\bar{Y}$ .*

Let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y_{p,q}$  converging to  $y \in \bar{Y}$ . Suppose  $y \notin Y_{p,q}$ . Then either  $\text{supp}(l_y)$  contains at least  $q + 1$  points or  $a(y) > p$ . If  $\text{supp}(l_y)$  contains at least  $q + 1$  points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{q+1}$ , we choose disjoint neighborhoods  $O_i$  of  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q + 1$ . By Claim 10, there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  such that  $\text{supp}(l_z) \cap O_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$  and  $z \in V$ .

This implies that  $\text{supp}(l_{y_n})$  has at least  $q + 1$  points for infinitely many  $n$ 's, a contradiction.

If  $y \notin Y_p$  then there exists  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$  such that  $|\bar{f}(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in \text{supp}(l_y)$  and  $|l_y(\bar{f})| > p$ . Take a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\text{supp}(l_y)$  with  $U \subset \{x \in \bar{X} : |\bar{f}(x)| < 1\}$  and  $\text{Int}\bar{U} = U$ . Choose another neighborhood  $W$  of  $\text{supp}(l_y)$  with  $\bar{W} \subset U$ . Next, there is  $h \in E(X)$  such that  $\bar{h}(\bar{W}) = 1$ ,  $\bar{h}(\bar{X} \setminus U) = 0$  and  $h(x) \in [0, 1]$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $\bar{g} = \bar{h} \cdot \bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$  and  $|\bar{g}(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in \bar{X}$ . Moreover,  $\bar{g}|_W = \bar{f}|_W$ . So, by Claim 9,  $|l_y(\bar{g})| = |l_y(\bar{f})| > p$ . Therefore,  $V = \{z \in \bar{Y} : |l_z(\bar{g})| > p\}$  is a neighborhood of  $y$  in  $\bar{Y}$  with  $V \cap Y_p = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

According to Claim 11, for every  $y \in Y$  then there exist  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  and real numbers  $\lambda_i(y)$  such that for all  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$  we have  $l_y(\bar{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y) \bar{f}(x_i(y))$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^q |\lambda_i(y)| \leq p$ , where  $\{x_1(y), \dots, x_q(y)\} = \text{supp}(l_y)$ . Hence,  $Y \subset \bigcup \{Y_{p,q} : p, q \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For every  $p \geq 1$  and  $q \geq 2$  we define

$$M(p, 1) = Y_{p,1} \text{ and } M(p, q) = Y_{p,q} \setminus Y_{2p,q-1}.$$

Some of the sets  $M(p, q)$  could be empty, but  $Y \subset \bigcup \{M(p, q) : p, q = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Since each  $Y_{p,q}$  is closed,  $M(p, q) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F'_n(p, q)$  such that each  $F'_n(p, q)$  is a compact subset of  $\bar{Y}$ . We define  $F_n(p, q) = \bar{Y} \cap \overline{F'_n(p, q)}$ . Then  $Y \subset \bigcup \{F_n(p, q) : n, p, q = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Obviously,  $\text{supp}(l_y)$  consists of  $q$  different points for any  $y \in M(p, q)$ . So, we have a map  $S_{p,q} : M(p, q) \rightarrow [\bar{X}]^q$ ,  $S_{p,q}(y) = \text{supp}(l_y)$ . According to Claim 10,  $S_{p,q}$  is continuous when  $[\bar{X}]^q$  is equipped with the Vietoris topology. For every  $y \in M(p, q)$  let  $S_{p,q}(y) = \{x_i(y)\}_{i=1}^q$ . Everywhere below we consider the restriction  $S_{p,q}|_{F_n(p, q)}$  and for every  $z \in F_n(p, q)$  denote by  $A(z) = \{y \in F_n(p, q) : S_{p,q}(y) = S_{p,q}(z)\}$  the fiber  $S_{p,q}^{-1}(S_{p,q}(z))$  generated by  $z$ . Since  $F_n(p, q)$  is compact and  $S_{p,q}$  is continuous, each  $A(z)$  is a compact subset of  $F_n(p, q)$ .

**Claim 13.** *Let  $z \in Y \cap F_n(p, q)$ . Then for every  $y \in A(z)$  there are real numbers  $\{\lambda_i(y)\}_{i=1}^q$  such that  $l_y(\bar{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y) \bar{f}(x_i(z))$ , where  $S_{p,q}(z) = \{x_i(z)\}_{i=1}^q$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^q |\lambda_i(y)| \leq p$  for all  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$ . Moreover, each  $\lambda_i$  is a continuous real-valued function on  $A(z)$ .*

Choose disjoint neighborhoods  $O_i$  of  $x_i(z)$  in  $\bar{X}$  and functions  $\bar{g}_i \in E(\bar{X})$  with  $\bar{g}_i|_{O_i} = 1$  and  $\bar{g}_i|_{O_j} = 0$  if  $j \neq i$  (this can be done by choosing  $g_i \in E(X)$  with  $g_i(O_i \cap X) = 1$  and  $g_i(O_j \cap X) = 0$  when  $j \neq i$ ). According to the proof of Claim 11, for every  $y \in A(z) \cap Y$  and the real numbers  $\lambda_i(y) = l_y(\bar{g}_i)$  we have  $l_y(\bar{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y) \bar{f}(x_i(z))$  for all  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$ . Let's show this is true for all  $y \in A(z)$ . So, fix  $y \in A(z) \setminus Y$  and take a sequence  $\{y_m\} \subset Y \cap F_n(p, q)$  converging to

$y$ . Then, by Claim 11,  $S_{p,q}(y_m) = \{x_i(y_m)\}_{i=1}^q \subset X$  and there are real numbers  $\{\lambda_i(y_m)\}_{i=1}^q$  such that  $l_{y_m}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y_m) \bar{f}(x_i(y_m))$  for all  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$ . On the other hand, since the map  $S_{p,q}$  is continuous, each sequence  $\{x_i(y_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to  $x_i(y) = x_i(z)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ . So, we can assume that  $\{x_i(y_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset O_i$ . Consequently,  $l_{y_m}(\bar{g}_i) = \lambda_i(y_m)$  and  $\lim_m \lambda_i(y_m) = l_y(\bar{g}_i)$ . Since  $\{y_m\} \subset Y \cap Y_{p,q}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^q |\lambda_i(y_m)| \leq p$  for each  $m$  (see Claim 11). Hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^q |l_y(\bar{g}_i)| \leq p$ . Denoting  $\lambda_i(y) = l_y(\bar{g}_i)$ , we obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^q |\lambda_i(y)| \leq p$ . The last inequality means that all  $\lambda_i(y)$  are real numbers. Since  $\lim_m \bar{f}(x_i(y_m)) = \bar{f}(x_i(y))$  for all  $\bar{f} \in E(\bar{X})$  and each  $\bar{f}(x_i(y))$  is a real number (recall that  $z \in Y$ , so by Claim 11,  $x_i(z) = x_i(y) \in X$ ), we have  $l_y(\bar{f}) = \lim l_{y_m}(\bar{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y) \bar{f}(x_i(y))$ .

Finally, the equality  $\lambda_i(y) = \varphi(\bar{g}_i)(y)$  implies that  $\lambda_i$  are continuous on  $A(z)$ .

**Claim 14.** *Let  $A(z) = \{y \in F_n(p, q) : S_{p,q}(y) = S_{p,q}(z)\}$  with  $z \in Y \cap F_n(p, q)$ . Then there is a linear continuous map  $\varphi_z : C_p(S_{p,q}(z)) \rightarrow C_p(A(z))$  such that  $\varphi_z(C(S_{p,q}(z)))$  is dense in  $C_p(A(z))$ .*

Following the previous notations, for every  $h \in C(S_{p,q}(z))$  and  $y \in A(z)$  we define  $\varphi_z(h)(y) = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i(y) h(x_i(z))$ . Because  $\lambda_i$  are continuous real-valued functions on  $A(z)$ , so is each  $\varphi_z(h)$ . Continuity of  $\varphi_z$  with respect to the pointwise convergence topology is obvious. Let's show that  $\varphi_z(C(S_{p,q}(z)))$  is dense in  $C_p(A(z))$ . Indeed, take  $\theta \in C_p(A(z))$  and its neighborhood  $V \subset C_p(A(z))$ . Then extend  $\theta$  to a function  $\bar{\theta} \in C(\bar{Y})$ . Because the set of real-valued elements of  $E_p(\bar{Y})$  is dense in  $C_p(\bar{Y})$ , there is  $\bar{g} \in E_p(\bar{Y})$  with  $\bar{g}|_{A(z)} \in V$ , so  $\bar{g}(y) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Next, choose  $f \in E(X)$  such that  $\varphi(f) = \bar{g}$ . Since  $z \in Y$ , each  $x_i(z) \in X$ . So, all  $\bar{f}(x_i(z))$  are real numbers. Then  $h = \bar{f}|_{S_{p,q}(z)} \in C(S_{p,q}(z))$  and, according to Claim 13, we have  $\varphi_z(h) = \bar{g}|_{A(z)}$ .

**Claim 15.** *The fibers  $A(z)$  of the map  $S_{p,q} : F_n(p, q) \rightarrow [\bar{X}]^q$  are 0-dimensional for all  $z \in Y \cap F_n(p, q)$ .*

Since, by Claim 14, there is a linear continuous map  $\varphi_z : C_p(S_{p,q}(z)) \rightarrow C_p(A(z))$  such that  $\varphi_z(C(S_{p,q}(z)))$  is dense in  $C_p(A(z))$ , this claim follows from [10, Proposition 2.1].

Now, we can complete the proof of Proposition 4.1. Every set  $F_n(p, q)$  is compact, so is  $S_{p,q}(F_n(p, q)) = \bar{X}_{n,p,q}$  in  $\bar{X}^q$ . Because  $\bar{X}^q \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $X_{n,p,q} = S_{p,q}(Y \cap F_n(p, q)) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $S_{p,q} : S_{p,q}^{-1}(X_{n,p,q}) \rightarrow X_{n,p,q}$  is a perfect map having 0-dimensional fibers,  $S_{p,q}^{-1}(X_{n,p,q}) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Observe that  $S_{p,q}^{-1}(X_{n,p,q}) \subset F_n(p, q)$  and contains  $Y \cap F_n(p, q)$  (recall that  $F_n(p, q)$  is the closure of  $Y \cap F_n(p, q)$ ). Hence,  $Y \cap F_n(p, q) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Finally,

since each  $Y \cap F_n(p, q) \in \mathcal{P}$  is closed in  $Y$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{n,p,q} Y \cap F_n(p, q)$ ,  $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *For every countable set  $\Phi' \subset C(\beta Y, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  there is a countable set  $\Phi \subset C(\beta Y, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  containing  $\Phi'$  such that  $(\Delta\Phi)(\beta Y)$  is homeomorphic to  $(\Delta\Phi')(\beta Y)$  and the set of real-valued elements of  $E_\Phi = \{\pi_{\bar{g}} : \bar{g} \in \Phi\}$  is dense in  $C_p((\Delta\Phi)(\beta Y))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi' = \Delta\Phi'$ . Since  $\Phi'$  is countable,  $\phi'(\beta Y)$  is a metric compactum. Hence, by [7, Proposition 1.2], there is a countable  $QS$ -algebra  $E \subset C(\phi'(\beta Y))$ . Let  $\Phi = \Phi' \cup \{g \circ \phi' : g \in E\}$ . Since the functions of  $E$  separate the points and closed subsets of  $\phi'(\beta Y)$ ,  $(\Delta\Phi)(\beta Y)$  is homeomorphic to  $\phi'(\beta Y)$ . Since  $E$  is a  $QS$ -algebra on  $\phi'(\beta Y)$ ,  $E$  is a dense subset of  $C_p(\phi'(\beta Y))$ . Clearly  $E$  is a subset of  $E_\Phi$  and consists of real-valued functions.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a 0-dimensional space and  $\Psi' \subset C(X)$  be a countable set. Then there is a countable admissible set  $\Psi \subset C(X)$  containing  $\Psi'$  and a 0-dimensional metric compactification  $\overline{X}_\Psi$  of  $X_\Psi = (\Delta\Psi)(X)$  such that:*

- $\overline{X}_\Psi = (\Delta\overline{\Psi})(\beta X)$ , where  $\overline{\Psi} = \{\bar{f} : f \in \Psi\} \subset C(\beta X, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$ ;
- Each  $\pi_f, f \in \Psi$ , is extendable to a map  $\bar{\pi}_f : \overline{X}_\Psi \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ;
- $E(X_\Psi) = \{\pi_f : f \in \Psi\}$  is a countable  $QS$ -algebra on  $X_\Psi$  and  $E(\overline{X}_\Psi) = \{\bar{\pi}_f : f \in \Psi\}$  contains a countable  $QS$ -algebra on  $\overline{X}_\Psi$ ;
- For every finite open cover  $\gamma$  of  $\overline{X}_\Psi$  of basic open sets, the family  $E(\overline{X}_\Psi)$  contains a partition of unity subordinated to  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* We first choose a countable admissible set  $\Psi_0 \subset C(X)$  such that  $\dim(\Delta\Psi_0)(X) = 0$  and  $\Psi' \subset \Psi_0$ , see (3.2) – (3.3). Then, by Lemma 3.2 there is a metric compactification  $Z_0$  of  $X_0 = (\Delta\Psi_0)(X)$  with  $\dim Z_0 = 0$  such that each  $\pi_f, f \in \Psi_0$ , is extendable to a map  $\bar{\pi}_f : Z_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Choose a countable  $QS$ -algebra  $C_0 \subset C(Z_0)$ . For every finite open cover  $\gamma$  of  $Z_0$  consisting of basic open sets fix a partition of unity  $\alpha_\gamma$  subordinated to  $\gamma$ . Because the family  $\Omega_0$  of all finite open covers of  $Z_0$  consisting of basic open sets is countable, so is the family  $E(Z_0) = \{\bar{f} : f \in \Psi_0\} \cup \{\alpha_\gamma : \gamma \in \Omega_0\} \cup C_0$ . The set  $E_0 = \{h|X_0 : h \in E(Z_0)\}$  may not be a  $QS$ -algebra on  $X_0$  but, according to [7, Proposition 1.2], there exists a countable  $QS$ -algebra  $\Theta_1$  on  $X_0$  containing  $E_0$  as a proper subset. Because  $\{h|X_0 : h \in C_0\} \subset \Theta_1$  and it separates the points and the closed sets of  $X_0$ , there is a metric compactification  $Z_1$  of  $X_0$  such that  $\dim Z_1 = 0$  and each  $h \in \Theta_1$  is extendable to a map  $\bar{h} : Z_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a map  $\theta_0^1 : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_0$  which is identity on  $X_0$ , see the proof of

Lemma 3.2. Next, consider the family  $\Omega_1$  of all finite open covers of  $Z_1$  consisting of basic open sets, and for each  $\gamma \in \Omega_1$  fix a partition of unity  $\alpha_\gamma$  subordinated to  $\gamma$ . Let  $E(Z_1) = \{\bar{h} : h \in \Theta_1\} \cup \{\alpha_\gamma : \gamma \in \Omega_1\} \cup C_1$  and  $E_1 = \{f|X_0 : f \in E(Z_1)\}$ , where  $C_1$  is a countable  $QS$ -algebra of  $Z_1$  such that  $\{h \circ \theta_0^1 : h \in C_0\} \subset C_1$ . Continuing in this way we construct by induction an increasing sequence  $\{\Theta_n\}$  of countable  $QS$ -algebras on  $X_0$ , 0-dimensional metric compactifications  $Z_n$  of  $X_0$  and countable families  $E(Z_n) \subset C(Z_n, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  such that:

- For every finite open cover  $\gamma$  of  $Z_n$  consisting of basic open sets, the family  $E(Z_n)$  contains a partition of unity  $\alpha_\gamma$  subordinated to  $\gamma$ ;
- For every  $n$  there is a map  $\theta_{n-1}^n : Z_n \rightarrow Z_{n-1}$  fixing the points of  $X_0$ ;
- Each  $h \in \Theta_n$  is extendable to a map  $\bar{h} \in C(Z_n, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  and let  $E(Z_n) = \{\bar{h} : h \in \Theta_n\} \cup \{\alpha_\gamma : \gamma \in \Omega_n\} \cup C_n$ . Here,  $\Omega_n$  is the family of all finite open covers of  $Z_n$  consisting of basic open sets and  $C_n \subset C(Z_n)$  is a countable  $QS$ -algebra on  $Z_n$  with  $\{h \circ \theta_{n-1}^n : h \in C_{n-1}\} \subset C_n$ ;
- The family  $E_n = \{f|X_0 : f \in E(Z_n)\}$  is contained in  $\Theta_{n+1}$ .

Clearly,  $\Theta = \bigcup_n \Theta_n$  is a countable  $QS$ -algebra on  $X_0$  and the limit space  $Z$  of the inverse sequence  $S = \{Z_n, \theta_{n-1}^n\}$  is a 0-dimensional compactification of  $X_0$ . Moreover, each  $h \in \Theta$  is extendable to a map  $\bar{h} : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Indeed, if  $h \in \Theta_n$ , then  $h$  can be extended to a map  $\bar{h} : Z_n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . So,  $\bar{h} \circ \theta_n$  is an extension of  $h$  over  $Z$ , where  $\theta_n : Z \rightarrow Z_n$  denotes the  $n$ -th limit projection in  $S$  (recall that  $\theta_n$  is fixing the points of  $X_0$ ). Denote  $C'_n = \{h \circ \theta_n : h \in C_n\}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Because  $\{h \circ \theta_{n-1}^n : h \in C_{n-1}\} \subset C_n$ , the sequence  $\{C'_n\}$  is increasing and  $C = \bigcup_n C'_n$  is a countable  $QS$ -algebra on  $Z$ . Let's show that for any finite open cover  $\gamma$  of  $Z$  consisting of basic open sets, the set  $E(Z) = \{\bar{h} : h \in \Theta\}$  contains a partition of unity subordinated to  $\gamma$ . Indeed, for any such a cover  $\gamma = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  there is  $n$  and a cover  $\gamma_n = \{U_1^n, \dots, U_k^n\} \in \Omega_n$  such that  $U_i = \theta_n^{-1}(U_i^n)$ . So, there is a partition of unity  $\alpha_{\gamma_n} = \{h_i^n : i = 1, \dots, k\}$  subordinated to  $\gamma_n$  with  $\alpha_{\gamma_n} \subset E(Z_n)$ . Since  $\alpha_{\gamma_n} \subset E(Z_n)$ ,  $h_i^n|X_0 \in \Theta_{n+1}$  for each  $i$ . Then  $\{h_i^n \circ \theta_n : i = 1, \dots, k\}$  is a partition of unity subordinated to  $\gamma$  and it is contained in  $E(Z)$ . Finally, let  $\Psi = \{h \circ \Delta\Psi_0 : h \in \Theta\}$ ,  $\overline{\Psi} = \{\bar{f} : f \in \Psi\} \subset C(\beta X, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $\overline{X}_\Psi = (\Delta\overline{\Psi})(\beta X)$ . Since  $C$  is a  $QS$ -algebra on  $Z$ , it separates the points and the closed sets in  $Z$ . Moreover,  $C \subset E(Z)$ , which means that  $\overline{X}_\Psi$  is homeomorphic to  $Z$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Let  $T : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$  be a continuous linear surjection and  $\dim X = 0$ . We fix  $h \in \mathcal{F}_Y$  and let find  $h_0 \in \mathcal{F}_X$  with

$h_0 \succ h$  and  $\dim h_0(Y) = 0$ . Following the proof of Theorem 1.1 and using Lemmas 4.2-4.3, we are constructing two increasing sequences of countable sets  $\{\Psi_n\} \subset C(X)$ ,  $\{\Phi_n\} \subset C(Y)$  and metric compactifications  $\overline{X}_n$  and  $\overline{Y}_n$  of the spaces  $X_n = (\Delta\Psi_n)(X)$  and  $Y_n = (\Delta\Phi_n)(Y)$  satisfying the following conditions (everywhere below, if  $f \in C(X)$  then  $\overline{f} : \beta X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  denotes its extension):

- (4.1)  $\Delta\Phi_1 \succ h$ ,  $\Phi_n \subset \{T(f) : f \in \Psi_n\} \subset \Phi_{n+1}$  and  $\Psi_n \subset \Psi_{n+1}$ ;
- (4.2)  $\Psi_n$  is admissible,  $\dim \overline{X}_n = 0$  and  $\overline{X}_n = (\Delta\overline{\Psi}_n)(\beta X)$  with  $\overline{\Psi}_n = \{\overline{f} : f \in \Psi_n\}$ ;
- (4.3) Each  $\pi_f$ ,  $f \in \Psi_n$ , is extendable to a map  $\overline{\pi}_f : \overline{X}_n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ;
- (4.4)  $E(\overline{X}_n) = \{\overline{\pi}_f : f \in \Psi_n\}$  contains a countable  $QS$ -algebra  $C_n \subset C(\overline{X}_n)$  on  $\overline{X}_n$ ;
- (4.5) For every finite open cover  $\gamma$  of  $\overline{X}_n$ , consisting of basic open sets, there exists a partition of unity  $\alpha_\gamma$  subordinated to  $\gamma$  with  $\alpha_\gamma \subset E(\overline{X}_n)$ ;
- (4.6) Every  $\pi_g$ ,  $g \in \Phi_n$ , is extendable to a map  $\overline{\pi}_g : \overline{Y}_n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ;
- (4.7) The set of real-valued functions from  $E(\overline{Y}_n) = \{\overline{\pi}_g : g \in \Phi_n\}$  is dense in  $C_p(\overline{Y}_n)$ .

Since  $h(Y)$  is a separable metric space, there is a countable set  $\Phi'_1 \subset C(Y)$  with  $h = \Delta\Phi'_1$ . Let  $\overline{\Phi}'_1 = \{\overline{g} : g \in \Phi'_1\} \subset C(\beta Y, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$ . By Lemma 4.2, there is a countable set  $\overline{\Phi}_1 \subset C(\beta Y, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  containing  $\overline{\Phi}'_1$  such that  $(\Delta\overline{\Phi}_1)(\beta Y)$  is homeomorphic to  $(\Delta\overline{\Phi}'_1)(\beta Y)$  and  $\{\pi_{\overline{g}} : \overline{g} \in \overline{\Phi}_1\}$  contains a dense subset of  $C_p(\overline{Y}_1)$ , where  $\overline{Y}_1 = (\Delta\overline{\Phi}_1)(\beta Y)$ . Let  $\Phi_1 = \{g : \overline{g} \in \overline{\Phi}_1\}$ ,  $Y_1 = (\Delta\Phi_1)(Y)$  and  $E(\overline{Y}_1) = \{\overline{\pi}_g : g \in \Phi_1\}$ . So,  $\Phi_1$  satisfies conditions (4.6) – (4.7). Next, choose a countable set  $\Psi'_1 \subset C(X)$  with  $T(\Psi'_1) = \Phi_1$  and apply Lemma 4.3 to find a countable admissible set  $\Psi_1$  containing  $\Psi'_1$  and a metric compactification  $\overline{X}_1$  of  $X_1 = \Delta\Psi_1(X)$  satisfying conditions (4.2) – (4.5).

Suppose the construction is done for all  $k \leq n$ . Let  $\Phi'_{n+1} \subset C(Y)$  be a countable set containing  $T(\Psi_n)$  and denote  $\overline{\Phi}'_{n+1} = \{\overline{g} : g \in \Phi'_{n+1}\} \subset C(\beta Y, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$ . By Lemma 4.2, there is a countable set  $\overline{\Phi}_{n+1} \subset C(\beta Y, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  containing  $\overline{\Phi}'_{n+1}$  such that  $(\Delta\overline{\Phi}_{n+1})(\beta Y)$  is homeomorphic to  $(\Delta\overline{\Phi}'_{n+1})(\beta Y)$  and  $\{\pi_{\overline{g}} : \overline{g} \in \overline{\Phi}_{n+1}\}$  contains a dense subset of  $C_p(\overline{Y}_{n+1})$ , where  $\overline{Y}_{n+1} = (\Delta\overline{\Phi}_{n+1})(\beta Y)$ . Let  $\Phi_{n+1} = \{g : \overline{g} \in \overline{\Phi}_{n+1}\}$  and  $Y_{n+1} = (\Delta\Phi_{n+1})(Y)$ . Note that  $\Phi_n \subset \Phi_{n+1}$  because  $\Phi_n \subset T(\Psi_n)$ . Next, choose a countable set  $\Psi'_{n+1} \subset C(X)$  with  $T(\Psi'_{n+1}) = \Phi_{n+1}$  and apply Lemma 4.3 to find a countable admissible set  $\Psi_{n+1}$  containing  $\Psi'_{n+1} \cup \Psi_n$  and a metric compactification  $\overline{X}_{n+1}$  of  $X_{n+1} = (\Delta\Psi_{n+1})(X)$  satisfying conditions (4.1) – (4.5). This completes the induction.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we denote  $\overline{X}_0 = (\Delta\overline{\Psi})(\beta X)$ ,  $\overline{Y}_0 = (\Delta\overline{\Phi})(\beta Y)$  and  $h_0 = \Delta\Phi$ , where  $\Psi = \bigcup_n \Psi_n$  and  $\Phi = \bigcup_n \Phi_n$ . Clearly,  $\Phi = \{T(f) : f \in \Psi\}$ . Since  $\overline{X}_0$  is the limit space of the inverse sequence  $\{\overline{X}_n, \theta_n^{n+1}\}$ , where  $\theta_n^{n+1} : \overline{X}_{n+1} \rightarrow \overline{X}_n$ , and  $\dim \overline{X}_n = 0$ ,  $\dim \overline{X}_0 = 0$ . Moreover,  $E(X_0) = \{\pi_f : f \in \Psi\}$  is a countable  $QS$ -algebra on  $X_0$  such that every  $\pi_f$  is extendable to a continuous map  $\overline{\pi}_f : \overline{X}_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Denote  $E(\overline{X}_0) = \{\overline{\pi}_f : f \in \Psi\}$ . Let show that for every finite open cover  $\gamma$  of  $\overline{X}_0$ , consisting of basic open sets, there exists a partition of unity  $\alpha_\gamma$  subordinated to  $\gamma$  with  $\alpha_\gamma \subset E(\overline{X}_0)$ . Indeed, if  $\gamma = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  is such a cover of  $\overline{X}_0$ , then there is  $n$  with  $U_i = \theta_n^{-1}(V_i)$  such that each  $V_i$  is from the base of  $\overline{X}_n$ , where  $\theta_n : \overline{X}_0 \rightarrow \overline{X}_n$  is the limit projection. So,  $E(\overline{X}_n)$  contains a partition of unity  $\alpha_{\gamma_n}$  subordinated to the cover  $\gamma_n = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$  of  $\overline{X}_n$ . Since  $E(\overline{X}_0) = \bigcup_n \theta_n^*(E(\overline{X}_n))$ , where  $\theta_n^* : C(\overline{X}_n) \rightarrow C(\overline{X}_0)$  is dual map generated by  $\theta_n$ ,  $\alpha_\gamma = \{h \circ \theta_n : h \in \alpha_{\gamma_n}\}$  is a partition of unity subordinated to  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha_\gamma \in E(\overline{X}_0)$ .

We also need to show that for every basic open sets  $U, V$  in  $\overline{X}_0$  with  $\overline{V} \subset U$  there is a function  $h_{V,U} \in E(\overline{X}_0)$  such that  $h_{V,U}(\overline{V}) = 1$ ,  $h_{V,U}(\overline{X}_0 \setminus U) = 0$  and  $h_{V,U}(x) \in [0, 1]$  for all  $x \in \overline{X}_0$ . We can suppose that every  $\overline{X}_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , has a finitely additive base. Then there is  $n$  such that  $V = \theta_n^{-1}(V_n)$  and  $U = \theta_n^{-1}(U_n)$  such that  $V_n, U_n$  are basic open subsets of  $\overline{X}_n$  with  $\overline{V}_n \subset U_n$ . Because  $E(\overline{X}_n)$  contains a  $QS$ -algebra on  $\overline{X}_n$ , there is  $h_n \in E(\overline{X}_n)$  such that  $h_n(\overline{V}_n) = 1$ ,  $h_n(\overline{X}_n \setminus U_n) = 0$  and  $h_n(x) \in [0, 1]$  for all  $x \in \overline{X}_n$ , see (2.3). Then  $h_{V,U} = h_n \circ \theta_n$  is the required function.

Let  $E(Y_0) = \{\pi_g : g \in \Phi\}$  and  $E(\overline{Y}_0) = \{\overline{\pi}_g : g \in \Phi\}$ . Because  $T$  is linear, so is the map  $\varphi : E_p(X_0) \rightarrow E_p(Y_0)$  defined by  $\varphi(\pi_f) = \pi_{T(f)}$ . The arguments from the proof of Theorem 1.1 show that  $\varphi$  is continuous. We claim that the set of real-valued elements of  $E_p(\overline{Y}_0)$  is dense in  $C_p(\overline{Y}_0)$ . Since  $\Phi_n \subset \Phi_{n+1}$ , for every  $n$  there is a continuous map  $\delta_n^{n+1} : \overline{Y}_{n+1} \rightarrow \overline{Y}_n$  such that  $\overline{Y}_0$  is the limit space of the inverse sequence  $\{\overline{Y}_n, \delta_n^{n+1}\}$ . Consider the natural projections  $\delta_n : \overline{Y}_0 \rightarrow \overline{Y}_n$  and their duals  $\delta_n^* : C_p(\overline{Y}_n) \rightarrow C_p(\overline{Y}_0)$ . One can show that  $\bigcup_n \delta_n^*(C_p(\overline{Y}_n))$  is dense in  $C_p(\overline{Y}_0)$ . Because the set of real-valued functions from  $E(\overline{Y}_n) = \{\overline{\pi}_g : g \in \Phi_n\}$  is dense in  $C_p(\overline{Y}_n)$  and  $E_p(\overline{Y}_0) = \bigcup_n \delta_n^*(E(\overline{Y}_n))$ , we conclude that the set of real-valued functions from  $E_p(\overline{Y}_0)$  is dense in  $C_p(\overline{Y}_0)$ .

Therefore, the spaces  $X_0$ ,  $\overline{X}_0$ ,  $Y_0$  and  $\overline{Y}_0$  satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 4.1. Now, we apply Proposition 4.1 with  $X = X_0$  and  $Y = Y_0$  to conclude that  $\dim Y_0 = 0$ . That completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors express their gratitude to Prof. A. Leiderman for his support and providing us with the paper of Gorak-Krupski-Marciszewski [6].

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arkhangel'skii, *Problems in  $C_p$ -theory*, in J. van Mill and G. M. Reed eds., *Open Problems in Topology*, North-Holland (1990), 601–615.
- [2] R. Cauty, *Sur l'invariance de la dimension infinie forte par  $t$ -équivalence*, *Fund.Math.* 160 (1999), 95–100.
- [3] R. Engelking, *Theory of dimensions finite and infinite*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 10. Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 1995.
- [4] V. Fedorchuk, *Some classes of weakly infinite-dimensional spaces*, *J. Math. Sciences* 155 (2008), no 4, 523–570.
- [5] P. Gartside and Z. Feng, *Spaces  $l$ -dominated by  $\mathbb{I}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$* , *Topology Appl.* 219 (2017), 1–8.
- [6] R. Gorak, M. Krupski and W. Marciszewski, *On uniformly continuous maps between function spaces*, *Fund. Math.* 246 (2019), no. 3, 257–274.
- [7] S. Gul'ko, *On uniform homeomorphisms of spaces of continuous functions*, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, 193 (1992), 82–88 (in Russian); English translation: *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 193 (1992), 87–93.
- [8] V. Gutev and V. Valov, *Continuous selections and  $C$ -spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130 (2002), 233–242.
- [9] Y. Hattori and K. Yamada, *Closed pre-images of  $C$ -spaces*, *Math. Japonica* 34 (1989), 555–561.
- [10] K. Kawamura and A. Leiderman, *Linear continuous surjections of  $C_p$ -spaces over compacta*, *Topology Appl.* 227 (2017), 135–145.
- [11] M. Krupski, *On  $\kappa$ -pseudocompactness and uniform homeomorphisms of function spaces*, *Results Math.* 78 (2023), no. 4, Paper No. 154, 11 pp.
- [12] M. Krupski, *On the  $t$ -equivalence relation*, *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013), 368–373.
- [13] A. Leiderman, A private mail, December 2023.
- [14] A. Leiderman, M. Levin and V. Pestov, *On linear continuous open surjections on the spaces  $C_p(X)$* , *Topology Appl.* 81 (1997), 269–279.
- [15] A. Leiderman, S. Morris and V. Pestov, *The free abelian topological group and the free locally convex space on the unit interval*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 56 (1997), 529–538.
- [16] W. Marciszewski and J. Pelant, *Absolute Borel sets and function spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349 (1987), 3585–3596.
- [17] V. Pestov, *The coincidence of the dimension dim of  $l$ -equivalent topological spaces*, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 26 (1982), 380–383.
- [18] R. Pol, *On light mappings without perfect fibers on compacta*, *Tsukuba J. Math.* 20 (1996), 11–19.
- [19] V. Tkachuk,  *$C_p$ -theory problem book. Topological and function spaces. Problem Books in Mathematics*, Springer, New York, 2011
- [20] V. Uspenskii, *A characterization of compactness in terms of uniform structure in a function space*, *Uspehi Mat.Nauk* 37 (1982), 183–184 (in Russian).

- [21] V. Valov and D. Vuma, *Function spaces and Diedonné completeness*, Quaestiones Math. 21 (1998), no 3-4, 303–309.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, TRAKYA UNIVERSITY, EDIRNE, TURKEY

*Email address:* `aemreysen@trakya.edu.tr`

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA

*Email address:* `veskov@nipissingu.ca`